

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING
OF THE
ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**Eighty-Second Session
May 17, 2023**

The Committee on Health and Human Services was called to order by Chair Sarah Peters at 12:50 p.m. on Wednesday, May 17, 2023, in Room 3138 of the Legislative Building, 401 South Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada. The meeting was videoconferenced to Room 4406 of the Grant Sawyer State Office Building, 555 East Washington Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada. Copies of the minutes, including the Agenda [[Exhibit A](#)], the Attendance Roster [[Exhibit B](#)], and other substantive exhibits, are available and on file in the Research Library of the Legislative Counsel Bureau and on the Nevada Legislature's website at www.leg.state.nv.us/App/NELIS/REL/82nd2023.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Assemblywoman Sarah Peters, Chair
Assemblyman David Orentlicher, Vice Chair
Assemblywoman Cecelia González
Assemblywoman Michelle Gorelow
Assemblyman Ken Gray
Assemblyman Gregory T. Hafen II
Assemblyman Brian Hibbetts
Assemblyman Gregory Koenig
Assemblywoman Sabra Newby
Assemblyman Duy Nguyen
Assemblywoman Clara Thomas

COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT:

Assemblywoman Angie Taylor (excused)

GUEST LEGISLATORS PRESENT:

None

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:

Patrick Ashton, Committee Policy Analyst
Eric Robbins, Committee Counsel
Shuruk Ismail, Committee Manager
Terry Horgan, Committee Secretary
Ashley Torres, Committee Assistant

Minutes ID: 1143



OTHERS PRESENT:

Shannon Bennett, Bureau Chief, Bureau of Behavioral Health, Wellness and Prevention, Division of Public and Behavioral Health, Department of Health and Human Services
Allison Genco, Public Health Resource Officer, Office of the Governor
Lea Case, representing Nevada Public Health Association
Misty Grimmer, representing Cox Communications
Bryan Wachter, Senior Vice President, Retail Association of Nevada
Randy J. Brown, Director, Regulatory and Legislative Affairs, AT&T
Jimmy Lau, representing Verizon
Shelly Capurro, representing Charter Communications

Chair Peters:

[Roll was taken. Committee rules and protocol were reviewed.] Good afternoon. Welcome to the Assembly Committee on Health and Human Services. We have a couple of items on the agenda today, a hearing and work session.

Assemblyman Gray:

I want to let you know before the meeting that I am at the call of the chair in the Senate to present a bill, so I may have to step out.

Chair Peters:

Because I think we will lose a couple of folks to other committees, we are going to go into work session. Everyone has the work session documents in front of you. They were emailed to you, and you had an opportunity to review these bills and listen to the hearings. I will ask staff to go over these bills, and we will vote on them individually as we go. Please, Mr. Ashton, go ahead. The first bill is Senate Bill 4.

Senate Bill 4: Revises provisions governing certain programs to pay for prescription drugs, pharmaceutical services and other benefits. (BDR 40-220)

Patrick Ashton, Committee Policy Analyst:

[Patrick Ashton read a description of the bill from the work session document Exhibit C.] The first bill in the work session document is Senate Bill 4, which was heard on May 12, 2023. It authorizes the Department of Health and Human Services to provide grants or enter into contracts or intergovernmental agreements financed by the Fund for a Healthy Nevada to pay for or subsidize the cost of prescription drugs, pharmaceutical services, and certain other benefits for Nevada residents. There were no amendments.

Chair Peters:

Committee members, are there any questions? Seeing none, I will accept a motion to do pass.

ASSEMBLYMAN NGUYEN MOVED TO DO PASS SENATE BILL 4.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN NEWBY SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION PASSED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN TAYLOR WAS
ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

We will move on to Senate Bill 117 (1st Reprint).

**Senate Bill 117 (1st Reprint): Revises provisions relating to community health workers.
(BDR 38-333)**

Patrick Ashton, Committee Policy Analyst:

[Patrick Ashton read a description of the bill from the work session document [Exhibit D](#).] Senate Bill 117 (1st Reprint) was heard on April 28, 2023. It authorizes the director of the Department of Health and Human Services to include in the State Plan for Medicaid coverage for the services of community health workers who are supervised by specified types of health care providers other than physicians, physician assistants, or advanced practice registered nurses. There were no amendments.

Chair Peters:

Any questions, Committee members? Seeing none, I would entertain a motion to do pass.

ASSEMBLYMAN NGUYEN MOVED TO DO PASS SENATE BILL 117
(1ST REPRINT).

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GORELOW SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION PASSED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN TAYLOR WAS
ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

I am going to give that floor statement to Assemblyman Gray and give the floor statement for Senate Bill 4 to Assemblyman Hibbetts. We will move on to Senate Bill 119 (1st Reprint).

Senate Bill 119 (1st Reprint): Provides for the continuation of certain requirements governing insurance coverage of telehealth services. (BDR 57-336)

Patrick Ashton, Committee Policy Analyst:

[Patrick Ashton read a description of the bill from the work session document [Exhibit E](#).] Senate Bill 119 (1st Reprint) was heard on May 15, 2023. It requires a third-party payer other than an industrial insurer to cover telehealth services in the same amount as services

provided in person or by other means if the services are delivered to patients at eligible originating sites in rural areas or by certain health care facilities, excluding services provided through an audio-only telehealth interaction; or for counseling or treatment related to a mental health condition or substance use disorder, including services delivered through an audio-only telehealth interaction, and are provided to patients on or after July 1, 2023.

Further, the bill retains the requirement that a third-party payer who is not an industrial insurer cover certain services provided through telehealth—except for services provided through audio-only interaction—in the same amount as services provided in person or by other means between May 20, 2023, and June 30, 2023, which otherwise would have expired. There were no amendments.

Chair Peters:

Are there any questions? Seeing none, I would entertain a motion to do pass.

ASSEMBLYMAN GRAY MOVED TO DO PASS SENATE BILL 119
(1ST REPRINT).

ASSEMBLYMAN HAFEN SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION PASSED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN TAYLOR WAS
ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

I will give that floor statement to Assemblyman Hafen. Concerning Senate Bill 146 (1st Reprint), I was notified of an amendment, so I am having staff send that around to everybody. We are going to move that bill to the next meeting.

Senate Bill 146 (1st Reprint): Revises provisions relating to health care. (BDR 40-462)

[Senate Bill 146 (1st Reprint) was not considered.]

So, we will move on to Senate Bill 172 (1st Reprint).

Senate Bill 172 (1st Reprint): Revises provisions governing the ability of a minor to consent to certain health care services. (BDR 11-654)

Patrick Ashton, Committee Policy Analyst:

[Patrick Ashton read a description of the bill from the work session document [Exhibit F](#).] Senate Bill 172 (1st Reprint) was heard on May 5, 2023. It authorizes a minor to give express consent to services related to contraception and the examination, treatment, or prevention of sexually transmitted diseases. There is an amendment by Senator Harris, who proposes to amend subsection 2 of section 1 to clarify a minor may give express consent to

certain entities to: (a) provide services related to the prevention of sexually transmitted diseases; or (b) issue a prescription for the dispensing or administration of a contraceptive drug or device.

Chair Peters:

Thank you. Is there any discussion or questions on this item? Seeing none, I will entertain a motion to amend and do pass.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN THOMAS MADE A MOTION TO AMEND AND DO PASS SENATE BILL 172 (1ST REPRINT).

ASSEMBLYWOMAN NEWBY SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION PASSED. (ASSEMBLYMEN GRAY, HAFEN, HIBBETTS, AND KOENIG VOTED NO. ASSEMBLYWOMAN TAYLOR WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

I will assign that floor statement to Assemblywoman Thomas. All right, Senate Bill 177, Mr. Ashton.

Senate Bill 177: Imposes requirements governing Medicaid coverage of certain antipsychotic or anticonvulsant drugs. (BDR 38-82)

Patrick Ashton, Committee Policy Analyst:

[Patrick Ashton read a description of the bill from the work session document [Exhibit G](#).] Senate Bill 177 was heard on May 3, 2023. It codifies an existing requirement that Nevada Medicaid must automatically cover antipsychotic and anticonvulsant drugs under certain circumstances and extends this requirement to health maintenance organizations and managed care organizations that provide coverage to Medicaid recipients. There were no amendments.

Chair Peters:

Thank you. Are there any questions? Seeing none, I will entertain a motion to do pass.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GONZÁLEZ MADE A MOTION TO DO PASS SENATE BILL 177

ASSEMBLYWOMAN NEWBY SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION PASSED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN TAYLOR WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

I will assign that floor statement to Assemblywoman Newby. We will move on to Senate Bill 260 (1st Reprint).

Senate Bill 260 (1st Reprint): Revises provisions relating to certain persons providing referrals to group housing for persons who are aged. (BDR 40-675)

Patrick Ashton, Committee Policy Analyst:

[Patrick Ashton read an explanation of the bill from the work session document [Exhibit H](#).] Senate Bill 260 (1st Reprint) was heard on May 8, 2023. It requires a senior living community referral agency to disclose certain information to an aged person or the person's representative and to obtain the consent of the person or representative before making a referral. Additionally, the bill prescribes the authorized methods for determining the amount of compensation such a referral agency receives from a senior living community. There were no amendments.

Chair Peters:

Are there any questions from the Committee? Seeing none, I will entertain a motion to do pass.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GORELOW MADE A MOTION TO DO PASS
SENATE BILL 260 (1ST REPRINT).

ASSEMBLYMAN NGUYEN SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION PASSED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN TAYLOR WAS
ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

I will assign that floor statement to Assemblywoman González. Senate Bill 286 is next.

Senate Bill 286: Revises provisions relating to health care. (BDR 40-84)

Patrick Ashton, Committee Policy Analyst:

[Patrick Ashton read an explanation of the bill from the work session document [Exhibit I](#).] Senate Bill 286 was heard on May 3, 2023, and requires the Division of Public and Behavioral Health, Department of Health and Human Services to incorporate certain information relating to multiple sclerosis (MS) and the resources available to residents who have MS on the Division's website. Additionally, the bill requires the Governor to proclaim the third week of March as Multiple Sclerosis Awareness Week. There were no amendments.

Chair Peters:

Thank you. Are there any questions? Seeing none, I will entertain a motion to do pass.

ASSEMBLYMAN NGUYEN MOVED TO DO PASS SENATE BILL 286.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GORELOW SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION PASSED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN TAYLOR WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

I will assign that floor statement to Assemblyman Nguyen. Mr. Ashton, Senate Bill 411 (1st Reprint), please.

Senate Bill 411 (1st Reprint): Makes various changes related to services provided to persons with autism spectrum disorders. (BDR 5-248)

Patrick Ashton, Committee Policy Analyst:

[Patrick Ashton read an explanation of the bill from the work session document [Exhibit J](#).] Senate Bill 411 (1st Reprint) was heard on May 15, 2023. It authorizes the juvenile court to establish a treatment program for children diagnosed with or suspected to have autism spectrum disorders who are alleged or adjudicated to have committed a delinquent act. The court may assign such a child to the program based on certain eligibility criteria and must set terms and conditions concerning the successful program completion and formal supervision or probation for the child, as appropriate. Finally, the bill provides that the two members representing school districts on the Nevada Commission on Autism Spectrum Disorders are nonvoting members. There were no amendments.

Chair Peters:

Are there any questions from the Committee? Seeing none, I would entertain a motion to do pass.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN THOMAS MADE A MOTION TO DO PASS SENATE BILL 411 (1ST REPRINT).

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GORELOW SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? [There was none.]

THE MOTION PASSED. (ASSEMBLYMAN GRAY VOTED NO. ASSEMBLYWOMAN TAYLOR WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

I will assign that floor statement to Assemblywoman Gorelow. Thanks so much for hanging in there with us while we got through that. We will close our work session and move on to our bill hearing. We will open the bill hearing on Assembly Bill 466, which revises provisions relating to crisis intervention. You may begin when you are ready.

Assembly Bill 466: Revises provisions relating to crisis intervention. (BDR 39-1077)

Shannon Bennett, Bureau Chief, Bureau of Behavioral Health, Wellness and Prevention, Division of Public and Behavioral Health, Department of Health and Human Services:

[Shannon Bennett submitted a proposed amendment [Exhibit K](#) to Assembly Bill 466.] Thank you, Chair Peters and members of the Committee. It is a pleasure to be here with you. I am pleased to be joined today by Allison Genco, the Public Health Resource Officer with Governor Joe Lombardo's office. Today we are presenting to you Assembly Bill 466, which addresses concerns related to definitions of various telecommunication lines for the collection of fees to support the crisis response system and the 988 Suicide and Crisis Lifeline. The National Suicide Hotline Designation Act of 2020 was signed into law October 17, 2020. This gave permission to states to collect a fee on telecommunication services to support the crisis response system and the 988 Suicide and Crisis Lifeline.

During the 2021 Legislative Session, Senate Bill 390 of the 81st Session established the authority for the Board of Health to adopt regulations establishing a fee on certain telecommunication service lines. However, during the regulatory process to establish the fee, telecommunication providers began to express concerns about the way certain lines were defined. Telecommunication providers did not feel the existing language gave them the appropriate authority to collect fees on all the various lines as originally intended. This decreased the projected revenue from approximately \$15 million to \$1.5 million annually. We worked extensively with telecommunication providers to bring you Assembly Bill 466, which we believe captures all telecommunication service lines as originally intended. I will now pass it to Allison Genco to present the amendment.

Allison Genco, Public Health Resource Officer, Office of the Governor:

Thank you, Chair Peters, and members of the Assembly Health and Human Services Committee. I serve as the Public Health Resource Officer to Governor Joe Lombardo, and I am here today to present on the conceptual amendment to Assembly Bill 466, which is posted on the Nevada Electronic Legislative Information System. The following are the changes we are proposing: Under section 1, we want to ensure all lines are captured under 988 as was intended through Senate Bill 390 of the 81st Session. The second thing under section 1 is to amend the definitions of "access line," "commercial mobile service," "IP-enabled voice service," and "trunk line" to match Federal Communications Commission definitions. The third thing under section 1 is to remove the requirement for the Division and the State Board of Health to promulgate regulations and give the administrator the authority to reduce the surcharge below 35 cents if necessary.

Under section 2, we are requiring the telecommunications companies to report certain information to the Division and amending the effective date to September 1, 2023. I would be remiss if I did not thank the telecommunication companies for working with me and Ms. Bennett on this language, and we thank you for hearing this bill. We are here to answer any questions you may have.

Chair Peters:

Thank you for the presentation. Everyone has access to the amendment, and I was just looking at it myself. I have a couple of questions on my list, and we will start with Assemblyman Hafen.

Assemblyman Hafen:

Thank you, Madam Chair. First, I want to say thank you for bringing this. I remember having the hearing. It was Senator Ratti who brought the original 988 language, and it is something I think is going to be a huge success for the state. As sad as it is that we need this, it is much needed. This is a simple cleanup bill. From my recollection, it was always the intent to include all lines, so I appreciate the fact you are here today. This is just a cleanup bill, but I want to touch on what happens if this bill were not to move forward.

Shannon Bennett:

Thank you for the question. There are some funds available through our national partners through the federal government that will allow us to fund the suicide Lifeline to some extent. The additional revenue, this \$1.5 million, could also go toward that if the definitions would not get fixed, but it would not be to the extent we would need it to be. Our federal partners have been very clear that the success of 988 at the state level is dependent upon the state investing in the resources it has available to stand up the crisis response system.

Assemblyman Koenig:

How does this impact an already overburdened and underfunded mental health system in the state?

Shannon Bennett:

Thank you for the question. The crisis response system is part of the larger behavioral health system. The intention is to provide a place for people in crisis to go to be able to capture them, treat them, and serve them before they get into the emergency department, ultimately lowering the burden on emergency departments and getting people the care they need. The crisis response system is meant to enhance what we already have and get people into the correct treatment and resources they need to get better.

Chair Peters:

Thank you for the questions. How far do these dollars go? When these dollars come in, we start with the 988 phone line and the call center because we have a call center in Nevada tied into the national network that has been in place for a very long time; but outside of that, what else is affected by these dollars?

Shannon Bennett:

The intention of the crisis response system is to have someone to call, which is everything you just spoke about, to have someone respond if the situation requires more than just a phone call, so a mobile crisis team—designate a mobile crisis team. These funds could be utilized to provide technical assistance to mobile crisis teams to help stand them up and to work with Medicaid to fund them appropriately for any of the uninsured. These funds also could be used to do the same for crisis stabilization centers so individuals have somewhere to go. That is the entire piece. When you look at the crisis response system, it is more than just the phone line.

Chair Peters:

We have quite a few new people on the Committee, but we have been talking about the crisis care model for a long time, at least as long as I have been in this building, and even beyond that. The initial discussions were led by Senator Julia Ratti at the time, and it is quite layered as it relates to who participates in that process and in the different regions. The more we are able to stand up each of those layers, the better off our community is and the more folks we can capture before they go further into crisis. It is really a great program and scalable to the needs of our communities as long as we can find ways to fund it. Are there any other questions from the Committee?

Assemblyman Nguyen:

Thank you, Madam Chair. This question might be too technical, so if you have to phone a friend, I am on board with that. I was looking at it as a user of prepaid wireless service. Usually, they are sold in preset amounts like \$40 or \$50—even amounts. With postpaid, the taxes change every month. With prepaid, it is a set amount. Is the consumer going to know something is getting added on, or is this part of that wholesale price—the consumer would not know any different, and it would be the responsibility of the provider to send that to the state.

Allison Genco:

I think I would like to have one of our folks from the telecommunication companies come up and answer that question.

Chair Peters:

If anyone is willing to come up at this point, that would be fine, or we can hold it and ask in testimony if they choose to come up then.

Assemblyman Nguyen:

The reason I ask that question is because a lot of the prepaid users may or may not be aware of the capabilities. I used to be in the wireless industry, so that is why I am knowledgeable about this. At the beginning of cell service, and I was not around at that time, but during the early days, before all this great stuff came along, there were a lot of things prepaid wireless could not do versus postpaid wireless. The capability of 988 being available is awesome, and it should be used whether or not they are prepaid or postpaid. I am just wanting to, number

one, ask that question; and number two, I want to raise awareness of the capabilities that 988 can be accessed through these services as well, because there are still myths that prepaid and postpaid have different benefits. I want to make sure we have that on public record.

Allison Genco:

Thank you for addressing that, Assemblyman, and if it does not come up in testimony, we will certainly work on getting an answer for you.

Chair Peters:

Thank you for the questions. Are there any other questions from the Committee? I have one more. We have "place of primary use" in Nevada [section 1, subsection 1(b), [Exhibit K](#)]. How do you determine that a person's mobile devices are primarily used in Nevada? I am not sophisticated enough to know how to do this. To me, it starts with the area code, but my husband has an area code not from here because he got his cellphone when he was in the service. How do you decide or determine that metric?

Shannon Bennett:

As far as 988 goes, it is all about your area code. You get routed to the call center with the area code, so 702 and 775 come to Nevada, and I believe that is what is being defined here.

Chair Peters:

Okay. Are there any other questions? [There were none.] We will move into testimony. We will start with support testimony in our physical locations. You may begin when you are ready.

Lea Case, representing Nevada Public Health Association:

Good afternoon, Madam Chair and members of the Committee. I am here today on behalf of the Nevada Public Health Association in support of the amended version of [A.B. 466](#). We have been a part of the regulation development process on the 988 system for the past interim. It has been a long and complex process because there have not been great definitions of these phones. I am not a telecommunications expert and really need the clarity in law this will provide. There is a bill in the other house we are also monitoring that has similar definitions. We have not had time to analyze the two bills and do a comparison, but we will be grateful for the clarity this body can provide. Thank you so much.

Misty Grimmer, representing Cox Communications:

We are in support of the bill. As Ms. Case said, it has been a complicated process trying to figure out the definitions and exactly how it needs to be put into place. And it is good that there is a piece of legislation to make it clear in law because we all got confused during the regulation process about where it was applicable and where it was not. We are a telco, but we just barely started in wireless, so somebody else is going to have to answer all those questions.

Chair Peters:

Thank you. Seeing no one else coming up to the table in Carson City and no one in Las Vegas, is there anyone on the phone lines to provide support testimony on A.B. 466? [There was no one.] We will move into opposition testimony here in our physical locations. Is there anyone in Carson City or Las Vegas who would like to come up in opposition today?

Bryan Wachter, Senior Vice President, Retail Association of Nevada:

Good afternoon, Madam Chair. It is a pleasure to be in front of the Assembly Committee on Health and Human Services. We have no official position on A.B. 466 as written. We did not oppose its companion bill that was run in 2021 specifically because it did not include section 1, subsection 7, paragraph (e) in the amendment you received [[Exhibit K](#)]. This is a great service and a policy the Legislature should pursue, but we have concerns about tying the rate to prepaid phone cards. It puts an enormous amount of pressure on folks who are utilizing those types of minutes to talk to their family, get a job, or to provide any of the necessary things that they can. Putting that kind of surcharge rate on prepaid minutes we feel transfers the burden of most of the program to the wrong people or to people who can least afford it. We look forward to removing our concerns and opposition to the bill should that section come out of the amendment. We are happy to answer any questions.

Chair Peters:

Are there any questions? Thank you, Mr. Wachter. Seeing no one else coming to the tables in our physical locations, is there anyone on the phone line to provide opposition testimony on A.B. 466? [There was no one.] All right, we will move into neutral testimony. Is there anyone who would like to provide neutral testimony? Please come up to the tables in Carson City and Las Vegas. We will start here in Carson City.

Randy J. Brown, Director, Regulatory and Legislative Affairs, AT&T:

Thank you, Madam Chair. I am speaking on behalf of AT&T, and it is a pleasure to be here today. We first would like to thank Ms. Genco and Ms. Bennett for their efforts in working with us in clarifying the definitions. As I stated, it was not clear which items were assessable and which were not. We are neutral on this bill.

I would mention to Assemblyman Nguyen there are several ways you can handle prepaid, and different companies have different methods, but here are a couple of ways it could happen. Some companies choose to absorb those fees themselves, so the carrier would end up paying that surcharge each month. Speaking of the 911 surcharge, Nevada is one of only four states in the nation that does not assess the 911 surcharge on prepaid wireless. Forty-six other states do that; Nevada is one that does not. Assessing the surcharge for 988 on prepaid would be something new for Nevada. Another way to do it is to decrement minutes from the prepaid card. If a customer purchased \$50 worth of minutes, we would decrement 35 cents per month off the minutes they purchase—so, two different ways to handle it, but it is possible to do.

Jimmy Lau, representing Verizon:

Good afternoon, Chair Peters and members of the Committee. I am representing Verizon, here in the neutral position on this bill. We would like to thank the Office of the Governor and the Division for spending so much time working with us on clarifying the definitions and the collection process.

Shelly Capurro, representing Charter Communications:

Good afternoon, Chair and Committee. I am representing Charter Communications. Charter is in support of the Department of Health and Human Services collecting these funds to support the 988 hotline. We are also in support of the definitions. They are needed as intended in S.B. 390 of the 81st Session. We definitely want to thank the Governor's Office and Ms. Bennett and Ms. Genco for helping us get to this amendment.

Chair Peters:

We will move to the phones. Is there anyone on the public line to provide neutral testimony on A.B. 466 today? [There was no one.] Before we close out the hearing and take final remarks, Mr. Robbins has a response on the place of use.

Eric Robbins, Committee Counsel:

The definition of "place of primary use" in the proposed amendment references *United States Code* Title 4, Chapter 4, Section 124(8). That section defines "place of primary use" as the street address representative of where the customer's use of the mobile telecommunication device primarily occurs, which must be the residential street address or the primary business street address of the customer and within the licensed service area of the home service provider. So, the way I read it would be that it would not necessarily be the area code. If you were someone like me who lives in Nevada and has an out-of-state address, it would be the home address where you are billed. That is how I interpret it.

Chair Peters:

Thank you for the clarification. Are there any questions regarding those two clarifying points we received? [There were none.] Would the sponsors like to come up for closing remarks? [The bill sponsors declined.] All right, we are waiving closing remarks. We will close the hearing on Assembly Bill 466. That brings us to the end of our agenda for today. We will take public comment now, and then Assemblyman Gray has a comment he will make at the end.

We are going into public comment. Is there anyone in the room who would like to provide public comment? I do not see anyone coming to the chairs in our physical locations, so is there anyone on the line for public comment today? [There was no one.] We will close public comment, and Assemblyman Gray, please go ahead.

Assemblyman Gray:

Thank you, Madam Chair. I would like to correct the record if I could on Senate Bill 411 (1st Reprint). I was reading my comments under A.B. 411, and with everything going on, I made a mistake. I want to go on record that the vote should have been unanimous among those present. Could we please correct that record? I would really appreciate it.

Chair Peters:

I do not think we can correct the vote record, but we will definitely include it in the record for the meeting, and you have an opportunity to vote for S.B. 411 (R1) on the floor when it makes its way down there. This discussion will be included in the minutes for today.

Are there any other closing remarks before we adjourn? [There were none.] Thank you all so much, and we will have a meeting on Friday at the call of the Chair. With that, we are adjourned [at 1:26 p.m.].

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

Terry Horgan
Committee Secretary

APPROVED BY:

Assemblywoman Sarah Peters, Chair

DATE: _____

EXHIBITS

[Exhibit A](#) is the Agenda.

[Exhibit B](#) is the Attendance Roster.

[Exhibit C](#) is the Work Session Document for [Senate Bill 4](#), presented by Patrick Ashton, Committee Policy Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau.

[Exhibit D](#) is the Work Session Document for [Senate Bill 117 \(1st Reprint\)](#), presented by Patrick Ashton, Committee Policy Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau.

[Exhibit E](#) is the Work Session Document for [Senate Bill 119 \(1st Reprint\)](#), presented by Patrick Ashton, Committee Policy Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau.

[Exhibit F](#) is the Work Session Document for [Senate Bill 172 \(1st Reprint\)](#), presented by Patrick Ashton, Committee Policy Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau.

[Exhibit G](#) is the Work Session Document for [Senate Bill 177](#), presented by Patrick Ashton, Committee Policy Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau.

[Exhibit H](#) is the Work Session Document for [Senate Bill 260 \(1st Reprint\)](#), presented by Patrick Ashton, Committee Policy Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau.

[Exhibit I](#) is the Work Session Document for [Senate Bill 286](#), presented by Patrick Ashton, Committee Policy Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau.

[Exhibit J](#) is the Work Session Document for [Senate Bill 411 \(1st Reprint\)](#), presented by Patrick Ashton, Committee Policy Analyst, Research Division, Legislative Counsel Bureau.

[Exhibit K](#) is a proposed amendment to [Assembly Bill 466](#), presented by Shannon Bennett, Bureau Chief, Bureau of Behavioral Health, Wellness and Prevention, Division of Public and Behavioral Health, Department of Health and Human Services; and Allison Genco, Public Health Resource Officer, Office of the Governor.