

## THE SIXTY-FOURTH DAY

---

CARSON CITY (Monday), April 8, 2019

Assembly called to order at 11:57 a.m.

Mr. Speaker presiding.

Roll called.

All present except Assemblymen Duran and Hambrick, who were excused.

Prayer by the Chaplain, Pastor Bruce Henderson.

Certain prophets of the sixties taught us words we still remember: Monday, Monday, can't trust that day . . . Every other day of the week is fine, But whenever Monday comes, you can find me cryin' all of the time.

Well, here we are, and it's Monday! About three thousand years ago, the Psalmist wrote, "This is the day which the Lord has made; Let us rejoice and be glad in it." Father, may we regard this day and every day as Yours and allow You to work through us in love, joy, and peace. We pray in the Name of the Lord.

AMEN.

Pledge of allegiance to the Flag.

Assemblywoman Benitez-Thompson moved that further reading of the Journal be dispensed with and the Speaker and Chief Clerk be authorized to make the necessary corrections and additions.

Motion carried.

### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

*Mr. Speaker:*

Your Committee on Commerce and Labor, to which were referred Assembly Bills Nos. 334, 361, has had the same under consideration, and begs leave to report the same back with the recommendation: Do pass.

ELLEN B. SPIEGEL, *Chair*

*Mr. Speaker:*

Your Committee on Education, to which was referred Assembly Bill No. 92, has had the same under consideration, and begs leave to report the same back with the recommendation: Do pass.

TYRONE THOMPSON, *Chair*

*Mr. Speaker:*

Your Committee on Growth and Infrastructure, to which were referred Assembly Bills Nos. 24, 63, has had the same under consideration, and begs leave to report the same back with the recommendation: Do pass.

Also, your Committee on Growth and Infrastructure, to which was referred Assembly Bill No. 54, has had the same under consideration, and begs leave to report the same back with the recommendation: Amend, and do pass as amended.

Also, your Committee on Growth and Infrastructure, to which was referred Assembly Bill No. 193, has had the same under consideration, and begs leave to report the same back with the recommendation: Amend, and do pass as amended.

Also, your Committee on Growth and Infrastructure, to which was referred Assembly Bill No. 231, has had the same under consideration, and begs leave to report the same back with the recommendation: Amend, and do pass as amended.

DANIELE MONROE-MORENO, *Chair*

*Mr. Speaker:*

Your Committee on Health and Human Services, to which was referred Assembly Bill No. 151, has had the same under consideration, and begs leave to report the same back with the recommendation: Do pass.

Also, your Committee on Health and Human Services, to which were referred Assembly Bills Nos. 116, 131, has had the same under consideration, and begs leave to report the same back with the recommendation: Amend, and do pass as amended.

LESLEY E. COHEN, *Chair*

*Mr. Speaker:*

Your Committee on Judiciary, to which were referred Assembly Bills Nos. 112, 410, has had the same under consideration, and begs leave to report the same back with the recommendation: Do pass.

Also, your Committee on Judiciary, to which were referred Assembly Bills Nos. 16, 222, has had the same under consideration, and begs leave to report the same back with the recommendation: Amend, and do pass as amended.

Also, your Committee on Judiciary, to which were referred Assembly Bills Nos. 43, 139, has had the same under consideration, and begs leave to report the same back with the recommendation: Amend, and do pass as amended.

STEVE YEAGER, *Chair*

*Mr. Speaker:*

Your Committee on Legislative Operations and Elections, to which was referred Assembly Bill No. 367, has had the same under consideration, and begs leave to report the same back with the recommendation: Do pass.

Also, your Committee on Legislative Operations and Elections, to which were referred Assembly Concurrent Resolutions Nos. 6, 7, has had the same under consideration, and begs leave to report the same back with the recommendation: Be adopted.

SANDRA JAUREGUI, *Chair*

*Mr. Speaker:*

Your Committee on Natural Resources, Agriculture, and Mining, to which was referred Assembly Bill No. 83, has had the same under consideration, and begs leave to report the same back with the recommendation: Amend, and do pass as amended.

Also, your Committee on Natural Resources, Agriculture, and Mining, to which was referred Assembly Bill No. 95, has had the same under consideration, and begs leave to report the same back with the recommendation: Amend, and do pass as amended.

HEIDI SWANK, *Chair*

#### MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE

SENATE CHAMBER, Carson City, April 5, 2019

*To the Honorable the Assembly:*

I have the honor to inform your honorable body that the Senate on this day passed Senate Bills Nos. 54, 62.

Also, I have the honor to inform your honorable body that the Senate on this day passed, as amended, Senate Bill No. 95.

Also, I have the honor to inform your honorable body that the Senate on this day adopted Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 5.

SHERRY RODRIGUEZ  
*Assistant Secretary of the Senate*

## MOTIONS, RESOLUTIONS AND NOTICES

Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 5.

Assemblywoman Swank moved that the resolution be referred to the Committee on Natural Resources, Agriculture, and Mining.

Motion carried.

## NOTICE OF EXEMPTION

April 5, 2019

The Fiscal Analysis Division, pursuant to Joint Standing Rule 14.6, has determined the eligibility for exemption of: Senate Bills Nos. 194, 285, 289, 295, 313, 314, 317, 325, 349, 351, 384, 402, 404, 415, 421, 448 and 490.

MARK KRMPOTIC  
*Fiscal Analysis Division*

April 8, 2019

The Fiscal Analysis Division, pursuant to Joint Standing Rule 14.6, has determined the eligibility for exemption of: Assembly Bills Nos. 178, 245, 262, 308, 385, 442 and 458.

CINDY JONES  
*Fiscal Analysis Division*

April 8, 2019

Pursuant to paragraph (a) of subsection 4 of Joint Standing Rule No. 14.6, the following measures are not subject to the provisions of subsection 1 of Joint Standing Rule No. 14, Joint Standing Rule No. 14.1, subsection 1 of Joint Standing Rule No. 14.2 and Joint Standing Rule No. 14.3: Assembly Bills Nos. 323, 441, 444 and 449.

Also, pursuant to paragraph (a) of subsection 4 of Joint Standing Rule No. 14.6, Senate Bill No. 332 and Senate Joint Resolution 5 are not subject to the provisions of subsection 1 of Joint Standing Rule No. 14, Joint Standing Rule No. 14.1, subsection 1 of Joint Standing Rule No. 14.2 and Joint Standing Rule No. 14.3.

RICHARD S. COMBS  
*Director*

Assemblywoman Benitez-Thompson moved that the persons set forth on the Nevada Legislature's Press Accreditation List of April 2, 2019, be accepted as accredited press representatives, that they be assigned space at the press table in the Assembly Chamber, that they be allowed the use of appropriate broadcasting facilities, and the list be included in this day's Journal:

INDEPENDENT NEVADA NEWS SERVICE: Deborah Chicago; KOLO-TV: Bridget Chavez, Ben Deach, Gianna Giorgi; KTVN-TV: Tyler Jefferson, Paul Jilbert; NEVADA CURRENT ([www.nevadacurrent.com](http://www.nevadacurrent.com)): Jeniffer Solis; WASHINGTON POST, THE: Karen Heller, Janet Jensen (Jensen Photo), Melina Mara, Emily Wax.

Motion carried.

Assemblywoman Benitez-Thompson moved that the Assembly suspend Assembly Standing Rule 52.5 and subsection 4 of Assembly Standing Rule 57 beginning Monday, April 8, 2019, through Friday, April 12, 2019.

Motion carried.

## INTRODUCTION, FIRST READING AND REFERENCE

By the Committee on Ways and Means:

Assembly Bill No. 494—AN ACT relating to health care; authorizing the use of money in the Fund for Hospital Care to Indigent Persons to offset certain

decreases in other state funds; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Assemblywoman Carlton moved that the bill be referred to the Committee on Ways and Means.

Motion carried.

By the Committee on Ways and Means:

Assembly Bill No. 495—AN ACT making an appropriation to the Nevada Alliance of Boys and Girls Clubs, Inc. to be used for programs provided by the Alliance throughout the State; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Assemblywoman Carlton moved that the bill be referred to the Committee on Ways and Means.

Motion carried.

By the Committee on Ways and Means:

Assembly Bill No. 496—AN ACT relating to the Silver State Health Insurance Exchange; revising provisions governing the employees of the Exchange; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Assemblywoman Carlton moved that the bill be referred to the Committee on Ways and Means.

Motion carried.

By the Committee on Ways and Means:

Assembly Bill No. 497—AN ACT making an appropriation to the Aging and Disability Services Division of the Department of Health and Human Services to provide grants to persons or entities that provide a mobile day care program for adults; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Assemblywoman Carlton moved that the bill be referred to the Committee on Ways and Means.

Motion carried.

Senate Bill No. 54.

Assemblyman Flores moved that the bill be referred to the Committee on Government Affairs.

Motion carried.

Senate Bill No. 62.

Assemblywoman Neal moved that the bill be referred to the Committee on Taxation.

Motion carried.

Senate Bill No. 95.

Assemblywoman Cohen moved that the bill be referred to the Committee on Health and Human Services.

Motion carried.

## SECOND READING AND AMENDMENT

Assembly Bill No. 49.

Bill read second time and ordered to third reading.

Assembly Bill No. 91.

Bill read second time.

The following amendment was proposed by the Committee on Judiciary:

Amendment No. 39.

AN ACT relating to guardianships; establishing provisions concerning the sterilization of protected persons; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

**Legislative Counsel's Digest:**

Existing law provides that unless a guardian applies for and obtains the requisite authority from a court, the guardian is prohibited from consenting to the: (1) experimental medical, biomedical or behavioral treatment of a protected person; (2) participation of a protected person in any biomedical or behavioral experiment; or (3) sterilization of a protected person. Existing law also sets forth the circumstances in which a court may authorize a guardian to consent to and commence any such treatment or experiment. (NRS 159.0805)

**Section 1** of this bill establishes additional provisions relating to a guardian's application to a court for the authority to consent to the sterilization of a protected person. **Section 1** requires the court to: (1) appoint ~~an attorney, a guardian ad litem, or~~ an attorney and a guardian ad litem for the protected person; and (2) conduct a full evidentiary hearing ~~[if the protected person has not provided his or her]~~ **before authorizing the guardian to** consent to the sterilization. **Section 1** also provides that a court may authorize a guardian to consent to the sterilization of a protected person only if the court finds by clear and convincing evidence that the sterilization is in the best interest of the protected person, but requires the court to consider whether any less irrevocable and intrusive means of contraception would be suitable before granting such authority.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, REPRESENTED IN  
SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

**Section 1.** Chapter 159 of NRS is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section to read as follows:

**1. A guardian shall not consent to the sterilization of a protected person unless the guardian applies to the court for the authority to consent to the sterilization and obtains such authority from the court.**

**2. Unless an attorney or a guardian ad litem has already been appointed, after a guardian applies to the court for the authority to consent to the sterilization of a protected person, the court shall appoint:**

**(a) An attorney to represent the protected person; and**

**(b) A guardian ad litem to make recommendations on behalf of the protected person. ~~15-0#~~**

~~(c) An attorney to represent the protected person and a guardian ad litem to make recommendations on behalf of the protected person.~~

3. ~~[[If a protected person has not provided his or her consent to the sterilization, the]~~ The court must conduct a full evidentiary hearing before authorizing the guardian of the protected person to consent to the sterilization.

4. The court may authorize a guardian to consent to the sterilization of a protected person only if the court finds by clear and convincing evidence that the sterilization is in the best interest of the protected person. Before the court grants such authority to a guardian, the court must consider whether any less irrevocable and intrusive means of contraception would be suitable.

**Sec. 2.** NRS 159.0805 is hereby amended to read as follows:

159.0805 1. Except as otherwise provided in subsection 2, a guardian shall not consent to:

(a) The experimental medical, biomedical or behavioral treatment of a protected person; *or*

(b) ~~The sterilization of a protected person; or~~

~~(c) The participation of a protected person in any biomedical or behavioral experiment.~~

2. The guardian may consent to and commence any treatment or experiment described in subsection 1 if the guardian applies to and obtains from the court authority to consent to and commence the treatment or experiment.

3. The court may authorize the guardian to consent to and commence any treatment or experiment described in subsection 1 only if the treatment or experiment:

(a) Is of direct benefit to, and intended to preserve the life of or prevent serious impairment to the mental or physical health of, the protected person; or

(b) Is intended to assist the protected person to develop or regain the abilities of the protected person.

**Sec. 3.** The amendatory provisions of this act apply to an application by a guardian for the authority to consent to the sterilization of a protected person that is submitted to a court on or after January 1, 2020.

**Sec. 4.** This act becomes effective on January 1, 2020.

Assemblyman Yeager moved the adoption of the amendment.

Remarks by Assemblyman Yeager.

Amendment adopted.

Bill ordered reprinted, engrossed and to third reading.

Assembly Bill No. 107.

Bill read second time.

The following amendment was proposed by the Committee on Judiciary:

Amendment No. 16.

AN ACT relating to criminal procedure; establishing provisions relating to the electronic recording of certain custodial interrogations; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

**Legislative Counsel's Digest:**

**Section 1** of this bill requires ~~each~~ **certain** law enforcement ~~agency~~ **agencies** in this State to adopt detailed, written policies regarding the electronic recording of custodial interrogations that are conducted in a place of detention and to make such policies available: (1) to all law enforcement officers employed by ~~the~~ **such a** law enforcement agency; and (2) for public inspection during normal business hours. **Section 1** also sets forth the provisions that must be included in any such policies adopted by a law enforcement agency, including the circumstances in which all or a portion of a custodial interrogation is not required to be electronically recorded. Additionally, **section 1** requires each ~~local~~ law enforcement agency in this State **that is required to adopt such policies** to collaborate with the district attorney of the county in which the ~~local~~ law enforcement agency is located regarding the contents of the policies. ~~required to be adopted.~~

**Section 2** of this bill ~~requires~~ **provides that** each law enforcement agency in this State **that is required** to ~~implement any~~ **adopt** policies ~~adopted~~ pursuant to **section 1 must implement any adopted policies** not later than April 1, 2020.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, REPRESENTED IN  
SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

**Section 1.** Chapter 171 of NRS is hereby amended by adding thereto a new section to read as follows:

*1. Each law enforcement agency in this State shall adopt detailed, written policies regarding the electronic recording of custodial interrogations that are conducted in a place of detention.*

*2. Any policies adopted by a law enforcement agency pursuant to this section must be made available:*

*(a) To all law enforcement officers employed by the law enforcement agency; and*

*(b) For public inspection during normal business hours.*

*3. Any policies adopted by a law enforcement agency pursuant to this section must include, without limitation:*

*(a) A requirement that, except as otherwise provided in any policy adopted pursuant to paragraph (c), an electronic recording must be made of an entire custodial interrogation which is conducted in a place of detention if the person being interrogated is suspected of committing homicide as described in NRS 200.010 to 200.260, inclusive, or sexual assault as defined in NRS 200.366.*

*(b) A requirement that, except as otherwise provided in any policy adopted pursuant to paragraph (c), if a person being interrogated chooses to make or sign a written statement during the course of a custodial interrogation*

*concerning a homicide as described in NRS 200.010 to 200.260, inclusive, or sexual assault as defined in NRS 200.366, the making and signing of the statement must be electronically recorded.*

*(c) The circumstances in which all or a portion of a custodial interrogation is not required to be electronically recorded, including, without limitation, when:*

*(1) An equipment malfunction prevents the electronic recording of the custodial interrogation in its entirety and replacement equipment is not immediately available.*

*(2) The law enforcement officer conducting the custodial interrogation fails, in good faith, to record the interrogation because:*

*(I) He or she inadvertently fails to operate the recording equipment properly; or*

*(II) The recording equipment malfunctions or stops recording without the law enforcement officer's knowledge.*

*(3) More than one custodial interrogation is being conducted simultaneously, thereby exceeding the available electronic recording capacity of the recording equipment.*

*(4) The person who is being or will be interrogated:*

*(I) Affirmatively asserts his or her desire to speak with law enforcement officers without being recorded;*

*(II) Makes a statement spontaneously and not in response to a question asked during the custodial interrogation;*

*(III) Makes a statement during routine questioning during the process of his or her arrest; or*

*(IV) Makes a statement at a time when the law enforcement officer conducting the interrogation is, in good faith, unaware of the person's involvement in a homicide as described in NRS 200.010 to 200.060, inclusive, a sexual assault as defined in NRS 200.366 or an offense for which a custodial interrogation is otherwise required to be electronically recorded in accordance with the policies adopted pursuant to this section.*

*(5) At the time of the custodial interrogation, the law enforcement officer conducting the interrogation is, in good faith, unaware that the type of offense involved is a homicide as described in NRS 200.010 to 200.060, inclusive, a sexual assault as defined in NRS 200.366 or an offense for which a custodial interrogation is otherwise required to be electronically recorded in accordance with the policies adopted pursuant to this section.*

*(6) Exigent circumstances make recording impractical.*

*(d) Requirements pertaining to the retention and storage of electronic recordings made pursuant to this section.*

*(e) The circumstances in which all or a portion of an electronic recording is not required to be retained, including, without limitation, when the electronic recording is damaged or destroyed, without bad faith on the part of any person or entity in control of the electronic recording.*

4. ~~Each local~~ law enforcement agency in this State shall collaborate with the district attorney of the county in which the ~~local~~ law enforcement agency is located regarding the contents of the policies required to be adopted pursuant to this section.

5. As used in this section:

(a) “Custodial interrogation” means any interrogation of a person who is required to be advised of his or her rights pursuant to Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436 (1966).

(b) “Electronic recording” means an audio or audiovisual recording.

(c) “Interrogation” means questioning which is initiated by a law enforcement officer or any words or actions on the part of a law enforcement officer, other than those which are ordinarily attendant to arrest and custody, that the officer should know are reasonably likely to elicit an incriminating response from the person who is being questioned.

(d) ~~“Local law”~~ “Law enforcement agency” means:

(1) The sheriff’s office of a county;

(2) A metropolitan police department; or

(3) A police department of an incorporated city.

(e) “Place of detention” means a fixed location under the control of a law enforcement agency of this State where persons are questioned about alleged crimes.

Sec. 2. 1. Each law enforcement agency in this State shall implement any policies adopted pursuant to section 1 of this act not later than April 1, 2020.

**2. As used in this section, “law enforcement agency” has the meaning ascribed to it in section 1 of this act.**

Sec. 3. The provisions of NRS 354.599 do not apply to any additional expenses of a local government that are related to the provisions of this act.

Assemblyman Yeager moved the adoption of the amendment.

Remarks by Assemblyman Yeager.

Amendment adopted.

Bill ordered reprinted, engrossed and to third reading.

Assembly Bill No. 134.

Bill read second time.

The following amendment was proposed by the Committee on Judiciary:

Amendment No. 18.

AN ACT relating to privileges; revising provisions relating to the privilege of confidential communication between victims of certain crimes and advocates for those victims; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

**Legislative Counsel’s Digest:**

Existing law establishes a privilege for confidential communication between a victim of certain crimes and a victim’s advocate who works for a nonprofit program that provides assistance to such victims. (NRS 49.2541-49.2549)

**Section 1** of this bill revises the definition of “victim’s advocate” to include a person who works for a program of a university, state college or community college within the Nevada System of Higher Education or a program of a tribal organization which provides such assistance. **Section 2** of this bill makes a conforming change.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, REPRESENTED IN  
SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

**Section 1.** NRS 49.2545 is hereby amended to read as follows:

49.2545 “Victim’s advocate” means a person who works for a nonprofit program ~~that~~, *program of a university, state college or community college within the Nevada System of Higher Education or a program of a tribal organization which* provides assistance to victims with or without compensation and who has received at least 20 hours of relevant training.

**Sec. 2.** NRS 49.2546 is hereby amended to read as follows:

49.2546 1. A communication shall be deemed to be confidential if the communication is between a victim and a victim’s advocate and is not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than:

- (a) A person who is present to further the interest of the victim;
- (b) A person reasonably necessary for the transmission of the communication; or
- (c) A person who is participating in the advice, counseling or assistance of the victim, including, without limitation, a member of the victim’s family.

2. As used in this section, “communication” includes, without limitation, all records concerning the victim and the services provided to the victim which are within the possession of:

- (a) The victim’s advocate; or
- (b) The nonprofit program , *the program of a university, state college or community college within the Nevada System of Higher Education, or the program of a tribal organization* for whom the victim’s advocate works.

**Sec. 3. This act becomes effective on July 1, 2019.**

Assemblyman Yeager moved the adoption of the amendment.

Remarks by Assemblyman Yeager.

Amendment adopted.

Bill ordered reprinted, engrossed and to third reading.

GENERAL FILE AND THIRD READING

Assembly Bill No. 21.

Bill read third time.

Remarks by Assemblyman Flores.

ASSEMBLYMAN FLORES:

Assembly Bill 21 authorizes the board of county commissioners to adopt an ordinance providing for the appointment of members of a local governing body, rather than hold elections for the positions, if the following apply: the local government is located in a county whose population is less than 100,000; each member of the local governing body is entitled to receive

annual compensation of less than \$6,000 for his or her service on the body; and the local governing body does not have enough current members to obtain a quorum.

The bill also authorizes the board of county commissioners to repeal or amend the ordinance to return to electing the members of the local governing body.

This bill is effective on July 1, 2019.

Roll call on Assembly Bill No. 21:

YEAS—40.

NAYS—None.

EXCUSED—Duran, Hambrick—2.

Assembly Bill No. 21 having received a constitutional majority, Mr. Speaker declared it passed.

Bill ordered transmitted to the Senate.

Assembly Bill No. 98.

Bill read third time.

Remarks by Assemblyman Wheeler.

ASSEMBLYMAN WHEELER:

Assembly Bill 98 revises the Tahoe-Douglas Visitor's Authority Act to clarify that the convention center may be used for other events in addition to conventions.

Roll call on Assembly Bill No. 98:

YEAS—40.

NAYS—None.

EXCUSED—Duran, Hambrick—2.

Assembly Bill No. 98 having received a constitutional majority, Mr. Speaker declared it passed.

Bill ordered transmitted to the Senate.

Assembly Bill No. 113.

Bill read third time.

Remarks by Assemblywoman Titus.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN TITUS:

Assembly Bill 113 clarifies that, for the purposes of state and local sales taxes, the Department of Taxation may not consider the delivery or transfer of a firearm outside of Nevada by a person in the state who is a firearms dealer licensed by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives to be a retail sale made by that dealer, if the delivery or transfer of the firearm is made to facilitate the transfer of the firearm from outside of Nevada in compliance with federal law and the sales price for the firearm is paid to a person other than the licensed firearms dealer delivering or transferring the firearm. The act becomes effective on July 1, 2019.

Roll call on Assembly Bill No. 113:

YEAS—40.

NAYS—None.

EXCUSED—Duran, Hambrick—2.

Assembly Bill No. 113 having received a constitutional majority, Mr. Speaker declared it passed.

Bill ordered transmitted to the Senate.

## MOTIONS, RESOLUTIONS AND NOTICES

Assemblywoman Benitez-Thompson moved that Assembly Bill No. 245 be taken from the General File and rereferred to the Committee on Ways and Means.

Motion carried.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS

## SIGNING OF BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

There being no objections, the Speaker and Chief Clerk signed Assembly Resolutions Nos. 5 and 6.

## GUESTS EXTENDED PRIVILEGE OF ASSEMBLY FLOOR

On request of Assemblyman Assefa, the privilege of the floor of the Assembly Chamber for this day was extended to Max Lenhard.

On request of Assemblywoman Backus, the privilege of the floor of the Assembly Chamber for this day was extended to Gabriela Soto Espinoza and Mariah Davis.

On request of Assemblywoman Carlton, the privilege of the floor of the Assembly Chamber for this day was extended to Kim Uribe.

On request of Assemblyman Carrillo, the privilege of the floor of the Assembly Chamber for this day was extended to John Caruso.

On request of Assemblywoman Cohen, the privilege of the floor of the Assembly Chamber for this day was extended to Nyssa Silva.

On request of Assemblyman Flores, the privilege of the floor of the Assembly Chamber for this day was extended to Wayne Wedlow, Trinity Beamon, and Winter Gillom.

On request of Assemblyman Fumo, the privilege of the floor of the Assembly Chamber for this day was extended to Rahina Sheikh.

On request of Assemblywoman Gorelow, the privilege of the floor of the Assembly Chamber for this day was extended to Ruth Daniels and Ruth Shiferaw.

On request of Assemblywoman Jauregui, the privilege of the floor of the Assembly Chamber for this day was extended to Courtney Jones and Manuel Ayala.

On request of Assemblyman McCurdy, the privilege of the floor of the Assembly Chamber for this day was extended to Kiara Jones, Treena Smith, and Nicole West.

On request of Assemblywoman Miller, the privilege of the floor of the Assembly Chamber for this day was extended to Adrienne Brown, Alexis Apple, and Kendall Cain.

On request of Assemblywoman Monroe-Moreno, the privilege of the floor of the Assembly Chamber for this day was extended to Cristal Boisseau, Faith Daniels, and Vashay Young.

On request of Assemblywoman Neal, the privilege of the floor of the Assembly Chamber for this day was extended to Kayla Snowden, Yazmyn Pelaez, and Alan Cruz.

On request of Assemblywoman Nguyen, the privilege of the floor of the Assembly Chamber for this day was extended to Skylar Jordan.

On request of Assemblywoman Spiegel, the privilege of the floor of the Assembly Chamber for this day was extended to Christian Gonzalez and Jennifer Solano.

On request of Assemblywoman Swank, the privilege of the floor of the Assembly Chamber for this day was extended to Alex Fuller, Kayawna Wilson, and Shawina Tims.

On request of Assemblyman Thompson, the privilege of the floor of the Assembly Chamber for this day was extended to Rafael Torres, Mira Punzalan, Alyssa Rueda, Lily McGrath, Hazel Germany, Cahmere Jones, and Asia Wade.

On request of Assemblywoman Torres, the privilege of the floor of the Assembly Chamber for this day was extended to Jameelah Lewis.

On request of Assemblyman Watts, the privilege of the floor of the Assembly Chamber for this day was extended to Madeline Montanez, Shaun Mabanta, and Sanniya Ramadan-Haye.

On request of Assemblyman Yeager, the privilege of the floor of the Assembly Chamber for this day was extended to Alexander Kostan.

Assemblywoman Benitez-Thompson moved that the Assembly adjourn until Thursday, April 11, 2019, at 11:30 a.m.

Motion carried.

Assembly adjourned at 12:22 p.m.

Approved:

JASON FRIERSON  
*Speaker of the Assembly*

Attest: SUSAN FURLONG

*Chief Clerk of the Assembly*