

**BDR 10-989**  
**SB 368**

LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
FISCAL NOTE

AGENCY'S ESTIMATES

Date Prepared: April 5, 2023

Agency Submitting: Local Government

<b>Items of Revenue or Expense, or Both</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2022-23</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2023-24</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2024-25</b>	<b>Effect on Future Biennia</b>
Total	0	0	0	0

Explanation

(Use Additional Sheets of Attachments, if required)

See attached.

Name Michael Nakamoto

Title Chief Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst

The following responses from local governments were compiled by the Fiscal Analysis Division. The Fiscal Analysis Division can neither verify nor comment on the figures provided by the individual local governments.

Local Government Responses  
**S.B. 368 / BDR 10 - 989**

City/County: <b>Carson City</b> Approved by: Sheri Russell-Benabou, Chief Financial officer Comment:				
Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Clark County</b> Approved by: Rachel Stevens, Principal Management Analyst Comment: Under existing law, if an original written instrument related to real property contains a discriminatory restriction or prohibition, the owner of the property can file a declaration removing the discriminatory restriction or prohibition, and the Clark County Recorder's Office is required to attach the declaration to the original instrument. This bill would no longer allow for the filing of the declaration, and would instead authorize the owner of the property to file a petition for a court order directing the Recorder's Office to redact the discriminatory restriction or prohibition from the original instrument. The bill would also require the Recorder's Office to send notices to any owners that previously filed a declaration notifying them of the new law. There may be a fiscal impact to Clark County for costs associated with redacting the language from the original instrument, but without knowing the volume of court orders that will be received, the amount cannot be determined. The redaction process requires an estimated 1.5 hours per document at a rate of \$25.25 per hour. There would also be some one-time cost for sending notices to property owners who previously filed a declaration.				
Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Douglas County</b> Approved by: Terri Willoughby, Chief Financial Officer Comment: Fiscal impact is difficult to determine but could potentially have a small impact for additional staff time.				
Impact	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	Future Biennia
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Elko County</b> Approved by: Susan Paprocki, Comptroller Comment:				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2022-23</b>	<b>FY 2023-24</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Humboldt County</b> Approved by: Tia H. Lange, Recorder Comment:				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2022-23</b>	<b>FY 2023-24</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Lyon County</b> Approved by: Josh Foli, Comptroller Comment: There should be no additional cost for the recorder to redact a document. The additional cost may come from staffing due to adding cases to District Court; however, it is likely that there are not a large number of these documents in Lyon County.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2022-23</b>	<b>FY 2023-24</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Pershing County</b> Approved by: Karen Wesner, Administrative Assistant Comment: There maybe an impact but it cannot be determined at this time.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2022-23</b>	<b>FY 2023-24</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
Cannot Be Determined	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

City/County: <b>Washoe County</b> Approved by: Cadence Matijevich, Government Affairs Liaison Comment: This bill would have no material financial effect on Washoe County.				
<b>Impact</b>	<b>FY 2022-23</b>	<b>FY 2023-24</b>	<b>FY 2024-25</b>	<b>Future Biennia</b>
No Impact	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

The following cities/counties did not provide a response: Churchill County, Esmeralda County, Eureka County, Lander County, Lincoln County, Mineral County, Nye County, Storey County, and White Pine County.