

**BDR 16-252**  
**AB 292**

LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: March 23, 2023

Agency Submitting: Local Government

<b>Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2022-23</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2023-24</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2024-25</b>	<b>Effect on Future Biennia</b>
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Chief Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
**A.B. 292 / BDR 16 - 252**

City/County: <b>City of Boulder City</b> Approved by: Angela Manninen, Acting Finance Director Comment: The City of Boulder City does not have a correctional facility, but does pay for incarceration housing in Las Vegas and Henderson. This could potentially raise the fee the City pays for housing.				
Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>City of Elko</b> Approved by: Kelly Wooldridge, City Clerk Comment:				
Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>City of Henderson</b> Approved by: Mike Cathcart, Business Operations Manager Comment: The fiscal impact of this legislation is for modifications to the city's detention center to accommodate family in person visitation and additional items to be purchased for inmates. The cost of facility improvements would be approximately \$180,000 in construction costs, The recurring costs would be for items that the legislation would make mandatory issue items. These items would cost approximately \$12,000 per year at the current population rate of the city's detention center.  The remainder of the legislation would have a fiscal impact. However, it cannot be determined at this time what impact to facility staffing the gender identities or expressions section of the bill would create.				
Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Has Impact	\$192,000	\$13,000	\$14,000	\$31,000

City/County: <b>City of Las Vegas</b> Approved by: Jackie Bruno, Management Analyst I Comment:				
Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of North Las Vegas**

Approved by: William Harty, Finance Director

Comment: Staffing requirements can not be determined at this time.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2022-23</b>	<b>FY 2023-24</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$250,000	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Reno**

Approved by: Jordan Hosmer-Henner, Urban Economist

Comment:

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2022-23</b>	<b>FY 2023-24</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of Sparks**

Approved by: Tiffany Pugh, Accounting Manager

Comment:

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2022-23</b>	<b>FY 2023-24</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **City of West Wendover**

Approved by: Alina Ceballos, Chief Financial Officer

Comment: No fiscal Impact.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2022-23</b>	<b>FY 2023-24</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Carson City**

Approved by: Sheri Russell-Benabou, Chief Financial officer

Comment: Both Carson City Sheriff's department and Juvenile Detention facilities stated that the bill would have significant cost impacts beyond the department's current capabilities. Sheriff is estimating 3 FTE's while

Juvenile detention is estimating 1-2 FTE's in order to provide for expanded services mandated in the bill, excluding the medical and health costs associated with the incarceration of pregnant woman.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2022-23</b>	<b>FY 2023-24</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$1,000,000

City/County: **Clark County**

Approved by: Damon Harris, Deputy Director of Finance

Comment: Cannot quantify to determine a fiscal impact due to several unknown factors, but the “without limitation” language in Sections 13-1-(b), 13-1-(c), and 13-1-(e) potentially contains an open-ended implication of products, services, and, possibly, the quantities of thereof. While there's a partial remedy in Section 13-2, the same issue arises in Sections 13-4-(b), 14-1 as it applies to (b), and 17-2 (multiple instances).

There would likely be substantial remodeling costs in repurposing space to accommodate the bonding requirement in Section 17-3 (potentially in both of its detention facilities), and the “period of time appropriate” would need to be defined as officers would be required to be present as well as escort both the inmates and (presumably) family members to the “bonding room” (which would come at an undefined level of OT). DSD has an average of 40 pregnant inmates in custody at any given time, thus there'd be a relatively sizable and steady volume of newborns to accommodate.

The ombudsman under Section 15 would be a new position estimated at \$150K/year.

As DSD currently doesn't conduct nor is physically setup for in-person social inmate visitation, remodeling to accommodate the in-person visits in Section 18 would be substantial—well into the 7-digit territory (if not more), let alone the additional staffing to either escort the inmates or visitors (or both). The “no limit” language simply exacerbates the space and staffing concerns.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2022-23</b>	<b>FY 2023-24</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: **Douglas County**

Approved by: Terri Willoughby, Chief Financial Officer

Comment: Anticipated costs for policy development and implementation of policy requirements, and documentation of compliance,

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2022-23</b>	<b>FY 2023-24</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000

City/County: **Lyon County**

Approved by: Josh Foli, Comptroller

Comment: There will be additional salary and benefit costs to hire personnel in the jail for both the required ombudsman and to hire jail staffing of the same gender identity or expression for searches...assuming we can legally discriminate in hiring based on gender identity/expression. It is also expected to take additional staff time to complete and review the required reporting for the ombudsman.

There may be costs for additional staffing due to the requirements for a visitation schedule at least 8 hours per day, if the language is interpreted to require in-person visitation.

There will also be costs for additional training required in the bill. Also, there are anticipated to be increased costs for medical services required in the bill.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2022-23</b>	<b>FY 2023-24</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$810,000	\$850,000	\$1,820,000

City/County: **Washoe County**

Approved by: Cadence Matijevich, Government Affairs Liaison

Comment: Washoe County would incur new expenses to carry out the provisions of this bill. Given the responsibilities of the ombudsman specified in the bill, one new FTE would be required. Additionally, Washoe County would incur new expenses associated with housing an infant with an inmate. While the costs of establishing a nursery within the jail's infirmary cannot be determined at this time, it is estimated that the County would incur additional expenses of \$300 per day for each day it would be required to house an infant. Because the number of infants and total days of stay of such infants are unknown the fiscal impact of those provisions of the bill cannot be determined.

<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2022-23</b>	<b>FY 2023-24</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Has Impact	\$0	\$151,136	\$149,562	\$315,010

The following cities/counties did not provide a response: City of Caliente, City of Carlin, City of Ely, City of Fallon, City of Fernley, City of Mesquite, City of Wells, City of Winnemucca, City of Yerington, Churchill County, Elko County, Esmeralda County, Eureka County, Humboldt County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Mineral County, Nye County, Pershing County, Storey County, and White Pine County.