

LEGISLATIVE REVIEW OF ADOPTED REGULATIONS--NRS 233B.066
Informational Statement
LCB File No. R125-21

1. A clear and concise explanation of the need for the adopted regulation.

These amendments are necessary due to a new service standard for First Class Mail and Periodicals implemented by the U.S. Postal Service (USPS) on October 1, 2021. Per an October 7, 2021, memo from the Administrator of Mail Services Division, Department of Administration, “First-Class Mail traveling locally within a three-hour drive between originating and destinating processing facilities will maintain its current delivery standard of two days or less. The USPS will increase time-in-transit standards by one or two days for certain mail that is traveling longer distances. Mail traveling the greatest distances will be the most impacted. Previous standards required three-day delivery for any destination within the contiguous U.S. with a drive greater than six hours, regardless of the miles traveled to deliver that mail. Now, however, First-Class Mail three-day volume will be delivered based upon a new three-, four-, or five-day standard depending on the distance between origin and destination.” These regulations are in response to these changes at the U.S. Postal Service since some mailings could take up to 5 days.

2. A description of how public comment was solicited, a summary of public response, and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

On May 23, 2022, copies of the proposed regulation amendments were sent by email to persons who were known to have an interest in the subject of proposed personnel regulation changes as well as any person who had specifically requested such notice via Listserv. These documents were also made available on the Division of Human Resource Management’s website, the Nevada Public Notice website, the Legislative Counsel Bureau’s website, and mailed to all county libraries in Nevada, and posted at the following locations:

Blasdel Building
209 E. Musser Street
Carson City, NV

Legislative Counsel Bureau
401 S. Carson Street
Carson City, NV

Nevada State Library and Archives
100 N. Stewart Street
Carson City, NV

Nevada State Capitol Building
101 N. Carson Street
Carson City, NV

Grant Sawyer Office Building
555 E. Washington Avenue
Las Vegas, NV

A regulation workshop was conducted by the Division of Human Resource Management on December 13, 2021, and a public hearing was held by the Nevada Personnel Commission on June 24, 2022.

No comments regarding the changes included in the LCB Draft of Proposed Regulation R125-21 were received at the workshop.

At the public hearing, staff provided information regarding the intent and need for the regulation.

No comments were received at the public hearing regarding the changes included in the LCB Draft of Proposed Regulation R125-21.

Written minutes and comments from the regulation workshop and public hearing can be obtained from the Division of Human Resource Management by contacting Michelle Garton at mgarton@admin.nv.gov or by calling (775) 684-0136.

- 3. The number of persons who:**
 - (a) Attended each hearing: 21**
 - (b) Testified at each hearing: 1**
 - (c) Submitted written comments: 0**

- 4. Following is a list of names and contact information, including telephone number, business address, business telephone number, electronic mail address, and name of entity or organization represented, for each person identified above in #3(b):**

Nora Johnson, Personnel Analyst
State of Nevada
Department of Administration
Division of Human Resource Management
100 N. Stewart Street, Suite 200
Carson City, NV 89701
(775) 684-0148
nora.johnson@admin.nv.gov

- 5. A description of how comment was solicited from affected businesses, a summary of their response and an explanation of how other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.**

Comments were not solicited from businesses, as the regulation does not affect businesses. Comments were solicited from affected parties including employees and employee associations. Written minutes and comments from the workshop and public hearing can be obtained as instructed in the response to question #2.

- 6. If the regulation was adopted without changing any part of the proposed regulation, a summary of the reasons for adopting the regulation without change.**

There was no opposition to the regulation at the Personnel Commission hearing.

7. **The estimated economic effect of the regulation on the business which it is to regulate and on the public. These must be stated separately, and each case must include:**
- (a) **Both adverse and beneficial effects; and**
 - (b) **Both immediate and long-term effects.**

There are no adverse or beneficial effects on regulated business and the public. There are no immediate or long-term effects on business and the public.

8. **The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation:**

There is no additional cost to the agency for enforcement of this regulation.

9. **A description of any regulations of other State or governmental agencies which the proposed regulation overlaps or duplicates and a statement explaining why the duplication or overlap is necessary. If the regulation overlaps or duplicates a federal regulation, the name of the regulating federal agency.**

This regulation does not overlap or duplicate any State or federal regulations.

10. **If the regulation includes provisions that are more stringent than a federal regulation that regulates the same activity, a summary of such provisions.**

The regulation does not include any provisions that are covered by any federal regulations.

11. **If the regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.**

No fees are associated with this regulation.