

**MINUTES OF THE JOINT MEETING OF THE
SENATE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
AND THE
ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS**

**Eighty-second Session
May 1, 2023**

The joint meeting of the Senate Committee on Finance and the Assembly Committee on Ways and Means was called to order by Chair Marilyn Dondero Loop at 8:00 a.m. on Monday, May 1, 2023, in Room 4100 of the Legislative Building, Carson City, Nevada. The meeting was videoconferenced to Room 4412E of the Grant Sawyer State Office Building, 555 East Washington Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada. [Exhibit A](#) is the Agenda. [Exhibit B](#) is the Attendance Roster. All exhibits are available and on file in the Research Library of the Legislative Counsel Bureau.

SENATE COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Senator Marilyn Dondero Loop, Chair
Senator Nicole J. Cannizzaro, Vice Chair
Senator Dallas Harris
Senator Dina Neal
Senator Rochelle T. Nguyen
Senator Pete Goicoechea
Senator Heidi Seevers Gansert
Senator Robin L. Titus

ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Assemblywoman Daniele Monroe-Moreno, Chair
Assemblywoman Shea Backus, Vice Chair
Assemblywoman Natha C. Anderson
Assemblywoman Tracy Brown-May
Assemblywoman Jill Dickman
Assemblywoman Michelle Gorelow
Assemblyman Gregory T. Hafen II
Assemblywoman Sandra Jauregui
Assemblywoman Heidi Kasama
Assemblyman Cameron (C.H.) Miller
Assemblyman P.K. O'Neill

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 2

Assemblyman Howard Watts
Assemblyman Steve Yeager

COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT:

Assemblywoman Sarah Peters

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:

Wayne Thorley, Senate Fiscal Analyst
Sarah Coffman, Assembly Fiscal Analyst
Cathy Crocket, Chief Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst
Brody Leiser Chief Principal Deputy Fiscal Analyst
Stephanie Day, Principal Program Analyst
Nicolette Johnston, Program Analyst
Daniel Miller, Program Analyst
Nancy Morris, Program Analyst
Colby Nichols, Program Analyst
Marie Bell, Committee Secretary
Helen Wood, Committee Secretary

OTHERS PRESENT:

Todd Myler, Manager of Budgets, Supreme Court of Nevada

Chair Dondero Loop:

We will begin with the budget closing of the Judicial Branch.

STEPHANIE DAY (Principal Program Analyst):

The Judicial Overview begins on page 3 of the Senate Committee on Finance and Assembly Committee on Ways and Means Closing List #9, dated May 1, 2023 ([Exhibit C](#)).

The Judicial Branch Budget Reform Act of 2023 is the Judicial Branch's budget proposal for the 2023-2025 biennium. It includes funding requests based on the proposed legislation, Senate Bill (S.B.) 58, that the Judicial Branch is designating as the Judicial Branch Budget Reform Act of 2023. To support the role of the Judiciary as a separate and equal branch of government, it would

establish a Judicial Fund; eliminate reliance on the Executive Branch to provide State services and technology by implementing court managed central services; and develop a unique compensation schedule for Judicial Branch employees.

SENATE BILL 58: Revises provisions related to the Judicial Department of the State Government. (BDR 1-436)

There are six Major Closing Issues in the Judicial Branch Overview as listed on page 4 of [Exhibit C](#). Major Closing Issue 1 is budget reorganization. The Judicial Branch recommends the consolidation of the Judicial Programs and Services Division in budget account (B/A) 101-1484, Uniform System of Judicial Records in B/A 101-1486, and Judicial Education in B/A 101-1487 budgets into the Administrative Office of the Courts B/A 101-1483, including the transfer of 37 positions. This includes decision units E-900, E-901 and E-902. The change is recommended to consolidate functions spread across numerous budget accounts allowing the organization to provide more efficient and effective support.

Judicial Programs and Services Division — Budget Page JUDICIAL-45 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-1484

E-900 Transfers — Page JUDICIAL-49

Uniform System of Judicial Records — Budget Page JUDICIAL-51 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-1486

E-901 Transfers — Page JUDICIAL-56

Judicial Education — Budget Page JUDICIAL-59 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-1487

E-902 Transfers — Page JUDICIAL-63

Administrative Office of the Courts — Budget Page JUDICIAL-35 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-1483

E-900 Transfers — Page JUDICIAL-40

E-901 Transfers — Page JUDICIAL-41

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 4

E-902 Transfers — Page JUDICIAL-42

According to the Judicial Branch, the Court operates with a single organizational chart, and the budget reorganization would be consistent with the structure. Judicial Branch staff indicated it is not the intent to move positions around between programs, but rather it wants the flexibility to meet legislative priorities and address new needs as they arise.

Do the Committees wish to approve the budget reorganization to consolidate the Judicial Programs and Services Division, Uniform System of Judicial Records and Judicial Education budgets into the Administrative Office of the Courts budget as recommended by the Judicial Branch?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE JUDICIAL BRANCH BUDGET REORGANIZATION TO CONSOLIDATE THE JUDICIAL PROGRAMS AND SERVICES DIVISION B/A 101-1484 DECISION UNIT E-900, UNIFORM SYSTEM OF JUDICIAL RECORDS B/A 101-1486 DECISION UNIT 901 AND JUDICIAL EDUCATION B/A 101-1487 DECISION UNIT 902 INTO THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS BUDGET B/A 101-1483 DECISION UNITS E-900, E-901 AND E-902 AS RECOMMENDED BY THE JUDICIAL BRANCH AS SHOWN BEGINNING ON PAGE 4 OF [EXHIBIT C](#).

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLY MEMBERS O'NEILL, HAFEN, AND DICKMAN VOTED NO.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR TITUS VOTED NO.)

* * * * *

Ms. DAY:

Major Closing Issue 2 on page 5 of [Exhibit C](#) is the court administrative assessment revenue. The Judicial Branch recommends General Fund appropriations of \$5.4 million in each fiscal year of the 2023-2025 biennium to reduce the Courts' dependency on court administrative assessment revenue.

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 5

The recommendation includes shifting all court administrative assessment revenue in Judicial Branch B/As 101-1486 decision units E-511 and E-911; 101-1487 decision units E-512 and E-912; 101-1494 decision units E-310 and E-910; 101-1495 decision units E-310, E-911 and E-912; and 101-1496 decision unit E-310 to the Administrative Office of the Courts B/A 101-1483 decision units E-510 and E-910.

E-510 Adjustments To Transfers — Page JUDICIAL-39
E-910 Transfers — Page JUDICIAL-43
E-511 Adjustments To Transfers — Page JUDICIAL-55
E-911 Transfers — Page JUDICIAL-57
E-512 Adjustments To Transfers — Page JUDICIAL-62
E-912 Transfers — Page JUDICIAL-64

Supreme Court — Budget Page JUDICIAL-11 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-1494

E-310 Safety, Security and Justice — Page JUDICIAL-18
E-910 Transfers — Page JUDICIAL-19

Specialty Court — Budget Page JUDICIAL-66 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-1495

E-310 Safety, Security and Justice — Page JUDICIAL-69
E-911 Transfers — Page JUDICIAL-69
E-912 Transfers — Page JUDICIAL-69

Senior Justice & Senior Judge Program — Budget Page JUDICIAL-28 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-1496

E-310 Safety, Security and Justice — Page JUDICIAL-30

Court assessment revenues have been significantly impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic and the incorporation of Senate Joint Resolution No. 17 of the 78th Session, known as Marsy's Law, into the Nevada Constitution in 2018, which requires restitution to be collected on many charges first. Assembly Bill (A.B.) No. 116 of the Eighty-first Session was approved and

changed certain traffic offenses from criminal misdemeanors to civil infractions while placing a maximum civil penalty of \$500 on those infractions.

Based on a projection prepared by the Judicial Branch in November 2022, total projected court administrative assessment revenue of \$31.1 million for the 2023-2025 biennium represents a 30.2 percent decrease as compared to the revenue source for the 2021-2023 biennium. The fiscal impact of A.B. No. 116 of the Eighty-first Session on the court administrative assessment revenue is unknown, and, therefore it is not included in the Judicial Branch's projection for the 2023-2025 biennium.

The Office of the Governor, Office of Finance (GFO) seeks to deposit all court administrative assessments collected directly into the State General Fund through S.B. 448, which has been referred to the Senate Committee on Finance. The Executive Budget includes enhancements in Executive Branch budgets to eliminate all court administrative assessment revenue and instead support the budgets with direct appropriations from the General Fund.

SENATE BILL 448: Revises provisions governing the distribution of the proceeds of certain administrative assessments. (BDR 14-1092)

The Judicial Branch submitted S.B. 58 which seeks to eliminate the specific distribution of court administrative assessment revenue within Judicial Branch budgets to allow for shifting of the revenue source in Judicial Branch budgets to the Administrative Office of the Courts.

Court staff stated potential statutory or case law hurdles that may arise from the reassignment of court administrative assessment revenues without being committed for the benefit of the court system could potentially be overcome if all court administrative assessments distributed to State budgets were instead allocated to the General Fund.

Pursuant to *Nevada Revised Statutes* (NRS) 176.059, a portion of court administrative assessments are deposited into a special account in the State General Fund and distributed to specific Judicial Branch and Executive Branch budgets. Undistributed funds are deposited into the General Fund. Senate Bill 448 would eliminate the special account and the requirements for distribution and use of the money in that account, resulting in court

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 7

administrative assessment revenue being deposited into the unrestricted General Fund.

A work session of the Senate Committee on Finance and Assembly Committee on Ways and Means was held on April 15, 2023. Members discussed S.B. 448 and the benefits of depositing the court administrative assessments into the General Fund with commensurate direct General Fund appropriations in all respective Judicial Branch and Executive Branch budgets.

On April 27, 2023, the Judicial Branch submitted a conceptual amendment to S.B. 58 which would eliminate all provisions of the Bill related to court administrative assessments, thereby aligning the Bill with S.B. 448 as proposed by the GFO.

Do the Committees wish to replace all court administrative assessment revenue with General Fund appropriations in the Judicial Branch budgets, consistent with the Governor's recommendation for the Executive Branch budgets, resulting in additional General Fund appropriations of \$11.5 million in fiscal year (FY) 2023-2024 and \$11.8 million in FY 2024-2025?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO REPLACE ALL COURT ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENT REVENUE WITH GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS IN THE JUDICIAL BRANCH B/A 101-1483 DECISION UNITS E-510 AND E-910, B/A 101-1486 DECISION UNITS E-511 AND E-911, B/A 101-1487 DECISION UNITS E-512 AND E-912, B/A 101-1494 DECISION UNITS E-310 AND E-910, B/A 101-1495 DECISION UNIT E-310, E-911 AND E-912 AND B/A 101-1496 DECISION UNIT E-310, CONSISTENT WITH THE GOVERNOR'S RECOMMENDATION FOR THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH BUDGETS RESULTING IN ADDITIONAL GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$11.5 MILLION IN FY 2023-2024 AND \$11.8 MILLION IN FY 2024-2025 AS SHOWN ON PAGE 7 OF [EXHIBIT C](#).

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

* * * * *

Ms. DAY:

Major Closing Issue 3, General Fund Reversions and Budget Flexibility, is on page 7 of [Exhibit C](#). The Judicial Branch recommends approval of S.B. 58, which seeks to establish flexibility within its budgets, create enhanced autonomy as a separate branch of government, create the Judicial Fund and provide that the money in the Fund does not revert to the General Fund at the end of a fiscal year.

The Committee has expressed concerns with the provisions of S.B. 58. At the April 15, 2023, work session, the Committees directed Fiscal staff to provide options that would allow Judicial Branch budget flexibility other than creating a Judicial Fund or allowing the Judicial Branch to retain funds remaining in Judicial Branch budgets at the end of a fiscal year.

Based on a fiscal note submitted by the Administrative Office of the Courts for S.B. 58, there would not be a fiscal impact to the Judicial Branch with the original plan for S.B. 58 since the Judicial Fund contemplated in the legislation it would be funded through savings achieved in the Judicial Branch out of appropriations and applicable authorizations made at the beginning of each biennium.

Fiscal staff notes while there may not be a fiscal impact to the Judicial Branch, there would be a fiscal impact to the General Fund. Funds remaining in the Judicial Branch budgets at the end of each fiscal year would no longer revert to the General Fund. If the Committees wish to replace the court administrative assessment revenues with General Fund appropriations in Judicial Branch budgets, consistent with the Governor's recommendation for Executive Branch budgets as presented in Major Closing Issue 2, the Judicial Branch would be funded through General Fund appropriations. Additionally, the budgets funded with General Fund appropriations would no longer maintain a reserve balance.

Fiscal staff provided budget flexibility options requested by the Committees at the April 15, 2023, work session, which the Judicial Branch supports.

Option 1 described on pages 7 and 8 of [Exhibit C](#) is to create a Judicial Branch Staff Salaries B/A 101-1482. Of the Judicial Branch's 170 existing positions, 168 are funded 100 percent with General Fund appropriations and/or court administrative assessment revenue. The other two positions are funded with 75 percent federal Court Improvement Program grant funds and 25 percent General Fund appropriations. The 32 new positions requested in enhancement decision units throughout the various Judicial Branch budgets are all requested to be funded with 100 percent General Fund appropriations and/or court administrative assessment revenue.

To provide flexibility to the Judicial Branch, the Committees may wish to consider the consolidation of all Judicial Branch positions into a new budget account, which would only contain funding for the salary and benefits of non-elected Judicial Branch employees, and to fund the budget similar to how the Governor's Office budget is funded for salaries.

Various funding options for the new consolidated Judicial Branch Staff Salary B/A 101-1482, including the existing 170 positions and 32 new proposed positions, is outlined in Attachment 1 on page 23 of [Exhibit C](#). The amount of funding available for the Judicial Branch Staff Salaries budget will be dependent on the Committee's decisions in Major Closing Issue 4 on page 10 of [Exhibit C](#) and the New Proposed Judicial Branch Classification and Compensation Schedule on page 11 of [Exhibit C](#).

If the Committee approves Option 1, the Judicial Branch could develop its own compensation schedule over the 2023-2024 Interim for its staff within the limits of funding available in the new Judicial Branch Staff Salaries budget. With this option, all non-elected positions within the Judicial Branch would be accounted for with the Judicial Branch Staff Salaries budget. Judicial Branch positions would no longer be included in the unclassified Pay Bill. Given the level of flexibility this option would afford the Judicial Branch, the Committees may wish to consider providing further clarification on the use, reporting and restrictions the Committees may wish to establish on the funding in the Judicial Branch Staff Salaries budget as listed on page 8 of [Exhibit C](#).

Option A would consider whether to prohibit the Judicial Branch Staff Salaries budget from all transfers of General Fund appropriations between budget accounts and fiscal years.

Option B would consider whether to prohibit the Judicial Branch Staff Salaries budget from supplemental General Fund appropriations and Interim Finance Committee (IFC) Contingency Account allocations.

Option C would consider whether to require reporting to the IFC including, but not limited to, information regarding all salary adjustments. If reporting is desired by the Committees, the frequency of such reporting such as monthly, quarterly or annually would need to be determined.

Option D would consider whether to require individual position data be included in the Nevada Executive Budget System in future budget submittals, including, but not limited to, working titles, annual salaries and all position attributes required to allow for system calculation of salaries, benefits, and cost of living adjustments (COLAs) and benefit rate changes as applicable.

Option E would consider whether to require enhancement decision units in future budget submittals for recommendations for new positions and/or increases in the total funding level of salaries approved by the Legislature.

For budget flexibility options, an amendment to S.B. 58 or other enabling legislation would be required to add language similar to NRS 223.085 related to positions in the Office of the Governor that provides for employment of appropriate staff, with annual salaries and benefits as the Judicial Branch deems necessary, within the limits of legislative appropriations for that purpose.

Do the Committees wish to approve the consolidation of all Judicial Branch positions and associated position funding, including all new positions approved by the Legislature into one new B/A 101-1482, and provide the Judicial Branch with flexibility to develop its own compensation schedule limited to the amount of available funding into that budget? If so, do the Committees wish to implement any of the noted restrictions or reporting requirements as outlined in Options A through E on page 8 of [Exhibit C](#)?

To effectuate the budget flexibility options, an amendment to S.B. 58 or other enabling legislation would be required to provide for the employment of appropriate staff, with annual salaries and benefits as the Judicial Branch deems necessary, within the limits of legislative appropriations for that purpose, and

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 11

additional language as necessary, consistent with the Committees' actions on Options A through E.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE AS DESCRIBED ON PAGE 7 AND 8 OF [EXHIBIT C](#) THE CONSOLIDATION OF ALL JUDICIAL BRANCH POSITIONS AND ASSOCIATED POSITION FUNDING INCLUDING ALL NEW POSITIONS APPROVED BY THE LEGISLATURE INTO ONE NEW B/A 101-1482 AND PROVIDE THE JUDICIAL BRANCH WITH FLEXIBILITY TO DEVELOP ITS OWN COMPENSATION SCHEDULE LIMITED TO THE AMOUNT OF FUNDING AVAILABLE IN THAT BUDGET; ALLOW THE JUDICIAL BRANCH TO IMPLEMENT ANY OF THE NOTED RESTRICTIONS OR REPORTING REQUIREMENTS AS OUTLINED IN OPTIONS A, B, C, D, AND E ON PAGE 8 OF [EXHIBIT C](#); AND TO SUPPORT AMENDING S.B. 58 OR OTHER ENABLING LEGISLATION TO ADD LANGUAGE THAT PROVIDES FOR THE EMPLOYMENT OF APPROPRIATE STAFF WITH ANNUAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS AS THE JUDICIAL BRANCH DEEMS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THE BUDGET FLEXIBILITY OPTIONS, CONSISTENT WITH THE COMMITTEES' ACTIONS ON OPTIONS A THROUGH E.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

SENATOR SEEVERS GANSERT:

I appreciate the proposal by the Judiciary and some of the constraints proposed in Options A through E, but it goes too far. When you look at the tables on page 23 of [Exhibit C](#), salary enhancements were built into the base budget. The enhancements proposed are substantially more money than we would have proposed.

The Judiciary should get some flexibility; however, we will not have control over salaries. Several positions were proposed for a salary of close to \$200,000 per year. We do not pay our Executive Branch cabinet level people that much money. I understand they need to be competitive, but providing so much flexibility is beyond what we should be doing.

I have issues with the way this is structured. We will receive information from them but have no authority over those wages. This affects future biennia. We will see substantial increases in the General Fund that we will not be able to

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 12

manage. Other offices of the State government, for example, the Office of the Attorney General (AG), are competing for staff. They will not have the flexibility to pay attorneys at the same level as the Judiciary Branch.

In law enforcement, our State employees are able to see what we can pay them. The Judiciary Branch are State employees, but they will have the flexibility to go way beyond what we can offer other State employees in any other branch of government.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO:

This particular option would be to consolidate non-elected employee positions into one account. The intent would be to fund it at the prior legislatively approved budget plus any COLAs or other enhancement pieces in the context of State employees across the board for the Judicial, Legislative and Executive Branches, as discussed at the work session. This is a possible option brought forth to the full Committees, so there would be pay increases commensurate with other Executive and Legislative positions. This is not an approval of built-in increases we saw during the initial presentation of this budget.

Ms. DAY:

If Option 1 is chosen, the Body would determine the size of the pot of money the Judicial Branch Staff Salaries budget would have in Major Closing Issue 4 on page 10 of [Exhibit C](#). The Committees have other options to decide on the size of that pot.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO:

I want to confirm we understand the motion and what the approval is. I see my colleague's concerns with extensive unmanageable growth that obligates funds we may or may not have in the future. With the restrictions and reporting requirements included in Options A through E on page 9 of [Exhibit C](#), I am comfortable knowing we would allocate the pot of money. It would give the Judicial Branch flexibility and discretion with respect to staff and hiring, but there would be appropriate safeguards to ensure we are not putting ourselves in a tenuous position.

SENATOR GOICOCHEA:

If they had vacancy savings, could they roll those into the salary schedule?

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 13

Ms. DAY:

This consolidation would take positions in the separate budget accounts and move them into one budget account. The positions vacant in those individual budget accounts would still be vacant positions in the new consolidated budget account.

SENATOR GOICOECHEA:

Can the Judiciary use the salary for a vacant position to increase the salary of a filled position? If so, would we lose control of the salary schedule?

WAYNE THORLEY (Senate Fiscal Analyst):

Under the current structure, the Judicial Branch is authorized to expend administrative assessment revenue on salaries. If there are vacancy savings in their budgets, they could use that money. The consolidation of budget accounts does not change that. It just moves all the positions into one budget account. Right now, they are spread out among several budget accounts.

The way the closing document is structured is a layered approach. This is the first layer. There are other options for the Committees to consider, including the pot of money allocated for the funds and salaries. There are also potential additional restrictions on transfers between budget accounts with the option to limit the growth in salaries to only what the Legislature approves.

SENATOR GOICOECHEA:

I will oppose this until I see what the rest of the program looks like.

SENATOR NEAL:

I assume the decisions we make today will amend S.B. 58 to make it passable.

MR. THORLEY:

Part of the motion on page 9 of [Exhibit C](#) is creating significant amendments to S.B. 58 or drafting other enabling legislation that adds language to effectuate the Options A through E.

SENATOR NEAL:

Are you saying we could enable legislation limiting transfers and funds?

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 14

MR. THORLEY:

The enabling legislation is the language in the decision box at the top of page 9 of [Exhibit C](#). Senate Bill 58 does not have to be the vehicle to accomplish the legislative changes if the Bill is approved. The idea is there would be legislation proposed either through an amended version of S.B. 58 or another bill to effectuate a change if the change is approved by the Assembly Committee on Ways and Means and the Senate Committee on Finance.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLY MEMBERS DICKMAN, HAFEN AND O'NEILL VOTED NO.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATORS GOICOECHEA, SEEVERS GANSERT AND TITUS VOTED NO.)

* * * * *

Ms. DAY:

Option 2 of the Judicial Branch budget reorganization is the transfer of General Fund appropriations as shown on page 9 of [Exhibit C](#). After the work session, the Judicial Branch requested further flexibility for its remaining operating budgets should the Legislature approve the option for a new Judicial Branch Staff Salaries B/A 101-1482. The Judicial Branch would like the ability to transfer funds between fiscal years and between budget accounts for the Supreme Court B/A 101-1494, Administrative Office of the Courts B/A 101-1483, Judicial Programs and Services Division B/A 101-1484, Uniform System for Judicial Records B/A 101-1486, Judicial Education B/A 101-1487, Court of Appeals B/A 101-1489, Senior Justice and Senior Judge Program, B/A 101-1496, and the Law Library B/A 101-2889.

Court of Appeals — Budget Page JUDICIAL-21 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-1489

Law Library — Budget Page JUDICIAL-31 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-2889

The authority to transfer General Fund appropriations between fiscal years and between budget accounts with certain restrictions is now granted to other State agencies through back language in the Appropriations Act. If the Committees wish to allow the Judicial Branch to transfer funds among certain budget accounts and between fiscal years within those budget accounts, the Committees may wish to consider several options as listed on page 9 of [Exhibit C](#).

Option A would consider whether to require the transfers be approved by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court.

Option B would consider whether to require reporting to the IFC regarding any transfers between fiscal years and budget accounts, and the frequency of the reporting.

Option C would consider whether to prohibit the State Judicial Elected Officials B/A 101-1490 and Judicial Retirement System State Share B/A 101-1491 from the transfer of funds between budget accounts and/or fiscal years.

State Judicial Elected Officials — Budget Page JUDICIAL-25 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-1490

Judicial Retirement System State Share — Budget Page JUDICIAL-74 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-1491

Option D would consider, if approved, whether to prohibit the Judicial Branch Staff Salaries B/A 101-1482 from the transfer of funds between budget accounts and/or fiscal years.

Option E would consider whether to limit the transfer of funds to operating budgets only.

Do the Committees wish to allow the Judicial Branch to transfer funds between fiscal years and between accounts for the Supreme Court B/A 101-1494, Administrative Office of the Courts B/A 101-1483, Judicial Programs and Services Division B/A 101-1484, Uniform System of Judicial Records B/A 101-1486, Judicial Education B/A 101-1487, Court of Appeals

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 16

B/A 101-1489, Senior Justice and Senior Judge Program B/A 101-1496, and the Law Library B/A 101-2889?

If so, do the Committees wish to implement any of the noted restrictions or reporting requirements as outlined on page 9 of [Exhibit C](#) in Options A, B, C, D, and E?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO ALLOW THE JUDICIAL BRANCH TO TRANSFER FUNDS BETWEEN FISCAL YEARS AND BETWEEN ACCOUNTS FOR THE SUPREME COURT B/A 101-1494, ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS B/A 101-1483, JUDICIAL PROGRAMS AND SERVICES DIVISION B/A 101-1484, UNIFORM SYSTEM OF JUDICIAL RECORDS B/A 101-1486, JUDICIAL EDUCATION B/A 101-1487, COURT OF APPEALS B/A 101-1489, SENIOR JUSTICE AND SENIOR JUDGE PROGRAM, B/A 101-1496, AND THE LAW LIBRARY B/A 101-2889; AND TO IMPLEMENT THE RESTRICTIONS OUTLINED IN OPTIONS A, B, C, D, AND E, AS SHOWN BEGINNING ON PAGE 9 OF [EXHIBIT C](#).

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLY MEMBERS DICKMAN, HAFEN, KASAMA AND O'NEILL VOTED NO.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATORS GOICOECHEA, SEEVERS GANSERT AND TITUS VOTED NO.)

* * * * *

Ms. DAY:

The Major Closing Issue 4 on page 10 of [Exhibit C](#) is a proposed new Judicial Branch classification and compensation schedule. The Judicial Branch recommends total funding of \$13.3 million, of which \$8.8 million is General Fund appropriations, over the 2023-2025 biennium. This would move the Court's 170 existing positions, excluding Judicial elected officials, into new non-classified position classifications with proposed salary increases as detailed in Attachment 2 on page 25 of [Exhibit C](#).

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 17

The Judicial Branch recommends a proposed new classification and compensation schedule for State employees of the Judicial Branch; however, if the Committees approve Major Closing Issue 3, General Fund Reversions and Budget Flexibility, the Committees will not be approving funding based on the proposed new compensation schedule of the Judicial Branch. The Committees would be approving the appropriations for the Judicial Branch Staff Salaries account over the 2023-2025 biennium. This is determining that pot of money.

If the Committees do not approve Major Closing Issue 3, Fiscal staff has provided details beginning on page 10 of [Exhibit C](#) for the Committees to consider funding for the Judicial Branch's original request related to a proposed new Judicial Branch classification and compensation schedule. However, since the motion passed, we will move to page 14 of [Exhibit C](#).

The work session discussion and post-work session update/information begins on page 14 of [Exhibit C](#). A joint work session of the Assembly Committee on Ways and Means and the Senate Committee on Finance was held April 15, 2023. The Committees voiced concerns over potential inequities in pay that could result between similar positions and employees with similar qualifications. The inequities include attorneys in different branches of government compared to the Deputy Attorney General position in the Office of the Attorney General. The maximum annual salary proposed by the Judicial Branch could be as much as \$30,000 greater than the directors of major departments in the Executive Branch. The inequities include the directors of the Nevada Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) and the Nevada Department of Corrections, who oversee thousands of employees.

The Committees also discussed global issues concerning COLAs and Nevada Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) and wanted to ensure raises provided to State employees are sustainable. In response to questions following the budget hearing on March 13, 2023, the Judicial Branch indicated the position classifications identified as having disproportionate classifications are the auditor, guardianship investigator, judicial auditor, judicial programs manager and the chief information security officer positions.

After the work session, Judicial Branch staff provided follow-up information including the Judicial Branch's working titles for each position, detailing salary adjustments for existing positions based on the current grade and step

classification system, which are included as Attachment 4 on pages 33 and 34 of [Exhibit C](#).

Fiscal staff estimates the fiscal impact of the requested 54 position reclassifications and/or salary adjustments is approximately \$1.5 million per fiscal year including benefits. Twenty-one positions have been reclassified since the 2021 Legislatively approved budget. The budget, as finalized, includes original adjustments to salaries, excluding benefits of \$172,527, and additional proposed adjustments to salaries for those positions, excluding benefits of \$331,959 for those 21 positions. This resulted in total recommended adjustments to salaries of \$504,486 including the proposed additional salary increases. The total fiscal impact including benefits is estimated by Fiscal staff at approximately \$700,000, which is approximately \$250,000 for the original salary adjustments and \$450,000 more for the additional proposed salary adjustments, in each fiscal year of the 2023-2025 biennium. And, that would include benefits.

Thirty-three additional positions have been identified by the Judicial Branch as having a disproportionate classification compared to the Legislatively approved positions. They are requested to be adjusted effective July 1, 2023, with salary adjustments of \$582,441, excluding benefits, with a total fiscal impact of approximately \$800,000 in each fiscal year of the 2023-2025 biennium, including benefits.

Fiscal staff notes the revised maximum salaries provided by the Judicial Branch for two positions would increase to \$203,634 annually if the COLAs are approved as recommended by the Governor, and \$207,707 annually if an additional 2 percent COLA is approved by the Legislature for those positions. For comparison, the maximum salaries proposed would exceed the maximum salaries for unclassified directors of major Executive Branch departments of \$166,100 annually if the COLAs are approved as recommended by the Governor, and \$169,420 annually if an additional 2 percent COLA is approved by the Legislature for those positions by \$37,534 and \$38,287 respectively.

Judicial Branch staff also provided information for the 32 new positions requested in enhancement decision units throughout various Judicial Branch budgets and discussed in the closing documents of the respective budgets, which is included as Attachment 5 on page 35 of [Exhibit C](#). The information is

based on the current position structure. It includes working titles and proposed classified service equivalent grade and step classifications with the associated maximum salary for each position.

If the Committees wish to not approve the salary increases as originally proposed by the Judicial Branch and instead approve the positions based on the Legislatively approved current position structure including any COLAs approved for Executive Branch and Legislative Branch staff not represented by a collective bargaining unit, the Committees may wish to consider Option A-D, listed on pages 15 and 16 of [Exhibit C](#).

In Option A, the Committees could approve General Fund appropriations of \$1.3 million over the 2023-2025 biennium to fund all existing Judicial Branch positions calculated using the Judicial Branch's current position structure equivalent to the classified service grade and step system at a Step 10.

In Option B, the Committees could approve General Fund appropriations of approximately \$250,000 in each fiscal year of the 2023-2025 biennium to fund salary adjustments for 21 positions reclassified between the Legislatively approved budget for the current biennium and April 14, 2023.

In Option C, the Committees could approve General Fund appropriations of approximately \$450,000 in each fiscal year of the 2023-2025 biennium to fund additional proposed adjustments to salaries effective July 1, 2023, for the 21 positions reclassified between the Legislatively approved budget for the current biennium and April 14, 2023. Doing so would result in total General Fund appropriations to fund adjustments to salaries for those 21 positions of \$700,000 in each fiscal year of the 2023-2025 biennium.

In Option D, the Committees could approve General Fund appropriations of approximately \$800,000 in each fiscal year of the 2023-2025 biennium to fund 33 additional positions identified by the Judicial Branch as having a disproportionate classification compared to the Legislatively approved budget for the current biennium. They are requested to be adjusted effective July 1, 2023.

If the Committees approved Major Closing Issue 3, the next decision would reflect the funding amount for the Judicial Branch Staff Salaries account and would not implement a decision for funding specific staff salaries.

Do the Committees wish to approve Option 1 or Option 2 on Page 17 of [Exhibit C](#)?

Option 1 is funding for a proposed new Judicial Branch classification and compensation schedule, including total funding of \$13.3 million, which includes \$8.8 million in General Fund appropriations for salary increases, as recommended by the Judicial Branch.

Option 2 is funding for Judicial Branch positions at the levels approved by the 2021 Legislature, including any COLAs approved for Executive and Legislative Branch staff not represented by a collective bargaining unit, which would result in savings of \$13.3 million, including \$8.8 million in the General Fund, over the 2023-2025 biennium compared to the amounts requested in the Executive Budget. The savings reflected does not include COLAs for the 2023-2025 biennium, which would be addressed in the Pay Bill.

If the Committees wish to approve Option 2, do the Committees wish to also approve funding for adjustments to positions made by the Judicial Branch after the 2021 Legislature adjourned and through April 14, 2023, and/or salary increases for positions identified by the Judicial Branch as having a disproportionate classification. If so, the Committees may wish to consider more options.

The Committees could approve Option A, General Fund appropriations of \$1.3 million over the 2023-2025 biennium to fund all existing Judicial Branch positions calculated using the Judicial Branch's current position structure equivalent to the classified service grade and step system at a Step 10.

In Option B, the Committees could approve General Fund appropriations of approximately \$250,000 in each fiscal year of the 2023-2025 biennium to fund salary adjustments for 21 positions identified by the Judicial Branch as being reclassified after the 2021 Legislature adjourned and through April 14, 2023.

Option C would approve General Fund appropriations of approximately \$450,000 in each fiscal year of the 2023-2025 biennium to fund salary adjustments effective July 1, 2023, for 21 positions identified by the

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 21

Judicial Branch as being reclassified between the Legislatively approved position and April 14, 2023, in addition to the salary adjustments described in Option B. This results in total funding of approximately \$700,000 in each fiscal year of the 2023-2025 biennium for those 21 positions.

Option D would approve General Fund appropriations of approximately \$800,000 in each fiscal year of the 2023-2025 biennium to fund salary adjustments effective July 1, 2023, for 33 additional positions that have been identified by the Judicial Branch as having a disproportionate classification compared to the Legislatively approved position.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE OPTION 2 AND OPTION A ON PAGE 17 OF [EXHIBIT C](#) FUNDING FOR JUDICIAL BRANCH POSITIONS AT THE LEVELS APPROVED BY THE 2021 LEGISLATURE, INCLUDING ANY COLAS APPROVED FOR EXECUTIVE AND LEGISLATIVE BRANCH STAFF NOT REPRESENTED BY A COLLECTIVE BARGAINING UNIT WHICH WOULD RESULT IN SAVINGS OF \$13.3 MILLION, INCLUDING \$8.8 MILLION GENERAL FUND MONIES, OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM COMPARED TO THE AMOUNTS REQUESTED IN THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET WITH THE SAVINGS REFLECTED NOT INCLUDING COLAS FOR THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM, WHICH WOULD BE ADDRESSED IN THE PAY BILL; AND APPROVE GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF APPROXIMATELY \$1.3 MILLION OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM TO FUND ALL EXISTING JUDICIAL BRANCH POSITIONS CALCULATED USING THE JUDICIAL BRANCH'S CURRENT POSITION STRUCTURE EQUIVALENT TO THE CLASSIFIED SERVICE GRADE AND STEP SYSTEM AT A STEP 10.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

SENATOR NEAL:

What will be in the Pay Bill at the end of Session?

Ms. DAY:

The Pay Bill would include any COLAs for the positions calculated in this. Option 2 would be funding for the positions at the same level as Legislatively approved. The positions are calculated through the grade and step classification system with those positions at a step 10. It would be the maximum for those

positions. It would also include an additional \$1.3 million to fund the positions up to a Step 10. The unclassified Pay Bill would have the COLAs approved by these Committees for Judicial Branch, Executive Branch and Legislative Branch positions.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLY MEMBERS DICKMAN, HAFEN, KASAMA, AND O'NEILL VOTED NO.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATORS GOICOECHEA, SEEVERS GANSERT AND TITUS VOTED NO.)

* * * * *

Ms. DAY:

Major Closing Issue 5 on page 18 of [Exhibit C](#) is Court-Managed Central Services. The Judicial Branch recommends total funding of \$1 million, including General Fund appropriations of \$10,300 and reductions to reserves of \$1 million over the 2023-2025 biennium for three new nonclassified budget management and accounting positions, one new nonclassified human resources (HR) and payroll position, and other related expenditures as detailed in the table on page 18. While decision unit E-225 in the Administrative Office of the Courts B/A 101-1483 provides for the four new positions, operating expenditures, and contractual expenditures, the E-240 decision units identified in the table on page 18 for the other Judicial Branch budgets only provide for contractual expenditures allocated for a new payroll and HR system. These budget accounts include B/A 101-1483 decision unit E-225, and decision unit E-240 for the following B/As: B/A 101-1484, B/A 101-1486, B/A 101-1487, B/A 101-1489, B/A 101-1490, B/A 101-1494, B/A 101-1495 and B/A 101-2889.

E-225 Efficiency & Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-37
E-240 Efficiency & Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-48
E-240 Efficiency & Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-54
E-225 Efficiency & Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-61
E-240 Efficiency & Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-23
E-240 Efficiency & Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-26
E-240 Efficiency & Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-17
E-240 Efficiency & Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-68

E-240 Efficiency & Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-33

According to the Agency, although the Judicial Branch has used the Executive Branch financial and accounting system, including payroll, for many years for convenience and efficiency, the State's conversion to a new HR and accounting system has proven cumbersome, time consuming, and inaccurate. The Court has terminated its use of those systems to operate its own independent systems.

The four positions recommended by the Judicial Branch in the Administrative Office of the Courts B/A 101-1483 would take on responsibilities previously provided by the Central Payroll Unit of the Nevada Department of Administration, Division of Human Resource Management (DHRM) and the Controller's Office. The recommendation also includes funding for contract expenditures for the new Judicial Branch payroll and HR system.

The Judicial Branch has entered into a contract to transition its payroll system to the ADP Payroll System effective July 2023. Additionally, Judicial Branch staff stated it entered into negotiations with Oracle's NetSuite at the beginning of calendar year 2022 to transition to a new accounting system. The old system lost much usability. Staff was waiting to fully transition to the new accounting system until the requested new positions can take on the duties performed by staff from the Controller's Office and DHRM Central Payroll.

As a result of the work session, the Judicial Branch provided revised salaries for the two requested positions based on the Court's current salary structure that uses the classified service grade and step classification system to calculate salaries for certain positions. The Judicial Branch requests the maximum salaries for the two positions be revised from the original requested maximum salaries.

As revised, the Judicial Branch recommends total funding of \$992,238, which includes General Fund appropriations of \$10,300 and reductions to reserve of \$981,938 over the 2023-2025 biennium, within nine budgets, to fund Court-managed central services, including two nonclassified positions effective July 1, 2023, and ancillary expenditures. The Judicial Branch's original request included three new nonclassified budget management and accounting positions, and one new nonclassified HR and payroll position, with maximum salaries for

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 24

three positions at \$78,176 and one position at \$114,879, but the Agency did not indicate which position was at the higher level.

As revised, the request includes two nonclassified accounting technician positions with maximum salaries equivalent to grade 33, step 10, at \$70,428 each; and two nonclassified positions, a chief accountant and a deputy HR administrator, with maximum salaries equivalent to a grade 41, step 10 of \$101,164 each. The revised salaries result in increases to reserves of \$18,471 over the 2023-2025 biennium compared to the amounts requested in the Executive Budget.

Do the Committees wish to approve General Fund appropriations of \$10,300 and reductions to reserve of \$981,938 over the 2023-2025 biennium to implement Court-managed central services including four new nonclassified positions, effective July 1, 2023, as revised by the Judicial Branch, to adjust the position salaries, which results in increases to reserve of \$18,471 over the 2023-2025 biennium compared to the amounts requested in the Executive Budget?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$10,300 AND REDUCTIONS TO RESERVE OF \$981,938 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM TO IMPLEMENT COURT-MANAGED CENTRAL SERVICES INCLUDING FOUR NEW NONCLASSIFIED POSITIONS EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2023, AS REVISED BY THE JUDICIAL BRANCH TO ADJUST THE POSITION SALARIES, WHICH RESULTS IN THE INCREASE TO RESERVE OF \$18,471 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM COMPARED TO THE AMOUNTS REQUESTED IN THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET AS SHOWN ON PAGE 18 OF [EXHIBIT C](#) IN B/A 101-1483 DECISION UNIT E-225, AND DECISION UNIT E-240 IN B/A 101-1484, B/A 101-1486, B/A 101-1487, B/A 101-1489, B/A 101-1490, B/A 101-1494, B/A 101-1495 AND B/A 101-2889.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 25

SENATOR SEEVERS GANSERT:

Is this transition of accounting related to the other decision units, or are we already transitioning away from the Executive Branch as far as payroll and administrative work?

Ms. DAY:

We are already transitioning those accounting and HR duties. The positions are requested to fulfill duties performed by Executive Branch agencies, such as DHRM Central Payroll, the Treasurer's Office, and the Controller's Office.

SENATOR SEEVERS GANSERT:

I am opposed to this full independence; I am going to be a no.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLY MEMBERS DICKMAN, HAFEN, KASAMA AND O'NEILL VOTED NO.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATORS GOICOECHEA, SEEVERS GANSERT AND TITUS VOTED NO.)

* * * * *

Ms. DAY:

Major Closing Issue 6 beginning on page 19 of [Exhibit C](#) is a budget amendment ([Exhibit D](#)) received on March 7, 2023, for B/A 101-1483, B/A 101-1484, B/A 101-1486 and B/A 101-1494. The amendment recommends a net reduction in total funding of \$54,295, made up of a reduction in General Fund appropriations of \$135,443 and the addition of court administrative assessment revenue of \$81,148 over the 2023-2025 biennium for new office space in Las Vegas and a reduction in the enhancement request for court security technology and systems to be discussed in the Supreme Court B/A 101-1494.

The Judicial Branch recommends funding for up to 8,000 square feet of new office space in Las Vegas for the Administrative Office of the Courts, an adjustment to the building rent allocation between budgets for existing space in Las Vegas and a reduction in the enhancement to the court security system in the Supreme Court B/A 101-1494.

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 26

Due to security concerns, the upgrade to the Court's security system was funded in FY 2022-2023 from the Judicial Support Governance and Special Events B/A 101-1493 and became operational in February 2023. The Court recommends a reduction in General Fund appropriations of \$524,677 over the 2023-2025 biennium in the Supreme Court B/A 101-1494 to eliminate funding for security system equipment originally requested in FY 2023-2024 and to increase the amount needed for the annual service contract by \$6,200 in each fiscal year of the 2023-2025 biennium.

Judicial Support Governance and Special Events – Budget Page JUDICIAL-72
Volume I)
Budget Account 101-1493

According to the Judicial Branch, the new office space in Las Vegas is needed since facilities do not exist in Carson City and Las Vegas for all employees of the Administrative Office of the Courts to work in person. To recruit and retain Nevada talent, the Court is focusing much of its recruiting efforts in Las Vegas. The new proposed office space is estimated to cost \$244,800 per fiscal year.

According to Judicial Branch staff, eight positions are within the Administrative Office of the Courts in the Las Vegas office. They are working to hire approximately 30 contractors funded through American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) Coronavirus State Fiscal Recovery Funds. Of the 30 positions, approximately 12 to 16 will be in Las Vegas and 7 out of 10 of the requested new auditor positions will be in Las Vegas.

Do the Committees wish to approve the budget amendment to fund new office space in Las Vegas for the Administrative Office of the Courts, which includes reallocating existing office space in the current Las Vegas location and a reduction in an enhancement to court security for the Supreme Court as recommended by the Judicial Branch, which results in a reduction to General Fund appropriations of \$135,443 and an increase in court administrative assessments revenue of \$81,148 over the 2023-2025 biennium compared to the Executive Budget?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE THE BUDGET AMENDMENT [EXHIBIT D](#) FOR B/A 101-1483, B/A 101 1484, B/A 101-1486 AND B/A 101-1494 TO FUND NEW OFFICE SPACE IN

LAS VEGAS FOR THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS, WHICH INCLUDES REALLOCATING EXISTING OFFICE SPACE IN THE CURRENT LAS VEGAS LOCATION AND A REDUCTION IN THE ENHANCEMENT TO COURT SECURITY FOR THE SUPREME COURT AS RECOMMENDED BY THE JUDICIAL BRANCH, WHICH RESULTS IN A REDUCTION TO GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$135,443 AND AN INCREASE IN COURT ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENTS REVENUE OF \$81,148 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM COMPARED TO THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET AS SHOWN ON PAGE 20 OF [EXHIBIT C](#).

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLY MEMBERS DICKMAN, HAFEN AND O'NEILL VOTED NO.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATORS GOICOECHEA, SEEVERS GANSERT AND TITUS VOTED NO.)

* * * * *

Ms. DAY:

The Supreme Court B/A 101-1494 begins on page 37 of [Exhibit C](#). The Supreme Court's primary responsibility is to review and rule on appeals from District Court cases. Caseload information is included in the table on page 37.

There are five Major Closing Issues in this budget. Major Closing Issue 1 decision unit E-225 is for a new case management system. The Judicial Branch recommends General Fund appropriations of \$2.4 million over the 2023-2025 biennium to replace the Supreme Court's legacy case management system (CMS) for the Appellate Court. The Court indicates the current system is aging and difficult to update, causing concerns for the Court over security and functionality. It is also vulnerable to increased sophistication of cyberattacks. The Court has determined a cloud-based solution hosted on federally-approved servers is the best option to mitigate risk of a data breach.

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 28

According to the Judicial Branch, the total implementation cost of the new case management system for the Appellate Court is \$3.3 million. Since the project's anticipated completion is in FY 2025-2026, the funding for the remaining \$1.1 million in implementation costs will be requested in the 2025-2027 biennium.

In response to follow-up from the budget hearing, the Court indicated ongoing costs for the Appellate Court CMS are anticipated to be \$307,754 for C-Track software annual maintenance and support, annual managed cloud service, and the Azure cloud hosting and infrastructure environment. Do the Committees wish to approve General Fund appropriations of \$2.4 million over the 2023-2025 biennium to replace the legacy CMS for the Appellate Court as recommended by the Judicial Branch?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$2.4 MILLION OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM IN B/A 101-1494 DECISION UNIT E-225 TO REPLACE THE LEGACY CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FOR THE APPELLATE COURT AS RECOMMENDED BY THE JUDICIAL BRANCH AS SHOWN ON PAGES 37 AND 38 OF [EXHIBIT C](#).

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR NGUYEN WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

* * * * *

Ms. DAY:

Major Closing Issue 2 in B/A 101-1494 decision unit E-232 is the Statewide digital evidence management system on page 38 of [Exhibit C](#). The Judicial Branch recommends General Fund appropriations of \$1.3 million over the 2023-2025 biennium to implement a statewide digital evidence management system that would be used by courts throughout the State that do not have the capacity to manage such a system on their own.

E-232 Efficiency & Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-15

The Judicial Branch staff indicated at the budget hearing on March 13, 2023, that while the target would be for all 74 courts including the Supreme Court to use the new Statewide digital evidence management system, they are aware of at least 30 other courts that would use the system if implemented. In response to follow up from the budget hearing, the Court indicated the industry standard for ongoing annual maintenance and operating costs is 18 percent of procurement and set up costs. The ongoing annual maintenance and operating costs for the Appellate Courts Case Management System are estimated to be \$117,000.

The Judicial Branch requests authority to transfer funds between fiscal years due to unknown project timelines related to the Statewide digital evidence management system project. Accordingly, if the Committees wish to approve the Statewide digital evidence management system, Fiscal staff recommends the addition of back language to the Appropriations Act to allow the General Fund appropriation in FY 2023-2024 and FY 2024-2025 to be transferred within the same budget account from one fiscal year to the other to be used for that purpose without approval of the IFC.

Do the Committees wish to approve General Fund appropriations of \$1.3 million over the 2023-2025 biennium to implement a Statewide digital evidence management system as recommended by the Judicial Branch? If the Committees wish to fund the implementation of the new Statewide digital evidence management system, do the Committees wish to include back language in the Appropriations Act to allow the General Fund appropriations in FY 2023-2024 and FY 2024-2025 to be transferred from one fiscal year to the other within the same budget account to be used for that purpose without approval of the IFC?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$1.3 MILLION OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM TO IMPLEMENT A STATEWIDE DIGITAL EVIDENCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM IN B/A 101-1494 DECISION UNIT E-232 AS RECOMMENDED BY THE JUDICIAL BRANCH; AND INCLUDE BACK LANGUAGE IN THE APPROPRIATIONS ACT TO ALLOW THE GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS IN FY 2023-2024 AND

FY 2024-2025 TO BE TRANSFERRED FROM ONE FISCAL YEAR TO THE OTHER WITHIN THE SAME BUDGET ACCOUNT TO BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE WITHOUT APPROVAL OF THE IFC AS SHOWN ON PAGES 38 AND 39 OF [EXHIBIT C](#).

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLYMAN HAFEN WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR NGUYEN WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

* * * * *

Ms. DAY:

Major Closing Issue 3 in B/A 101-1494 decision unit E-233, a hybrid training facility, is on pages 39 and 40 of [Exhibit C](#). The Judicial Branch recommends General Fund appropriations of \$1.4 million in FY 2023-2024 to build a hybrid training facility to train trial court staff on several new Statewide systems to ensure successful rollouts of the systems.

E-233 Efficiency & Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-16

According to the Judicial Branch, a centralized training facility is needed since most court buildings do not have sufficient space to accommodate training. The requested funding of \$1.4 million for the training facility includes all costs for construction and furnishings of the facility including computer equipment, furniture and the expansion of restroom facilities to meet current building codes.

In response to follow up from the budget hearing regarding the Nevada Department of Administration, State Public Works Division that would oversee the construction of the hybrid training facility, and pursuant to NRS 341.1405, subsection 2 or delegating the authority to the Court, the Judicial Branch indicated the Court is exempt from Executive Branch procurement and construction requirements. The Court has been in contact with

the State Public Works Division and has noted its intention to follow best practices for the project but handle the primary project management in-house.

In response to follow up from the budget hearing, the Court indicated trainings are held at hotels and other venues. Based on the costs incurred from the conference in January 2023, if one judges conference per year is held in the proposed training facility, approximately \$17,500 in savings could be realized through eliminated meeting room costs and significantly reduced costs for food and beverages.

The Judicial Branch requests authority to balance forward any funds remaining at the end of FY 2023-2024 to FY 2024-2025 to ensure the completion of the project.

If the Committees wish to approve funding for a hybrid training facility at the Supreme Court Law Library in the Supreme Court building in Carson City, Fiscal staff recommends the addition of back language to the Appropriations Act to allow for the General Fund appropriation in FY 2023-2024 to balance forward to FY 2024-2025 within the same budget account to be used for that purpose without approval of the IFC.

Do the Committees wish to approve a General Fund appropriation of \$1.4 million in FY 2023-2024 for a hybrid training facility as recommended by the Judicial Branch? If the Committees wish to fund a hybrid training facility at the Supreme Court Library in the Carson City Supreme Court building, do the Committees wish to include back language in the Appropriations Act to allow the Judicial Branch to balance forward funding from FY 2023-2024 to FY 2024-2025 within the same budget account to be used for that purpose without approval of the IFC?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE IN B/A 101-1494 DECISION UNIT E-233 A GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATION OF \$1.4 MILLION IN FY 2023-2024 FOR A HYBRID TRAINING FACILITY AT THE SUPREME COURT LIBRARY IN THE SUPREME COURT BUILDING IN CARSON CITY AS RECOMMENDED BY THE JUDICIAL BRANCH; AND INCLUDE BACK LANGUAGE IN THE APPROPRIATIONS ACT TO ALLOW THE JUDICIAL BRANCH TO BALANCE FORWARD FUNDING FROM FY 2023-2024 TO FY 2024-2025

WITHIN THE SAME BUDGET ACCOUNT TO BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE WITHOUT APPROVAL OF THE IFC AS DESCRIBED ON PAGES 39 AND 40 OF [EXHIBIT C](#).

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR NGUYEN WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

* * * * *

Ms. DAY:

The fourth Major Closing Issue in B/A 101-1494 decision unit E-234 is on page 41 of [Exhibit C](#). The Judicial Branch recommends General Fund appropriations of \$570,010 over the 2023-2025 biennium for a new nonclassified public information officer position and a new nonclassified web content specialist position, both effective October 1, 2023, to maintain public information and improve how information is provided to the public. The Court would also use these positions to conduct civics education.

E-234 Efficiency & Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-16

The positions would provide the Court the capacity to effectively monitor social media activities, including threats and natural disasters. These positions would be components of the Court's emergency response team and keep the public informed in case of emergencies.

As a result of the work session, the Judicial Branch provided revised salaries for the two requested positions based on the Court's current salary structure which are detailed on page 41 of [Exhibit C](#). As revised, the Judicial Branch recommends General Fund appropriations of \$489,456 over the 2023-2025 biennium to fund two new nonclassified positions effective October 1, 2023, and ancillary expenditures. They include one new nonclassified web content specialist position, with a reduction from the original requested maximum salary of \$106,388 to a maximum salary equivalent to a grade 37, step 10, of

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 33

\$84,230, and one nonclassified judicial public information officer position with a reduction from the original requested maximum salary of \$114,879 to \$101,164, a maximum salary equivalent to a grade 41, step 10.

During the budget hearing, Judicial Branch staff indicated the positions are needed due to the demands of the duties on current staff. Those positions would address misinformation and disinformation campaigns, including monitoring social media activities and keeping track of accounts because the Court regularly gets trolled online. They would monitor Internet traffic because the Marshal's Office does not have a dedicated cybersecurity individual.

The addition of the public information officer would allow the Nevada Supreme Court to amplify events of interest in the legal community and resources available from the court throughout the State allowing the court to engage with the public, including going out to communities and holding court there.

The revised salaries result in reductions in General Fund appropriations of \$80,554 over the 2023-2025 biennium compared to the amounts requested in the Executive Budget.

Do the Committees wish to approve General Fund appropriations of \$489,456 over the 2023-2025 biennium for a new nonclassified public information officer position, equivalent to a grade 41, step 10, and a new nonclassified web content specialist, equivalent to a grade 37, step 10, as revised by the Judicial Branch, which results in reductions in General Fund appropriations of \$80,554 over the 2023-2025 biennium compared to the amounts requested in the Executive Budget?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO NOT APPROVE IN B/A 101-1494 DECISION UNIT E-234 GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$489,456 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM FOR A NEW NONCLASSIFIED PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER POSITION, EQUIVALENT TO A GRADE 41, STEP 10; AND NOT APPROVE A NEW NONCLASSIFIED WEB CONTENT SPECIALIST, EQUIVALENT TO A GRADE 37, STEP 10, AS REVISED BY THE JUDICIAL BRANCH, WHICH WOULD RESULT IN REDUCTIONS IN GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$80,554 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM

COMPARED TO THE AMOUNTS REQUESTED IN THE
EXECUTIVE BUDGET AS SHOWN ON PAGE 41 OF [EXHIBIT C](#).

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS
ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLYMAN MILLER WAS EXCUSED FOR
THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR NGUYEN WAS EXCUSED
FOR THE VOTE.)

* * * * *

Ms. DAY:

Major Closing Issue 5 in B/A 101-1494 decision units E-301 and E-302 is on page 42 of [Exhibit C](#). The Judicial Branch recommends General Fund appropriations of \$1.1 million over the 2023-2025 biennium for upgrades to court security, including two new nonclassified deputy marshal positions. Those positions would enhance the ability of the Marshal's Office to effectively provide the security and safety the court needs.

E-301 Safety, Security and Justice — Page JUDICIAL-17

E-302 Safety, Security and Justice — Page JUDICIAL-18

The Supreme Court Marshal's Office in Carson City has four positions, chief marshal, lieutenant marshal and two deputy marshals who protect and secure the 125,000-square-foot court facility. The facility includes the three-story building, the basement parking garage and exterior grounds area. Due to the daily activity levels, the Chief Marshal has spent more time performing deputy marshal duties than performing chief level administrative duties according to the Judicial Branch. The marshals have also had to rely on assistance from the Legislative Police and Capitol Police to help with staffing for the court security for special events such as Pardons Board meetings and high profile court cases. As a result of the work session, the Judicial Branch provided revised salaries for the two requested positions.

The Judicial Branch requested the maximum salaries for the two new positions be revised from the original requested maximum salary of \$106,088 for each position to a maximum salary equivalent to a grade 39, step 10, of \$92,269 for each position.

As revised, the Judicial Branch recommends General Fund appropriations of \$450,008 over the 2023-2025 biennium to fund the two new nonclassified deputy marshal positions.

During the budget hearing, Judicial Branch staff indicated the Court looked at coverage both in the north and the south and determined sufficient security is lacking to feel safe within its buildings. A few events led to criminal threat charges. The revised salaries result in reductions in General Fund appropriations of \$62,028 over the 2023-2025 biennium compared to the amounts requested in the Executive Budget.

This recommendation also includes General Fund appropriations of \$609,077 over the 2023-2025 biennium to upgrade security cameras at the Supreme Court building in Las Vegas, the camera servers at the Carson City and Las Vegas locations, replace aging access control systems in Carson City and provide a premium service contract to maintain the security equipment, which the Judicial Branch indicates will minimize downtime.

As discussed in the Judicial Overview, due to the immediate security concerns, the upgrade to the court system was funded in FY 2022-2023 from the Judicial Support, Governance and Special Events B/A 101-1493 and became operational in February 2023. The Judicial Branch submitted a budget amendment [Exhibit D](#) discussed in the Judicial Overview budget recommending a reduction in General Fund appropriations of \$524,677 over the 2023-2025 biennium to eliminate the security system equipment originally requested in FY 2023-2024, and increase the amount needed for the annual security contract by \$6,200 in each fiscal year of the 2023-2025 biennium.

During the budget hearing, Judicial Branch staff confirmed the Court no longer requires the equipment it originally included in its budget to upgrade the security system, but does require funding of \$12,400 to cover the additional annual service contract costs for the 2023-2025 biennium, which results in net reductions in General Fund appropriations of \$524,677 over the

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 36

2023-2025 biennium compared to the amounts requested in the Executive Budget.

Do the Committees wish to approve General Fund appropriations of \$450,008 over the 2023-2025 biennium for two new nonclassified deputy marshal positions equivalent to a grade 39, step 10, as revised by the Judicial Branch, which results in reductions in General Fund appropriations of \$62,028 over the 2023-2025 biennium compared to the amounts requested in the Executive Budget in B/A 101-1494 decision unit E-301? Do the Committees wish to approve General Fund appropriations of \$84,400 over the 2023-2025 biennium for security system upgrades including the budget amendment submitted by the Judicial Branch on March 7, 2023, [Exhibit D](#) that eliminates the funding for the security system, equipment originally requested in FY 2023-2024 of \$537,077 and includes the addition of \$12,400 over the 2023-2025 biennium to support the annual service contract for its recently upgraded security system, which results in net reductions in General Fund appropriations of \$524,677 over the 2023-2025 biennium compared to the amounts requested in the Executive Budget in B/A 101-1494 decision unit E-302 and consistent with the Committees' closing actions in the Judicial Overview?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$450,008 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM FOR TWO NEW NONCLASSIFIED DEPUTY MARSHALL POSITIONS EQUIVALENT TO A GRADE 39, STEP 10 AS REVISED BY THE JUDICIAL BRANCH, WHICH RESULTS IN REDUCTIONS IN GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$62,028 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM COMPARED TO THE AMOUNTS REQUESTED IN THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET IN B/A 101-1494 DECISION UNIT E-301; AND TO APPROVE GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$84,400 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM FOR SECURITY SYSTEM UPGRADES INCLUDING THE BUDGET AMENDMENT SUBMITTED BY THE JUDICIAL BRANCH ON MARCH 7, 2023, [EXHIBIT D](#) ELIMINATING THE FUNDING FOR SECURITY SYSTEM EQUIPMENT ORIGINALLY REQUESTED IN FY 2023-2024 OF \$537,077 AND THE ADDITION OF \$12,400 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM TO SUPPORT THE ANNUAL SERVICE CONTRACT FOR ITS RECENTLY UPGRADED SECURITY SYSTEM, WHICH RESULTS IN NET REDUCTIONS IN GENERAL FUND

APPROPRIATIONS OF \$524,677 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM COMPARED TO THE AMOUNTS REQUESTED IN THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET IN B/A 101-1494 DECISION UNIT E-302 AND CONSISTENT WITH THE COMMITTEES CLOSING ACTIONS IN THE JUDICIAL OVERVIEW AS DESCRIBED ON PAGES 42 AND 43 OF [EXHIBIT C](#).

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLYMAN MILLER WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR NGUYEN WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

* * * * *

Ms. DAY:

There are seven Other Closing Items in B/A 101-1494 in decision units E-226, E-227, E-228, E-229, E-230, E-231 and E-710 concerning information technology and equipment replacement on page 45 of [Exhibit C](#). Fiscal staff recommends Other Closing Items 1 through 7 be closed as included in the Executive Budget and requests authority for Fiscal staff to make technical adjustments as necessary.

E-226 Efficiency & Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-13
E-227 Efficiency & Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-14
E-228 Efficiency & Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-14
E-229 Efficiency & Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-14
E-230 Efficiency & Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-15
E-231 Efficiency & Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-15
E-710 Equipment Replacement — Page JUDICIAL-18

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE OTHER CLOSING ITEMS 1 THROUGH 7 IN B/A 101-1494 IN DECISION UNITS E-226, E-227, E-228, E-229, E-230, E-231 AND E-710 AS RECOMMENDED BY THE GOVERNOR WITH AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 38

STAFF TO MAKE TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS AS NECESSARY AS SHOWN BEGINNING ON PAGE 45 OF [EXHIBIT C](#).

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

BUDGET CLOSED.

* * * * *

Ms. DAY:

The Administrative Office of the Courts B/A 101-1483 begins on page 47 of [Exhibit C](#). There are two Major Closing Issues in this budget. Major Closing Issue 1 decision units E-226 and E-229 is for ten new nonclassified audit positions and audit software. The Judicial Branch recommends reductions to reserves of \$2.9 million over the 2023-2025 biennium for ten new nonclassified audit positions. The revised salaries are listed on page 47 of [Exhibit C](#).

E-226 Efficiency & Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-37

E-229 Efficiency & Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-38

According to the Judicial Branch staff at the budget hearing, some courts have accounting staff, but most do not. The audit function is important to assist the courts with understanding why financial policies are necessary to implement. The current audit cycle is estimated to be 30 years due to insufficient staffing. These 10 new audit staff positions would help decrease the cycle time.

Do the Committees wish to approve reserve reductions of \$2.5 million over the 2023-2025 biennium in B/A 101-1483 decision unit E-226 and E-229 for 10 new nonclassified audit positions and audit software effective October 1, 2023, as revised by the Judicial Branch to adjust the position salaries, which results in an increase to reserves of \$298,018 over the 2023-2025 biennium compared to the amounts requested in the Executive Budget?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE IN B/A 101-1483 DECISION UNITS E-226 AND E-229 RESERVE REDUCTIONS OF \$2.5 MILLION OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM FOR 10 NEW NONCLASSIFIED AUDIT POSITIONS AND AUDIT SOFTWARE EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 1, 2023, AS REVISED BY THE JUDICIAL BRANCH TO ADJUST THE POSITION SALARIES, WHICH RESULTS IN AN INCREASE TO RESERVES OF \$298,018 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM COMPARED TO THE AMOUNTS REQUESTED IN THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET AS DESCRIBED ON PAGES 47 AND 48 OF [EXHIBIT C](#).

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

SENATOR SEEVERS GANSERT:

The current court's audit rotation is every 30 years. Will the positions get the rotation to four years? How many of your auditor positions are open or unfilled?

TODD MYLER (Manager of Budgets, Supreme Court of Nevada):

The intent is to get the audit cycle down to four years. It will take time to get auditors hired and trained as these are highly specialized audits.

We have three auditors. One supervises and trains the other two. They all have full caseloads. All positions are filled.

SENATOR SEEVERS GANSERT:

What is your current audit cycle?

MR. MYLER:

I heard it was 32 years. We do not have the staff to be at four years.

SENATOR SEEVERS GANSERT:

Do you know how much money moves through the court system annually?

MR. MYLER:

I do not. It would take some time to gather that information, but with all the court fines and administrative assessments it is significant.

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 40

SENATOR SEEVERS GANSERT:

I thought you might know off the top of your head. You do not have to research it. I know we have a tremendous number of courts.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATORS HARRIS AND NGUYEN WERE EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

* * * * *

Ms. DAY:

Major Closing Issue 2, Decision unit E-227 for B/A 101-1483 begins on page 49 of [Exhibit C](#). The Judicial Branch recommends reductions to reserves of \$89,195 in FY 2024-2025 for a nonclassified IT project manager. The Court has two project managers funded with ARPA Coronavirus State Fiscal Recovery Funds and would like to transition one of those positions to a full-time State position. The two current positions are working on the Court's major projects and some of the project management on other minor projects. The transition would allow for those major and minor projects to be controlled by the nonclassified IT project manager. The revised salaries result in an increase in reserves of \$2,199 in FY 2024-2025 compared to the amounts requested in the Executive Budget.

E-227 Efficiency & Innovation — Page JUDICIAL - 38

Do the Committees wish to approve reserve reductions of \$86,996 in FY 2024-2025 for a new nonclassified IT project manager position equivalent to a grade 45, step 10, effective January 1, 2025 as revised by the Judicial Branch, which results in an increase to reserves of \$2,199 in FY 2024-2025 compared to the amounts requested in the Executive Budget?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE IN B/A 101-1483 DECISION UNIT E-227 RESERVE REDUCTIONS OF \$86,996 IN FY 2024-2025 FOR A NEW NONCLASSIFIED IT PROJECT MANAGER POSITION EQUIVALENT TO A GRADE 45, STEP 10, EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2025, AS REVISED BY THE JUDICIAL BRANCH,

WHICH RESULTS IN AN INCREASE TO RESERVES OF \$2,199 IN FY 2024-2025 COMPARED TO THE AMOUNTS REQUESTED IN THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET AS DESCRIBED ON PAGE 49 OF [EXHIBIT C](#).

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLYWOMAN KASAMA WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATORS HARRIS AND NGUYEN WERE EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

* * * * *

Ms. DAY:

The Coronavirus State Fiscal Recovery Funds for B/A 101-1483 are described on pages 50-53 of [Exhibit C](#). The funding would allow for the continuation of two IT projects approved by the IFC on April 7, 2022. It would include \$3 million per fiscal year for a Statewide e-filing system and \$3 million per fiscal year for a case management system for the trial courts. The e-file system is independent of the other CMS discussed earlier for the Appellate Court.

Do the Committees wish to approve Coronavirus State Fiscal Recovery Funds of \$3 million in each fiscal year of the 2023-2025 biennium to continue funding the implementation of a Statewide e-filing solution? Do the Committees wish to approve Coronavirus State Fiscal Recovery Funds of \$3 million in each fiscal year of the 2023-2025 biennium to continue funding the implementation of a case management system for the trial courts, which includes a data repository and jury automation system?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE IN B/A 101-1483, AS SHOWN ON PAGE 51 OF [EXHIBIT C](#), CORONAVIRUS STATE FISCAL RECOVERY FUNDS OF \$3 MILLION IN EACH FISCAL YEAR OF THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM TO CONTINUE FUNDING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A STATEWIDE E-FILING SOLUTION; AND APPROVE CORONAVIRUS STATE FISCAL RECOVERY FUNDS OF \$3 MILLION IN EACH FISCAL YEAR OF THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM

TO CONTINUE FUNDING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FOR THE TRIAL COURTS, WHICH INCLUDES A DATA REPOSITORY AND JURY AUTOMATION SYSTEM.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLYWOMAN KASAMA WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATORS HARRIS AND NGUYEN WERE EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

* * * * *

Ms. Day:

There are three Other Closing items in B/A 101-1483 decision units E-228, E-230 and E-710 concerning travel, audits and equipment replacement on page 51 of [Exhibit C](#). Fiscal staff recommends the Other Closing Items be closed as included in the [Executive Budget](#) and requests authority for Fiscal staff to make technical adjustments as necessary.

E-228 Efficiency & Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-38
E-230 Efficiency & Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-39
E-710 Equipment Replacement — Page JUDICIAL-40

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE OTHER CLOSING ITEMS IN B/A 101-1483 DECISION UNITS E-228, E-230 AND E-710 AS SHOWN ON PAGE 51 OF [EXHIBIT C](#) WITH AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL STAFF TO MAKE TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS AS NECESSARY.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLYWOMAN KASAMA WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATORS HARRIS AND NGUYEN WERE EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

BUDGET CLOSED.

* * * * *

Ms. DAY:

The Judicial Programs and Services Division B/A 101-1484 begins is on pages 55 of [Exhibit C](#). This account has one Major Closing Issue. The Judicial Branch is requesting four new positions in decision units E-230 and E-231 as outlined on page 56 of [Exhibit C](#) including a court clerk program liaison, a family court programs liaison and two positions for the Court Services Unit. With the revised salaries submitted by the Judicial Branch, there would be savings for the two court liaison positions of \$28,246 in FY 2024-2025 compared to the amounts requested in the Executive Budget. For the two positions in the Court Services Unit, savings would be \$84,632 over the 2023-2025 biennium compared to the amounts requested in the Executive Budget.

E-230 Efficiency and Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-47

E-231 Efficiency and Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-47

Do the Committees wish to approve General Fund appropriations totaling \$520,273 over the 2023-2025 biennium to fund four new nonclassified positions equivalent to grade 37, step 10, as requested by the Judicial Branch, which results in reductions in General Fund appropriations of \$112,872 over the 2023-2025 biennium compared to the amounts requested in the Executive Budget?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS TOTALING \$520,273 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM TO FUND FOUR NEW NONCLASSIFIED POSITIONS EQUIVALENT TO GRADE 37, STEP 10, AS REQUESTED BY THE JUDICIAL BRANCH, WHICH RESULTS IN REDUCTIONS IN GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$112,872 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM COMPARED TO THE AMOUNTS REQUESTED IN THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET IN B/A 101-1484 DECISION UNIT E-230 AND 231, AS SHOWN BEGINNING ON PAGES 55 AND 56 OF [EXHIBIT C](#).

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATORS HARRIS AND NGUYEN WERE EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

* * * * *

Ms. DAY:

There is one Other Closing Item in B/A 101-1484 decision unit E-275 concerning training for the Credentialed Court Interpreter Program on page 57 of [Exhibit C](#). Fiscal staff recommends the Other Closing Item be closed as included in the Executive Budget and requests authority for staff to make technical adjustments as necessary.

E-275 Elevating Education — Page JUDICIAL-48

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE THE OTHER CLOSING ITEM IN B/A 101-1484 DECISION UNIT E-275 AS DESCRIBED ON PAGE 57 OF [EXHIBIT C](#) AND AS INCLUDED IN THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET WITH AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL STAFF TO MAKE TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS AS NECESSARY.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLYWOMAN KASAMA WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATORS HARRIS AND NGUYEN WERE EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

BUDGET CLOSED.

* * * * *

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 45

Ms. DAY:

The Uniform System of Judicial Records B/A 101-1486 decision unit E-225 begins on page 59 of [Exhibit C](#). There is one Major Closing Issue in this budget. Decision unit E-225 is the request for five new IT positions to assist the trial courts. The Judicial Branch has five contract positions funded with ARPA Coronavirus State Fiscal Recovery Funds. They are requesting these positions be converted to full-time State staff. The revised salaries are detailed on page 60 of [Exhibit C](#).

E-225 Efficiency and Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-53

The positions are quality assurance analyst positions. The revised salaries would result in an increase to reserve of \$70,615 in FY 2024-2025 compared to the amounts requested in the Executive Budget.

Do the Committees wish to approve reserve reductions of \$313,762 over the 2023-2025 biennium to fund five new nonclassified positions equivalent to a grade 37, step 10, as revised by the Judicial Branch which result in an increase to reserves of \$70,615 over the 2023-2025 biennium compared to the amounts requested in the Executive Budget?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE RESERVE REDUCTIONS OF \$313,762 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM IN B/A 101-1486 DECISION UNIT E-225 AS SHOWN ON PAGE 60 OF [EXHIBIT C](#) TO FUND FIVE NEW NONCLASSIFIED POSITIONS EQUIVALENT TO A GRADE 37, STEP 10, AS REVISED BY THE JUDICIAL BRANCH WHICH RESULTS IN AN INCREASE TO RESERVES OF \$70,615 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM COMPARED TO THE AMOUNTS REQUESTED IN THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLYWOMAN ANDERSON WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATORS HARRIS AND NGUYEN WERE EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

* * * * *

Ms. DAY:

There are six Other Closing Items in B/A 101-1486 decision units E-226, E-227, E-300, E-301, E-302 and E-710 concerning IT projects and equipment replacement. Other Closing Items 3, 4, and 5 are new program and IT projects for their Multicounty Integrated Justice Information System (MCIJIS). The Judicial Branch requests authority to balance forward funds remaining at the end of FY 2023-2024 to FY 2024-2025 to be able to complete those MCIJIS projects.

E-226 Efficiency and Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-53

E-227 Efficiency and Innovation — Page JUDICIAL-53

E-300 Safety, Security and Justice — Page JUDICIAL-54

E-301 Safety, Security and Justice — Page JUDICIAL-55

E-302 Safety, Security and Justice — Page JUDICIAL-55

E-710 Equipment Replacement — Page JUDICIAL-56

Fiscal staff recommends the Other Closing Items as described on page 61 of [Exhibit C](#) be closed as included in the Executive Budget and requests authority for Fiscal staff to make technical adjustments as necessary. Fiscal staff recommends including back language in the Appropriations Act as appropriate, consistent with the Committees' closing actions on the Judicial Overview to allow the Judicial Branch to balance forward funding from FY 2023-2024 to FY 2024-2025 within the same budget account to be used to complete MCIJIS projects in Other Closing Items 3, 4, and 5 as approved by the Legislature without approval of the IFC.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE OTHER CLOSING ITEMS AS SHOWN ON PAGE 61 OF [EXHIBIT C](#), IN B/A 101-1486 DECISION UNITS E-226, E-227, E-300, E-301, E-302, AND E-710 AS INCLUDED IN THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET WITH AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL STAFF TO MAKE TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS AS NECESSARY; AND INCLUDE BACK LANGUAGE IN THE APPROPRIATIONS ACT AS APPROPRIATE, CONSISTENT WITH THE

COMMITTEES' CLOSING ACTIONS ON THE JUDICIAL OVERVIEW; AND ALLOW THE JUDICIAL BRANCH TO BALANCE FORWARD FUNDING FROM FY 2023-2024 TO FY 2024-2025 WITHIN THE SAME BUDGET ACCOUNT TO BE USED TO COMPLETE MCIJIS PROJECTS IN OTHER CLOSING ITEMS 3, 4, AND 5, AS APPROVED BY THE LEGISLATURE WITHOUT APPROVAL OF THE IFC.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR NGUYEN WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

BUDGET CLOSED.

* * * * *

Ms. DAY:

The Judicial Education B/A 101-1487 begins on page 65 of [Exhibit C](#). The Major Closing Issue in this budget is in decision unit E-275 for four new judicial education positions that would provide training for judges and court employees Statewide and would become effective on October 1, 2023.

E-275 Elevating Education — Page JUDICIAL-61

The Judicial Branch indicates it has four staff responsible for providing educational programs for judges and court staff. This request would double the staff allocated to the Judicial Education budget. The positions are outlined on page 66 of [Exhibit C](#) with the revised salaries.

During the budget hearing, Judicial staff indicated it conducts annual in-person training for limited jurisdiction judges and district court judges, a family court conference in partnership with the State Bar of Nevada, a Specialty Court Conference every other year and a number of distance education offerings.

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 48

The additional positions would allow for the expansion of distance learning education, not only for judges but also for court staff including frontline court clerks and employees. The revised salaries result in increases to reserve of \$132,263 over the 2023-2025 biennium.

Do the Committees wish to approve reserve reductions of \$811,577 over the 2023-2025 biennium to fund four new nonclassified judicial education positions as requested by the Judicial Branch to adjust position salaries which result in an increase to reserves of \$132,263 over the 2023-2025 biennium compared to the amounts requested in the Executive Budget?

SENATOR CANNIZZARO MOVED TO APPROVE RESERVE REDUCTIONS OF \$811,577 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM IN B/A 101-1487 DECISION UNIT E-275 AS SHOWN ON PAGE 65 OF [EXHIBIT C](#) TO FUND FOUR NEW NONCLASSIFIED JUDICIAL EDUCATION POSITIONS AS REQUESTED BY THE JUDICIAL BRANCH TO ADJUST THE POSITION SALARIES WHICH RESULT IN AN INCREASE TO RESERVES OF \$132,263 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM COMPARED TO THE AMOUNTS REQUESTED IN THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN BACKUS SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR NGUYEN WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

BUDGET CLOSED.

* * * * *

Ms. DAY:

There is one Other Closing Item in B/A 101-1487 decision unit E-710 on page 67 of [Exhibit C](#). Fiscal staff recommends it be closed as included in the Executive Budget and requests authority for Fiscal staff to make technical adjustments as necessary.

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 49

E-710 Equipment Replacement — Page JUDICIAL-62

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE THE OTHER CLOSING ITEM IN B/A 101-1487 DECISION UNIT E-710 ON PAGE 67 OF [EXHIBIT C](#) AS INCLUDED IN THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET, WITH AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL STAFF TO MAKE TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS AS NECESSARY.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR NGUYEN WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

BUDGET CLOSED.

* * * * *

Ms. DAY:

Page 69 of [Exhibit C](#) starts the section of Staff closed budgets. There are no Major Closing Issues in any of these budgets. Staff closed budgets are those budgets with no significant issues identified or significant informational items. These budgets have not been heard previously by the Committees. Fiscal staff is responsible for developing recommendations for the closings of these budgets. Page 87 of [Exhibit C](#) contains the summary page with a singular motion for these Staff closed budgets. The Court of Appeals B/A 101-1489 is on pages 69-71 of [Exhibit C](#). There are no Coronavirus State Fiscal Recovery Funds. There is one Other Closing Item in decision unit E-710 concerning replacement of computers and other technological equipment described on page 70 of [Exhibit C](#).

E-710 Equipment Replacement — Page JUDICIAL-23

The State Judicial Elected Officials B/A 101-1490 is on pages 73-74 of [Exhibit C](#). There is one Other Closing Item in decision unit E-905 concerning transferring funds to consolidate funds in particular accounts.

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 50

E-905 Transfers — Page JUDICIAL-26

The Senior Justice and Senior Judge Program B/A 101-1496 is on pages 75 and 76 of [Exhibit C](#). There are no Other Closing Items.

The Law Library B/A 101-2889 is on pages 77 and 78 of [Exhibit C](#). There are two Other Closing Items in decision units E-275 and E-710 concerning travel and equipment replacement.

E-275 Elevating Education — Page JUDICIAL 33
E-710 Equipment Replacement — Page JUDICIAL 33

The Specialty Court B/A 101-1495 is on pages 79-81 of [Exhibit C](#). There is one Other Closing Item in decision unit E-226 concerning IT updates for data exchanges described on page 80 of [Exhibit C](#).

E-226 Efficiency & Innovation — Page JUDICIAL 68

The Judicial Support, Governance and Special Events B/A 101-1493 is on page 83 of [Exhibit C](#). There are no Other Closing Items for this budget.

The Judicial Retirement System State Share B/A 101-1491 is on page 85 of [Exhibit C](#). There is one Other Closing Item in decision unit E-905. The Judicial Retirement System State Share budget is an actuarial reserve system administered in a separate trust fund by PERS. Budget account 101-1491 serves as a pass-through budget to PERS for amounts Legislatively appropriated to fund the unfunded liability of the Judicial Retirement System. It is all related to the elected officials. The request is to consolidate B/A 101-1491 into the elected officials B/A 101-1490 which has all the elected officials' salaries and benefits.

E-905 Transfers — Page JUDICIAL-74

Fiscal staff recommends closure of the budgets listed on page 87 of [Exhibit C](#), as included in The Executive Budget and requests authority for Fiscal staff to make technical adjustments as necessary.

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 51

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE B/A 101-1493 AND B/A 101-1491 AS SHOWN ON PAGE 87 OF [EXHIBIT C](#) WITH AUTHORITY FOR STAFF TO MAKE TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS AS NECESSARY; AND TO APPROVE B/A 101-1489, B/A 101-1490, B/A 101-1496, B/A 101-2889 AND B/A 101-1495 CONSISTENT WITH THE COMMITTEES' CLOSING ACTIONS ON THE JUDICIAL OVERVIEW WITH AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL STAFF TO MAKE TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS AS NECESSARY.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

SENATOR SEEVERS-GANSERT:

Can you explain again why you want to merge the Judicial Retirement System?

Ms. DAY:

The State Judicial Elected Positions B/A 101-1490 budget contains all of the salaries and the benefits. There is a Judicial Retirement State Shared budget B/A 101-1491 with the unfunded liability for the Judicial Retirement System. The consolidation recommended in E-905 for both of those budgets would combine those two budgets together so all the salary benefits and the unfunded liability related to the Judicial Retirement System would be in one budget.

SENATOR SEEVERS-GANSERT:

Do we understand what the unfunded liability is?

Ms. DAY:

The amounts in the Judicial Retirement System State Share budget are provided by PERS. A set amount goes into that fund. It is part of an actuarial report provided by PERS.

SENATOR SEEVERS GANSERT:

Is the amount you are asking to merge the same amount to be funded annually versus the liability itself?

Ms. DAY:

It is the amount determined in the actuarial report.

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 52

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR NGUYEN WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

BUDGET CLOSED.

* * * * *

Ms. DAY:

The Judicial Discipline B/A 101-1497 begins on page 89 of [Exhibit C](#). There is one Other Closing Item in decision unit E-710 pertaining to equipment replacement. Fiscal staff recommends the budget be closed as included in the Executive Budget and requests authority for Fiscal staff to make technical adjustments as necessary.

Judicial Discipline — Budget Page JUDICIAL-79 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-1497

E-710 Equipment Replacement — JUDICIAL-81

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE B/A 101-1497 DECISION UNIT E-710 AS INCLUDED IN THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET AS SHOWN ON PAGE 89 OF [EXHIBIT C](#) WITH AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL STAFF TO MAKE TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS AS NECESSARY.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR NGUYEN WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

BUDGET CLOSED.

* * * * *

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 53

MR. THORLEY:

Statewide decision units begin on page 91 of [Exhibit C](#).

Statewide decision unit M-100 for inflation includes changes in rates, primarily internal service fund rates from several factors including inflation, new services, reduction of services and decision units approved in other budget accounts of that nature. In total, as included in the Executive Budget, the M-100 adjustments represent a decrease of \$1.1 million in FY 2023-2024 and \$7.1 million in FY 2024-2025 from all funding sources.

Every assessment or rate that makes up decision unit M-100 is listed individually. The recommended changes to the rates will be in bold text under the paragraph for that particular assessment or rate and what the recommended change is or rate and what the recommended change is.

Do the Committees wish to approve the adjustments proposed to the various rates and assessments as included in the M-100 Statewide Inflation Decision Unit, and adjusted for the changes to the personnel assessment, payroll assessment, employee bond assessment, and vehicle comprehensive/collision insurance rate as noted, and various Department of Administration, Enterprise Information Technology Services (EITS) assessments and rates, including the infrastructure assessment and security assessment as noted, and provide Fiscal staff with the authority to make technical adjustments as necessary?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE THE ADJUSTMENTS PROPOSED TO THE VARIOUS RATES AND ASSESSMENTS AS INCLUDED IN THE M-100 STATEWIDE INFLATION DECISION UNIT, AS ADJUSTED FOR THE CHANGES TO THE PERSONNEL ASSESSMENT, PAYROLL ASSESSMENT, EMPLOYEE BOND ASSESSMENT, AND VEHICLE COMPREHENSIVE/COLLISION INSURANCE RATE AS NOTED, AND VARIOUS EITS ASSESSMENTS AND RATES, INCLUDING THE INFRASTRUCTURE ASSESSMENT AND SECURITY ASSESSMENT AS NOTED, AS SHOWN ON PAGES 91 THROUGH 95 OF [EXHIBIT C](#) WITH AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL STAFF TO MAKE TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS AS NECESSARY.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 54

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR NGUYEN WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

* * * * *

MR. THORLEY:

Decision unit M-300, Fringe Benefits Rate Adjustments, is described on pages 96-99 of [Exhibit C](#). This decision unit accounts for any changes in fringe benefit rates including PERS rates, workers' compensation, and Nevada Public Employees' Benefits Program rates. The recommended changes to the rates will be in bold text under the paragraph for that particular assessment.

Do the Committees wish to approve Statewide decision unit M-300 for fringe benefits rate adjustments as adjusted for changes to the workers' compensation rate, and excluding the Active Employee Group Insurance and Retired Employee Group Insurance rates which were previously approved by the Committees on April 19, 2023, and provide Fiscal staff with authority to make technical adjustments as necessary?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE DECISION UNIT M-300, FRINGE BENEFITS RATE ADJUSTMENTS AS SHOWN ON PAGES 96-99 OF [EXHIBIT C](#), ADJUSTED FOR CHANGES TO THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION RATE, AND EXCLUDING THE ACTIVE EMPLOYEE GROUP INSURANCE AND RETIRED EMPLOYEE GROUP INSURANCE RATES PREVIOUSLY APPROVED BY THE COMMITTEES ON APRIL 19, 2023, WITH AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL STAFF TO MAKE TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS AS NECESSARY.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLYMAN YEAGER WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATORS NGUYEN AND
SEEVERS GANSERT WERE EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

* * * * *

MR. THORLEY:

There is also an option on page 99 of [Exhibit C](#) for the Committees' consideration to issue a Letter of Intent regarding the rate review and publication process of the Nevada Department of Administration rates.

Fiscal staff has identified several issues with the Department of Administration's rate review and publication process, including discrepancies between the revenue authority published in the [Executive Budget](#) and the Department's projected revenues and reserves, inconsistencies in the allocation of reserves across different rates and service types, incorrect funding for budgetary enhancements, and errors in the rate workbooks.

To create a transparent rate-building process that addresses identified issues, the Committees may wish to recommend the issuance of a Letter of Intent directing the Department of Administration and EITS to collaborate with the GFO and the Legislative Counsel Bureau's Fiscal Analysis Division in establishing a process that resolves these issues.

Do the Committees wish to approve the issuance of a Letter of Intent directing the Department of Administration and the EITS to collaborate with the GFO and the Legislative Counsel Bureau's Fiscal Analysis Division in establishing procedures that resolve issues related to the rate review and publication process?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE THE
ISSUANCE OF A LETTER OF INTENT DIRECTING THE DEPARTMENT OF
ADMINISTRATION AND THE DIVISION OF ENTERPRISE INFORMATION
TECHNOLOGY SERVICES TO COLLABORATE WITH THE GFO AND THE
LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL BUREAU'S FISCAL ANALYSIS DIVISION IN
ESTABLISHING PROCEDURES THAT RESOLVE ISSUES RELATED TO THE
RATE REVIEW AND PUBLICATION PROCESS.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 56

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLYMAN YEAGER WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATORS NGUYEN AND SEEVERS GANSERT WERE EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

* * * * *

SARAH COFFMAN (ASSEMBLY FISCAL ANALYST):

Salary recommendations including COLAs are on pages 99 and 100 of [Exhibit C](#).

Two buckets of money are set aside for COLA adjustments: the General Fund Salary Adjustment Account and the Highway Fund Salary Adjustment Account. In the Governor's recommended budget, the General Fund Salary Adjustment Account includes \$195.9 million in FY 2023-2024 and \$278.2 million in FY 2024-2025 to provide salary increases or COLAs of 8 percent for individuals who received a 3 percent COLA in FY 2023-2024, a 10 percent COLA for individuals who received a 1 percent COLA in FY 2023-2024 and a 4 percent salary increase for all State employees in FY 2024-2025.

The Governor recommended General Fund appropriations of \$36.8 million in FY 2023-2024 and \$52.5 million in FY 2024-2025 to provide for COLAs associated with positions funded with Highway Fund authorizations included in the Highway Fund Salary Adjustment Account.

A number of errors were identified during the March 30, 2023, State Employee Compensation and Benefits work session in those salary adjustment accounts which included providing General Fund appropriations to the Highway Fund Salary Adjustment Account and providing General Fund appropriations to support the COLA increases for all State employees as opposed to just the General Fund appropriations for General Funded positions.

There was also an error related to the duplication of fringe benefit costs associated with funding for decision unit M-300. If those errors are corrected, the amount required for the General Fund Salary Adjustment Account would be \$117.8 million in FY 2023-2024 and \$174.7 million in FY 2024-2025.

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 57

To correct the errors in the Highway Fund Salary Adjustment Account, there would be a reduction of General Fund appropriations of \$36.8 million in FY 2023-2024 and \$52.5 million in FY 2024-2025. General Fund appropriations would be replaced with Highway Fund appropriations of \$23.7 million in FY 2023-2024 and \$35.7 million in FY 2024-2025.

During the compensation enhancement work session, there was also discussion related to other various compensation requests such as a 2 percent COLA effective April 2023. Funding of collective bargaining agreements was approved in March 2022, and the restoration of longevity pay and the adjustment of contributions were made to PERS. Since those recommendations were not included in the Executive Budget, they are not being considered within the Statewide decision units.

Funding for the General Fund and Highway Fund Salary Adjustment accounts would only include the COLAs related to what was provided for in the Executive Budget.

Do the Committees wish to approve the Governor's recommendation to provide General Fund appropriations of \$195.9 million in FY 2023-2024 and \$278.2 million in FY 2024-2025 to fund COLAs of 8 percent or 10 percent in FY 2023-2024 and an additional 4 percent in FY 2024-2025, and General Fund appropriations of \$36.8 million in FY 2023-2024 and \$52.5 million in FY 2024-2025 to fund the same level of COLAs in each year for State employees whose positions are funded with Highway Fund authorizations; or do the Committees wish to approve General Fund appropriations of \$117.8 million in FY 2023-2024 and \$174.7 million in FY 2024-2025, and Highway Fund appropriations of \$23.7 million in FY 2023-2024 and \$35.7 million in FY 2024-2025, as calculated by Fiscal staff, to fund COLAs of 8 percent or 10 percent in FY 2023-2024 and an additional 4 percent in FY 2024-2025? This option corrects the noted calculation errors identified in the Executive Budget. Fiscal staff requests authority to make any technical adjustments, as necessary.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$117.8 MILLION IN FY 2023-2024 AND \$174.7 MILLION IN FY 2024-2025; HIGHWAY FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$23.7 MILLION IN FY 2023-2024; \$35.7 MILLION IN FY 2024-2025,

AS CALCULATED BY FISCAL STAFF, AS SHOWN ON PAGE 100 OF [EXHIBIT C](#), TO FUND COLAS OF 8 PERCENT OR 10 PERCENT IN FY 2023-2024 AND AN ADDITIONAL 4 PERCENT IN FY 2024-2025, WHICH CORRECTS THE NOTED CALCULATION ERRORS IDENTIFIED IN THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET; WITH AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL STAFF TO MAKE ANY TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS, AS NECESSARY.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR NGUYEN WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

* * * * *

Ms. COFFMAN:

Page 101 of [Exhibit C](#) begins the discussion of salary adjustments for law enforcement and other classified positions. Within the Executive Budget, the Governor provided \$49.6 million for two-grade salary increases for sworn officer positions and forensic specialists, developmental support technicians and eight Executive Branch budget officer positions. The details are listed on the table on page 101 of [Exhibit C](#). Budget Amendment No. A231031340 ([Exhibit E](#)) was submitted by the GFO and approved in the GFO closing to eliminate the request to include a two-grade increase for the Executive Branch budget officers.

On page 102 of [Exhibit C](#), there is a discussion related to 49 budget amendments from the GFO to provide additional one- to three-step increases for certain positions. The AGs office requested consideration for three-grade increases for legal secretaries that was not submitted as a budget amendment. The information has been included in this document for your consideration.

The grade increases recommended by the Governor are proposed to improve the recruitment and retention for those positions in law enforcement and State services with the most difficulty in filling. The table on page 103 of [Exhibit C](#) provides the current grades of the positions recommended for

grade increases and the corresponding vacancy rates for those specific positions.

As shown on the table on page 103 of [Exhibit C](#), while some of the more senior positions may not have issues with being filled, the entry level positions that feed into the senior positions do. The class series in and of itself must move together to avoid possible compaction issues.

With the amounts provided in the Executive Budget and the 49 budget amendments, the Governor is recommending a total of \$54.9 million in salary enhancements for these various positions. Due to the volume of grade increases being recommended by the Governor, we have broken this out between functional areas and department.

The AG's salary adjustments begin on page 104 of [Exhibit C](#). The AG is requesting 50 investigator positions and 70 legal secretary positions to be upgraded. The GFO submitted seven budget amendments impacting seven budgets for the salary adjustments: Budget Amendment No. A231801030 for B/A 101-1030 ([Exhibit F](#)), Budget Amendment No. A231811031 for B/A 101-1031 ([Exhibit G](#)), Budget Amendment No. A231821033 for B/A 101-1033 ([Exhibit H](#)), Budget Amendment No. A231831036 for B/A 101 1036 ([Exhibit I](#)), Budget Amendment No. A231841037 for B/A 101-1037 ([Exhibit J](#)), Budget Amendment No. A231881047 for B/A 101-1047 ([Exhibit K](#)) and Budget Amendment No. A231851038 for B/A 330-1038 ([Exhibit L](#)).

The upgrades will correct disparities and align the salaries associated with other investigators. The salary recommendations on page 103 of [Exhibit C](#) for the AG criminal investigators supervisor and the AG criminal investigator II position, are proposed to align with the criminal investigator III position and the supervisory criminal investigator I position, which are positions within other State agencies. The AG also requested a three-grade increase for legal secretaries.

ELECTED OFFICIALS

AG - Administrative Budget Account — Budget Page ELECTED-111 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-1030

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 60

AG - Special Litigation Fund — Budget Page ELECTED-121 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-1031

AG - Workers' Comp Fraud — Budget Page ELECTED-125 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-1033

AG - Crime Prevention — Budget Page ELECTED-130 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-1036

AG - Medicaid Fraud — Budget Page ELECTED-134 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-1037

AG - State Settlements — Budget Page ELECTED-166 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-1047

AG - Consumer Advocate — Budget Page ELECTED-139 (Volume I)
Budget Account 330-1038

According to the AG, they are the only full-service law firm in the State with attorneys with a full spectrum of practice from administrative law to civil litigation and criminal prosecution. Their legal secretaries provide services greater than traditional legal secretaries.

Page 103 of [Exhibit C](#) shows the grades of other legal secretaries within State service. If the Committees approve this three-grade increase for the legal secretaries, the DHRM would have to evaluate this recommendation to determine the appropriateness. If DHRM determines these legal secretaries are still within the same purview of other legal secretaries, while the funding may be provided for the legal secretaries, the actual grades would not increase if it was not approved by DHRM.

Do the Committees wish to approve funding for a three-grade increase for AG criminal investigator II positions from grade 38 to grade 41, AG cybercrime investigator II positions from grade 40 to grade 43, AG criminal investigator supervisor positions from grade 40 to grade 43 and a two-grade increase for the AG deputy chief position from grade 42 to grade 44, contingent upon the DHRM evaluating these positions to determine if they should be included in their own class series. Do the Committees wish to provide funding for a three-grade

increase for the legal secretary II positions from a grade 29 to grade 32, supervising legal secretaries from grade 31 to grade 34 and three legal office managers from grade 33 to grade 36, contingent upon DHRM evaluating these positions to determine if they should be included in their own class series?

If the Committees wish to approve the reclassification of the legal secretary positions, the Committees may wish to consider replacing the Attorney General Cost Allocation Plan (AGCAP) revenue of \$937,956 over the 2023-2025 biennium with General Fund appropriations, so the AGCAP does not have to be recalculated by the vendor, which could take a significant amount of time and additional resources. Actual expenditures for the 2023-2025 biennium would be included in future plans based on those fiscal years, resulting in additional AGCAP revenue in future fiscal years.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE FUNDING IN BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A231801030 FOR B/A 101-1030 [EXHIBIT F](#), BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A231811031 FOR B/A 101-1031 [EXHIBIT G](#), BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A231821033 FOR B/A 101-1033 [EXHIBIT H](#), BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A231831036 FOR B/A 101 1036 [EXHIBIT I](#), BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A231841037 FOR B/A 101-1037 [EXHIBIT J](#), BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A231881047 FOR B/A 101-1047 [EXHIBIT K](#) AND BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A231851038 FOR B/A 330-1038 [EXHIBIT L](#) FOR A THREE-GRADE INCREASE FOR AG CRIMINAL INVESTIGATOR II POSITIONS FROM GRADE 38 TO GRADE 41, AG CYBERCRIME INVESTIGATOR II POSITIONS FROM GRADE 40 TO GRADE 43, AG CRIMINAL INVESTIGATOR SUPERVISOR POSITIONS FROM GRADE 40 TO GRADE 43 AND A TWO-GRADE INCREASE FOR THE AG DEPUTY CHIEF POSITION FROM GRADE 42 TO GRADE 44, CONTINGENT UPON THE DHRM EVALUATING THESE POSITIONS TO DETERMINE IF THEY SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN THEIR OWN CLASS SERIES; PROVIDE FUNDING FOR A THREE-GRADE INCREASE FOR THE LEGAL SECRETARY II POSITIONS FROM A GRADE 29 TO GRADE 32, SUPERVISING LEGAL SECRETARIES FROM GRADE 31 TO GRADE 34 AND THREE LEGAL OFFICE MANAGERS FROM GRADE 33 TO GRADE 36, CONTINGENT UPON THE DHRM EVALUATING THESE POSITIONS TO DETERMINE IF THEY SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN THEIR OWN CLASS SERIES; THE RECLASSIFICATION OF THE LEGAL SECRETARY POSITIONS,

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 62

REPLACING THE AGCAP REVENUE OF \$937,956 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM WITH GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLYMAN HAFEN:

Do we know how long it would take HR to make the determination regarding step increases for the legal secretaries?

Ms. COFFMAN:

I do not know how long it would take.

SENATOR SEEVERS GANSERT:

In looking at the proposal and then looking ahead at the decision units for the other agencies related to law enforcement, this is the only one that includes secretaries and non-law enforcement positions. I am okay with what was originally proposed.

I am going to oppose this because it is the only one that includes people outside of what we typically see as law enforcement. I understand these secretaries are at a higher level, but we should be looking at all of them.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN BACKUS:

Having my own law practice, it has been quite a tough three years hiring legal assistance. The pay increase the AG is seeking for these legal secretaries is still well below private practice and with the high vacancies, it is important we keep these people. They provide much needed assistance and support for attorneys. The other balance is hiring more attorneys to fill those positions. The recommendation is a good one by our AG.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO:

I echo my colleague's comment. The only other thing I would add is, these legal secretaries handle criminal, civil and administrative law. You have to be trained in several areas of the law. They must have a diverse and unique set of skills as opposed to other legal secretary positions. This provides a level of comfortability with why we would consider this.

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 63

CHAIR DONDERO LOOP:

I would like to hold this vote as several members are out presenting other bills.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO WITHDREW HER MOTION.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO WITHDREW HER SECOND.

* * * * *

Ms. COFFMAN:

The Office of the Secretary of the State salary adjustments are on pages 105 and 106 of [Exhibit C](#). The Governor recommended a one-grade increase to criminal investigator III positions and supervisory criminal investigator I positions in Budget Amendment No. A23210150 ([Exhibit M](#)) in B/A 101-1050.

SOS - Secretary of State — Budget Page ELECTED-181 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-1050

In the Secretary of State's budget closing, there was one additional criminal investigator position approved. In addition to the \$78,213 identified in the table on page 105 another \$6,592 must be included to account for the criminal investigator position.

Do the Committees wish to approve General Fund appropriations of \$84,805 over the 2023-2025 biennium for a one-grade salary increase for the criminal investigator III positions and the supervisory criminal investigator I position within the Office of the Secretary of State?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232101050 [EXHIBIT M](#), IN B/A 101-1050 FOR GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$84,805 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM FOR A ONE-GRADE SALARY INCREASE FOR THE CRIMINAL INVESTIGATOR III POSITIONS AND THE SUPERVISORY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATOR I POSITION WITHIN THE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE AS SHOWN ON PAGE 105 OF [EXHIBIT C](#).

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 64

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLYMAN O'NEILL WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR NGUYEN WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

* * * * *

Ms. COFFMAN:

The Governor recommends General Fund appropriations of \$1.3 million for two-grade salary increases for the Nevada System of Higher Education University police officers, sergeants, lieutenants and detectives on page 106 of [Exhibit C](#). This is done through Budget Amendment No. A230912980 for B/A 101-2980 ([Exhibit N](#)), Budget Amendment No. A231932987 for B/A 101-2987 ([Exhibit O](#)), Budget Amendment No. A231943002 for B/A 101-3002 ([Exhibit P](#)), Budget Amendment No. A231953011 for B/A 101-3011 ([Exhibit Q](#)) and Budget Amendment No. A231993012 for B/A 101-3012 ([Exhibit R](#)).

NSHE - University of Nevada, Reno — Budget Page NSHE-31 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-2980

NSHE - University of Nevada, Las Vegas — Budget Page NSHE-61 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-2987

NSHE - UNLV Dental School — Budget Page NSHE-82 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-3002

NSHE - College of Southern Nevada — Budget Page NSHE-107 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-3011

NSHE - Western Nevada College — Budget Page NSHE-101 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-3012

Do the Committees wish to approve General Fund appropriations of \$723,207 over the biennium for a two-grade increase for University police officer positions, as recommended by the Governor, and \$578,198 over the 2023-2025 biennium requested through Budget Amendment

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 65

No. A230912980 for B/A 101-2980 [Exhibit N](#), Budget Amendment
No. A231932987 for B/A 101-2987 [Exhibit O](#), Budget Amendment
No. A231943002 for B/A 101-3002 [Exhibit P](#), Budget Amendment
No. A231953011 for B/A 101-3011 [Exhibit Q](#) and Budget Amendment
No. A231993012 for B/A 101-3012 [Exhibit R](#) for a two-grade increase for the
University sergeant and lieutenant positions within NSHE, and University police
officer, detective, sergeant, and lieutenant positions for University of
Nevada, Reno (UNR)?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$723,207 OVER THE BIENNIUM FOR A TWO-GRADE INCREASE FOR UNIVERSITY POLICE OFFICER POSITIONS, AS RECOMMENDED BY THE GOVERNOR, AND \$578,198 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM REQUESTED THROUGH BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A230912980 FOR B/A 101-2980 [EXHIBIT N](#), BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A231932987 FOR B/A 101-2987 [EXHIBIT O](#), BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A231943002 FOR B/A 101-3002 [EXHIBIT P](#), BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A231953011 FOR B/A 101-3011 [EXHIBIT Q](#) AND BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A231993012 FOR B/A 101-3012 [EXHIBIT R](#) FOR A TWO-GRADE INCREASE FOR THE UNIVERSITY SERGEANT AND LIEUTENANT POSITIONS WITHIN NSHE AND UNIVERSITY POLICE OFFICER, DETECTIVE, SERGEANT, AND LIEUTENANT POSITIONS FOR UNR.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLYMAN O'NEILL WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR NGUYEN WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

* * * * *

Ms. COFFMAN:

The DHHS salary adjustments are on page 107 of [Exhibit C](#). The Governor recommends a one-grade increase for correctional lieutenant positions,

criminal investigator positions, youth parole counselor positions and a two-grade salary increase for developmental support technicians, correctional sergeants and forensic specialist positions within DHHS. The table on 107 reflects the recommendations provided by the Governor. These increases are provided for in Budget Amendment No. A232291383 for B/A 101-1383 ([Exhibit S](#)), Budget Amendment No. A232163148 in B/A 101-3148 ([Exhibit T](#)), Budget Amendment No. A232433161 for B/A 101-3161 ([Exhibit U](#)), Budget Amendment No. A232173263 for B/A 101-3263 ([Exhibit V](#)) and Budget Amendment No. A232363645 for B/A 101-3645 ([Exhibit W](#)).

HHS-DCFS - Juvenile Justice Services — Budget Page DHHS-DCFS-60
(Volume II)
Budget Account 101-1383

HHS-DCFS - Summit View Youth Center — Budget Page DHHS-DCFS-73
(Volume II)
Budget Account 101-3148

HHS-DPBH - So NV Adult Mental Health Services — Budget Page
DHHS-DPBH-35 (Volume II)
Budget Account 101-3161

HHS-DCFS - Youth Parole Services — Budget Page DHHS-DCFS-89 (Volume II)
Budget Account 101-3263

HHS-DPBH - Lakes Crossing Center — Budget Page DHHS-DPBH-195 (Volume
II)
Budget Account 101-3645

Do the Committees wish to approve total funding of \$3.7 million, of which \$3.2 million is a General Fund appropriation over the 2023-2025 biennium, inclusive of \$3.4 million included in the Executive Budget for a two-grade salary increase for developmental support technicians, correctional sergeants, senior correctional officers and forensic specialists, and an additional \$317,733 over the 2023-2025 biennium requested through Budget Amendment No. A232291383 for B/A 101-1383 [Exhibit S](#), Budget Amendment No. A232163148 in B/A 101-3148 [Exhibit T](#), Budget Amendment No. A232433161 for B/A 101-3161 [Exhibit U](#), Budget Amendment

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 67

No. A232173263 for B/A 101-3263 [Exhibit V](#) and Budget Amendment No. A232363645 for B/A 101-3645 [Exhibit W](#) to include a one-grade salary increase for correctional lieutenant, criminal investigator and youth parole counselor positions within DHHS?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE TOTAL FUNDING OF \$3.7 MILLION INCLUDING GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$3.2 MILLION OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM, INCLUSIVE OF \$3.4 MILLION INCLUDED IN THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET FOR A TWO-GRADE SALARY INCREASE FOR DEVELOPMENTAL SUPPORT TECHNICIANS, CORRECTIONAL SERGEANTS, SENIOR CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS AND FORENSIC SPECIALISTS, AND AN ADDITIONAL \$317,733 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM REQUESTED THROUGH BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232291383 FOR B/A 101-1383 [EXHIBIT S](#), BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232163148 IN B/A 101-3148 [EXHIBIT T](#), BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232433161 FOR B/A 101-3161 [EXHIBIT U](#) BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232173263 FOR B/A 101-3263 [EXHIBIT V](#) AND BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232363645 FOR B/A 101-3645 [EXHIBIT W](#) TO INCLUDE A ONE-GRADE SALARY INCREASE FOR CORRECTIONAL LIEUTENANT, CRIMINAL INVESTIGATOR AND YOUTH PAROLE COUNSELOR POSITIONS WITHIN THE DHHS.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLYMAN HAFEN WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR NGUYEN WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

* * * * *

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO:

For the positions we just approved under education law enforcement officers; the last part of the statement said for the University of Nevada, Reno (UNR). Does it include the university police throughout our system or just at UNR? Does it leave out University of Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV)?

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 68

Ms. COFFMAN:

The UNR discussion relates to the fact it had to include funds in a budget amendment. The police officers provided for UNLV were already included in the Executive Budget.

The table on page 106 of [Exhibit C](#) breaks out the positions recommended for UNR. The same table provides positions related to UNLV.

The Nevada Department of Conservation and Natural Resources salary adjustments are on page 108 of [Exhibit C](#). The Governor recommends a two-grade salary increase for commissioned positions including park rangers, park supervisors, and law enforcement training specialists, and a one-grade salary increase for commissioned park regional manager positions.

This is requested through Budget Amendment No. A232014162 for B/A 101-4162 ([Exhibit X](#)).

DCNR - State Parks — Budget Page DCNR-46 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-4162

Do the Committees wish to approve General Fund appropriations of \$783,452 over the 2023-2025 biennium including \$736,835 in the Executive Budget for salary increases for park rangers, park supervisors, and law enforcement training specialists, and an additional \$46,617 over the 2023-2025 biennium requested through Budget Amendment No. A232014162 [Exhibit X](#) for B/A 101-4162 to include a one-grade salary increase for commissioned parks regional manager positions?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$783,452 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM INCLUDING \$736,835 IN THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET FOR SALARY INCREASES FOR PARK RANGERS, PARK SUPERVISORS, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING SPECIALISTS, AND AN ADDITIONAL \$46,617 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM REQUESTED THROUGH BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232014162 TO B/A 101-4162 [EXHIBIT X](#) TO INCLUDE A ONE-GRADE SALARY INCREASE FOR COMMISSIONED PARKS REGIONAL MANAGER POSITIONS SHOWN ON PAGE 108 OF [EXHIBIT C](#).

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLYMAN HAFEN WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR NGUYEN WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

* * * * *

Ms. COFFMAN:

The Nevada Department of Wildlife salary adjustments is on page 109 of [Exhibit C](#). The Governor recommends Sportsmen Revenue of \$660,574 over the 2023-2025 biennium for a two-grade salary increase for game warden positions and a one-grade salary increase for staff game warden positions. This is done through Budget Amendment No. A232024463 to B/A of 101-4463 ([Exhibit Y](#)).

Wildlife - Law Enforcement — Budget Page WILDLIFE-23 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-4463

Do the Committees wish to approve Sportsmen Revenue of \$660,574 over the 2023-2025 biennium, including \$587,053 in the Executive Budget for salary increases for game warden III positions, and an additional \$73,521 over the 2023-2025 biennium requested through Budget Amendment No. A232024463 for B/A 101-4463 [Exhibit Y](#) to include a one-grade salary increase for staff game warden and game warden IV positions?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE SPORTSMEN REVENUE OF \$660,574 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM, INCLUDING \$587,053 IN THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET FOR SALARY INCREASES FOR GAME WARDEN III POSITIONS AND AN ADDITIONAL \$73,521 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM REQUESTED THROUGH BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232024463 TO B/A 101-4463 [EXHIBIT Y](#) TO INCLUDE A ONE-GRADE SALARY INCREASE FOR STAFF GAME WARDEN AND GAME WARDEN IV POSITIONS AS SHOWN ON PAGE 109 OF [EXHIBIT C](#).

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLYMAN HAFEN WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR NGUYEN WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

* * * * *

Ms. COFFMAN:

The Cannabis Compliance Board salary adjustments begin on page 109 of [Exhibit C](#). The Governor recommends Budget Amendment No. A231924207 to B/A 101-4207 ([Exhibit Z](#)), which provides reserve reductions of \$67,215 over the 2023-2025 biennium to fund a one grade pay increase for compliance enforcement investigator positions.

CCB - Marijuana Regulation & Control Acct — Budget Page CCB-4 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-4207

Do the Committees wish to approve Budget Amendment No. A231924207 in B/A 101-4207 [Exhibit Z](#) which provides for reserve reductions of \$67,215 over the 2023-2025 biennium to fund a one-grade pay increase for compliance enforcement investigator positions?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A231924207 TO B/A 101-4207 [EXHIBIT Z](#) WHICH PROVIDES FOR RESERVE REDUCTIONS OF \$67,215 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM TO FUND A ONE-GRADE PAY INCREASE FOR COMPLIANCE ENFORCEMENT INVESTIGATOR POSITIONS AS SHOWN ON PAGE 109 OF [EXHIBIT C](#).

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLYMAN HAFEN WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 71

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR NGUYEN WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

* * * * *

Ms. COFFMAN:

The Nevada Department of Business and Industry salary adjustments are on pages 109 and 110 of [Exhibit C](#). The Governor recommends Budget Amendment No. A232334130 to B/A 245-4130 ([Exhibit AA](#)) and Budget Amendment No. A232243922 to B/A 101-3922 ([Exhibit AB](#)) which provide funding for a one-grade salary increase for chief investigator positions, supervisory compliance enforcement officer positions and compliance enforcement investigator positions.

B&I - Taxicab Authority — Budget Page B & I-158 (Volume II)
Budget Account 245-4130

B&I - Nevada Transportation Authority — Budget Page B & I-170 (Volume II)
Budget Account 101-3922

Do the Committees wish to approve Budget Amendments No. A232334130 to B/A 245-4130 [Exhibit AA](#) and Budget Amendment No. A232243922 to B/A 101-3922 [Exhibit AB](#) which provide Highway Fund appropriations of \$72,673 and reserve reductions of \$257,314 over the 2023-2025 biennium to approve one-grade increases for a chief investigator position, supervisory compliance/enforcement officer and compliance/enforcement investigator positions?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232334130 TO B/A 245-4130 [EXHIBIT AA](#) AND BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232243922 TO B/A 101-3922 [EXHIBIT AB](#) WHICH PROVIDE HIGHWAY FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$72,673 AND RESERVE REDUCTIONS OF \$257,314 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM TO APPROVE ONE-GRADE INCREASES FOR A CHIEF INVESTIGATOR POSITION, SUPERVISORY COMPLIANCE/ENFORCEMENT OFFICER POSITIONS AND COMPLIANCE/ENFORCEMENT INVESTIGATOR POSITIONS AS SHOWN ON PAGE 109 OF [EXHIBIT C](#).

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR NGUYEN WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

* * * * *

Ms. COFFMAN:

The Nevada Department of Corrections salary adjustments are on pages 110 and 111 of [Exhibit C](#). The Governor recommends General Fund appropriations of \$29.2 million over the 2023-2025 biennium to provide two-grade increases for correctional officer, senior correctional officer and sergeant positions and a one-grade salary increase for correctional lieutenant, associate warden, supervisory criminal investigator and criminal investigator positions.

Do the Committees wish to approve General Fund appropriations of \$29.2 million over the 2023-2025 biennium, including \$28.1 million in the Executive Budget to provide two-grade increases for correctional officer, senior correctional officer, and sergeant positions, with an additional \$1.0 million over the 2023-2025 biennium requested through

Budget Amendment	No.	A232053710	to	B/A 101-3710	(Exhibit AC),
Budget Amendment	No.	A232233751	to	B/A 101-3751	(Exhibit AD),
Budget Amendment	No.	A232073762	to	B/A 101-3762	(Exhibit AE),
Budget Amendment	No.	A232063716	to	B/A 101-3716	(Exhibit AF),
Budget Amendment	No.	A232343717	to	B/A 101-3717	(Exhibit AG),
Budget Amendment	No.	A232183722	to	B/A 101-3722	(Exhibit AH),
Budget Amendment	No.	A232323723	to	B/A 101-3723	(Exhibit AI),
Budget Amendment	No.	A232273725	to	B/A 101-3725	(Exhibit AJ),
Budget Amendment	No.	A232283724	to	B/A 101-3724	(Exhibit AK),
Budget Amendment	No.	A232153738	to	B/A-101-3738	(Exhibit AL),
Budget Amendment	No.	A232263739	to	B/A 101-3739	(Exhibit AM),
Budget Amendment	No.	A232143741	to	B/A 101-3741	(Exhibit AN),
Budget Amendment	No.	A232253747	to	B/A 101-3747	(Exhibit AO),
Budget Amendment	No.	A232133748	to	B/A 101-3748	(Exhibit AP),
Budget Amendment	No.	A232223752	to	B/A 101-3752	(Exhibit AQ),

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 73

Budget Amendment No. A232123754 to B/A 101-3754 ([Exhibit AR](#)),
Budget Amendment No. A232113759 to B/A 101-3759 ([Exhibit AS](#)) and
Budget Amendment No. A232213761 to B/A 101-3761 ([Exhibit AT](#)) to include
a one-grade increase for correctional lieutenant, associate warden, supervisory
criminal investigator and criminal investigator positions?

NDOC - Director's Office — Budget Page CORRECTIONS-10 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3710

NDOC - Ely State Prison — Budget Page CORRECTIONS-25 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3751

NDOC - High Desert State Prison — Budget Page CORRECTIONS-30 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3762

NDOC - Warm Springs Correctional Center — Budget Page CORRECTIONS-55
(Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3716

NDOC - Northern Nevada Correctional Center — Budget Page CORRECTIONS-35
(Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3717

NDOC - Stewart Conservation Camp — Budget Page CORRECTIONS-75
(Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3722

NDOC - Pioche Conservation Camp — Budget Page CORRECTIONS-79
(Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3723

NDOC - Three Lakes Valley Conservation Camp — Budget Page
CORRECTIONS-83 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3725

NDOC - Northern Nevada Transitional Housing — Budget Page
CORRECTIONS-70 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3724

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 74

NDOC - Southern Desert Correctional Center — Budget Page CORRECTIONS-42
(Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3738

NDOC - Wells Conservation Camp — Budget Page CORRECTIONS-87
(Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3739

NDOC - Humboldt Conservation Camp — Budget Page CORRECTIONS-91
(Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3741

NDOC - Ely Conservation Camp — Budget Page CORRECTIONS-96 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3747

NDOC - Jean Conservation Camp — Budget Page CORRECTIONS-100
(Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3748

NDOC - Carlin Conservation Camp — Budget Page CORRECTIONS-106
(Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3752

NDOC - Tonopah Conservation Camp — Budget Page CORRECTIONS-110
(Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3754

NDOC - Lovelock Correctional Center — Budget Page CORRECTIONS-47
(Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3759

NDOC - Florence McClure Women's Correctional Center — Budget Page
CORRECTIONS-60 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3761

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE
GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$29.2 MILLION OVER
THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM, INCLUDING \$28.1 MILLION IN

THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET TO PROVIDE TWO-GRADE INCREASES FOR CORRECTIONAL OFFICER, SENIOR CORRECTIONAL OFFICER, AND SERGEANT POSITIONS, AND AN ADDITIONAL \$1 MILLION OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM REQUESTED THROUGH BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232053710 B/A 101-3710 EXHIBIT AC, BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232233751 TO B/A 101-3751 EXHIBIT AD, BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232073762 TO B/A 101-3762 EXHIBIT AE, BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232063716 TO B/A 101-3716 EXHIBIT AF, BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232343717 TO B/A 101-3717 EXHIBIT AG, BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232183722 TO B/A 101-3722 EXHIBIT AH, BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232323723 TO B/A 101-3723 EXHIBIT AI, BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232273725 TO B/A 101-3725 EXHIBIT AJ, BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232273724 TO B/A 101-3724 EXHIBIT AK, BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232153738 TO B/A-101-3738 EXHIBIT AL, BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232263739 TO B/A 101-3739 EXHIBIT AM, BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232143741 TO B/A 101-3741 EXHIBIT AN, BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232253747 TO B/A 101-3747 EXHIBIT AO, BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232133748 TO B/A 101-3748 EXHIBIT AP, BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A23223752 TO B/A 101-3752 EXHIBIT AQ, BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232123754 TO B/A 101-3754 EXHIBIT AR, BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232113759 TO B/A 101-3759 EXHIBIT AS AND BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232213761 TO B/A 101-3761 EXHIBIT AT TO INCLUDE A ONE-GRADE INCREASE FOR CORRECTIONAL LIEUTENANT, ASSOCIATE WARDEN, SUPERVISORY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATOR AND CRIMINAL INVESTIGATOR POSITIONS AS SHOWN BEGINNING ON PAGE 110 OF EXHIBIT C.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 76

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR NGUYEN WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

* * * * *

Ms. COFFMAN:

The Nevada Department of Agriculture salary increases are described on page 110 of [Exhibit C](#). The Governor recommends in B/A 101-4557 decision unit E-673 General Fund appropriations of \$89,882 over the 2023-2025 biennium to fund a two-grade salary increase for agriculture police officers.

AGRI - Livestock Enforcement — Budget Page AGRICULTURE-77 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-4557

E-673 Salary Adjustment One-Time for 2023-2025 Biennium — Page
AGRICULTURE-79

Do the Committees wish to approve General Fund appropriations of \$89,882 over the 2023-2025 biennium to fund a two-grade salary increase for agriculture police officer positions?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE IN B/A 101-4557 DECISION UNIT E-673 GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$89,882 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM TO FUND A TWO-GRADE SALARY INCREASE FOR AGRICULTURE POLICE OFFICER POSITIONS AS SHOWN ON PAGE 110 OF [EXHIBIT C](#).

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAUREGUI WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 77

BUDGET CLOSED.

* * * * *

Ms. COFFMAN:

The Nevada Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) salary adjustments are on page 111 of [Exhibit C](#). The Governor recommends one-grade increases for compliance enforcement investigator positions and a supervisory compliance investigator position for the DMV in B/A 201-4740 and B/A 101-4722.

DMV - Compliance Enforcement — Budget Page DMV-40 (Volume III)
Budget Account 201-4740

DMV - Motor Vehicle Pollution Control — Budget Page DMV-45 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-4722

Do the Committees wish to approve Budget Amendment No. A232004740 to B/A 201-4740 ([Exhibit AU](#)) and No. A231964722 to B/A 101-4722 ([Exhibit AV](#)) which provide Highway Fund appropriations of \$171,694 and other funds of \$120,587 over the 2023-2025 biennium to provide one-grade increases to compliance/enforcement investigator and supervisory compliance/enforcement investigator positions?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232004740 TO B/A 201-4740 [EXHIBIT AU](#) AND NO. A231964722 TO B/A 101-4722 [EXHIBIT AV](#) WHICH PROVIDE HIGHWAY FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$171,694 AND OTHER FUNDS OF \$120,587 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM TO PROVIDE ONE-GRADE INCREASES TO COMPLIANCE/ENFORCEMENT INVESTIGATOR AND SUPERVISORY COMPLIANCE/ENFORCEMENT INVESTIGATOR POSITIONS AS SHOWN ON PAGE 111 OF [EXHIBIT C](#).

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLYWOMAN JAUREGUI WAS EXCUSED FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

* * * * *

Ms. COFFMAN:

The Nevada Department of Public Safety (DPS) salary adjustments are detailed on pages 112 and 113 of [Exhibit C](#). The Governor recommends providing two-grade salary increases for DPS officer and DPS sergeant positions, and a one-grade salary increase for DPS captains and DPS lieutenants. There was not a budget amendment provided for a lieutenant position within the Fire Marshal's Budget. The Committees may wish to approve a technical adjustment for reserve reductions of \$11,948 over the 2023-2025 biennium to account for this one-grade salary increase for the fire marshal lieutenant position to ensure pay parity among the lieutenants of the DPS. This is accomplished through Budget Amendment No. A232464713 to BA 201-4713 ([Exhibit AW](#)), Budget Amendment No. A232443740 to B/A 101-3740 ([Exhibit AX](#)), Budget Amendment No. A232474707 to B/A 101-4707 ([Exhibit AY](#)), Budget Amendment No. A232383775 to B/A 101-3775 ([Exhibit AZ](#)) and Budget Amendment No. A232453743 to B/A 101-3743 ([Exhibit BA](#)).

DPS - Nevada Highway Patrol Division — Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-52
(Volume III)
Budget Account 201-4713

DPS - Division of Parole and Probation — Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-79
(Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3740

DPS - Office of Prof Responsibility — Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-23
(Volume III)
Budget Account 201-4707

DPS - Training Division — Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-34 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3775

DPS - Investigation Division — Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-92 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3743

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 79

Do the Committees wish to approve total funding of \$16.7 million, of which \$6.7 million is General Fund appropriations, including \$15.8 million in the Executive Budget for two-grade salary increases for DPS officer and DPS sergeant positions, and an additional \$850,974 over the 2023-2025 biennium requested through Budget Amendment No. A232464713 to BA 201-4713 [Exhibit AW](#), Budget Amendment No. A232443740 to B/A 101-3740 [Exhibit AX](#), Budget Amendment No. A232474707 to B/A 101-4707 [Exhibit AY](#), Budget Amendment No. A232383775 to B/A 101-3775 [Exhibit AZ](#) and Budget Amendment No. A232453743 to B/A 101-3743 [Exhibit BA](#) to include one-grade salary increases for Lieutenant and Captain positions.

If so, do the Committees wish to approve a technical adjustment for reserve reductions of \$11,948 over the 2023-2025 biennium to provide a one-grade increase to the lieutenant position within the Fire Marshal account, which was not requested through a budget amendment?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE TOTAL FUNDING OF \$16.7 MILLION IN GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS, INCLUDING \$15.8 MILLION IN THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET FOR TWO-GRADE SALARY INCREASES FOR DPS OFFICER AND DPS SERGEANT POSITIONS, AND AN ADDITIONAL \$850,974 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM REQUESTED THROUGH BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232464713 TO BA 201-4713 [EXHIBIT AW](#), BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232443740 TO B/A 101-3740 [EXHIBIT AX](#), BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232474707 TO B/A 101-4707 [EXHIBIT AY](#), BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232383775 TO B/A 101-3775 [EXHIBIT AZ](#) AND BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A232453743 TO B/A 101-3743 [EXHIBIT BA](#). TO INCLUDE ONE-GRADE SALARY INCREASES FOR LIEUTENANT AND CAPTAIN POSITIONS; AND TO APPROVE A TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENT FOR RESERVE REDUCTIONS OF \$11,948 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM TO PROVIDE A ONE-GRADE INCREASE TO THE LIEUTENANT POSITION WITHIN THE FIRE MARSHAL ACCOUNT, WHICH WAS NOT REQUESTED THROUGH A BUDGET AMENDMENT, AS SHOWN ON PAGE 113 OF [EXHIBIT C](#).

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 80

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

* * * * *

CHAIR DONDERO LOOP:

We will go back to the AG's office on page 104 of [Exhibit C](#). Would Fiscal staff please repeat the motion on page 105?

Ms. COFFMAN:

Do the Committees wish to approve funds for a three-grade increase for AG criminal investigator II positions from grade 38 to grade 41, AG cybercrime investigator II positions from grade 40 to grade 43, AG criminal investigator supervisor positions from grade 40 to grade 43 and a two-grade increase for the AG deputy chief position from grade 42 to grade 44, contingent upon the DHRM evaluating the positions determining if they should be included in their own class series; and do the Committees wish to provide funds for a three-grade increase for the legal secretary II positions from a grade 29 to grade 32, supervising legal secretaries from grade 31 to grade 34 and three legal office managers from grade 33 to grade 36, contingent upon DHRM evaluating these positions to determine if they should be included in their own class series? Should they approve the reclassification of the Legal Secretary positions, the Committees may consider replacing the AGCAP revenue of \$937,956 over the 2023-2025 biennium with General Fund appropriations, so the AGCAP does not have to be recalculated by the vendor, which could take a significant amount of time and additional resources. The actual expenditures for the 2023-2025 biennium would be included in future plans based on those fiscal years resulting in additional AGCAP revenue in future fiscal years.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE FUNDING IN BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A231801030 FOR B/A 101-1030 [EXHIBIT F](#), BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A231811031 FOR B/A 101-1031 [EXHIBIT G](#), BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A231821033 FOR B/A 101-1033 [EXHIBIT H](#), BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A231831036 FOR B/A 101 1036 [EXHIBIT I](#), BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A231841037 FOR B/A 101-1037 [EXHIBIT J](#), BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A231881047

FOR B/A 101-1047 [EXHIBIT K](#) AND BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A231851038 FOR B/A 330-1038 [EXHIBIT L](#) FOR A THREE-GRADE INCREASE FOR AG CRIMINAL INVESTIGATOR II POSITIONS FROM GRADE 38 TO GRADE 41, AG CYBERCRIME INVESTIGATOR II POSITIONS FROM GRADE 40 TO GRADE 43, AG CRIMINAL INVESTIGATOR SUPERVISOR POSITIONS FROM GRADE 40 TO GRADE 43 AND A TWO-GRADE INCREASE FOR THE AG DEPUTY CHIEF POSITION FROM GRADE 42 TO GRADE 44, CONTINGENT UPON THE DHRM EVALUATING THESE POSITIONS TO DETERMINE IF THEY SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN THEIR OWN CLASS SERIES; PROVIDE FUNDING FOR A THREE-GRADE INCREASE FOR THE LEGAL SECRETARY II POSITIONS FROM A GRADE 29 TO GRADE 32, SUPERVISING LEGAL SECRETARIES FROM GRADE 31 TO GRADE 34 AND THREE LEGAL OFFICE MANAGERS FROM GRADE 33 TO GRADE 36, CONTINGENT UPON THE DHRM EVALUATING THESE POSITIONS TO DETERMINE IF THEY SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN THEIR OWN CLASS SERIES; THE RECLASSIFICATION OF THE LEGAL SECRETARY POSITIONS, REPLACING THE AGCAP REVENUE OF \$937,956 OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM WITH GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLY MEMBERS DICKMAN, HAFEN, KASAMA AND O'NEILL VOTED NO.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATORS GOICOECHEA, SEEVERS GANSERT AND TITUS VOTED NO.)

* * * * *

NICOLETTE JOHNSTON (Program Analyst):

The Senate Committee on Finance and Assembly Committee on Ways and Means, Joint Subcommittee on General Government Closing Report for the Department of Agriculture is ([Exhibit BB](#)). The Joint Subcommittees have completed the review of the Nevada Department of Agriculture budget recommendations in the [Executive Budget](#) for the 2023-2025 biennium. The closing recommendations of the Subcommittees resulted in a decrease of

General Fund appropriations of \$140,274 in FY 2023-2024 and a decrease of \$91,241 in FY 2024-2025 when compared to the Governor's recommended budget. The Subcommittees recommended approval of the closing actions described on pages 1-7 of [Exhibit BB](#).

The Administrative Services B/A 101-4554 begins on page 1 of [Exhibit BB](#). The Subcommittees recommended approval of cost allocation reimbursements of \$46,383 in FY 2023-2024 and \$60,465 in FY 2024-2025 for one new full-time administrative assistant position and associated costs to support the front desk in the Department's Las Vegas office, as recommended by the Governor.

AGRI - Administrative Services — Budget Page AGRICULTURE-6 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-4554

The Subcommittees recommended approval of \$184,858 over the 2023-2025 biennium to fund additional operating costs for annual memberships and buildings and grounds maintenance, as recommended by the Governor. Fiscal staff received late clarification from the GFO regarding the intended use of the recommended \$50,000 General Fund appropriation of FY 2023-2024 and consequently, the Subcommittees recommended the \$50,000 General Fund appropriation be placed in the restricted IFC Contingency Account. The Subcommittees directed the Department to request the funds from the IFC when specific use of the funds has been identified.

The Subcommittees recommended approval of ARPA Coronavirus State Fiscal Recovery Funds of \$331,062 in FY 2023-2024 for the continued support of the Department's State Meat Inspection Program as recommended by the Governor.

In addition, the Subcommittees recommended approval of Budget Amendment No. A231354554 ([Exhibit BC](#)) in B/A 101-4554, shown on page 2 of [Exhibit BB](#), to add one full-time IT Technician VI position, with a technical adjustment to the budget amendment to offset position costs of \$100,593 in FY 2023-2024 and \$102,654 in FY 2024-2025 with a reduction of contract IT costs of \$81,801 in FY 2023-2024 and \$107,401 in FY 2024-2025 in the three budgets supporting the contract IT position costs. This results in overall net additional costs of \$18,792 in FY 2023-2024 and net savings of \$4,747 in FY 2024-2025 for the full-time IT technician VI position.

The Agriculture Registration/Enforcement B/A 101-4545 is on pages 2 and 3 of [Exhibit BB](#). The Subcommittees recommended approval of the noted technical adjustments to update projected revenues, related operating expenditures and reserves, which result in a combined projected revenue increase of \$229,636 in FY 2023-2024 and \$87,195 in FY 2024-2025. Given concerns expressed by the Subcommittees regarding the long-term solvency of this budget, the Subcommittees recommended a Letter of Intent directing the Department to develop a long-term, sustainable plan to align this budget's revenues and expenditures to be submitted for consideration by the IFC no later than the June 2024 meeting.

AGRI - Agriculture Registration/Enforcement — Budget Page AGRICULTURE-13
(Volume I)
Budget Account 101-4545

As recommended by the Governor, the Subcommittees recommended approval of reserve reductions of \$8,565 in FY 2023-2024 and \$16,295 in FY 2024-2025 for the costs of a laboratory assessment and inspection to achieve accreditation of the Department's laboratory through the International Organization for Standardization.

The Subcommittees recommended approval of the Governor's recommendation of federal Environmental Protection Agency Pesticide Enforcement Grant funds of \$3,705 and reserve reductions of \$86,146 in FY 2023-2024 to fund maintenance and calibration contracts for chemistry equipment. The Subcommittees also recommended a Letter of Intent directing the Department to provide an update on the effectiveness of the maintenance contract for the instrument purchased in 2009 and a plan to replace that equipment.

The Veterinary Medical Services is B/A 101-4550 on page 3 of [Exhibit BB](#). The Subcommittees recommended approval of one new unclassified deputy administrator position to assist in managing the six programs within the Division of Animal Industry for a total cost of \$104,381 in FY 2023-2024 and \$134,454 in FY 2024-2025, including a technical adjustment to revise the funding source from 100 percent General Fund appropriations, as recommended by the Governor, to 24 percent General Fund appropriations and 76 percent cost allocation reimbursements, which results in General Fund savings of \$79,330 in FY 2023-2024 and \$102,185 in FY 2024-2025.

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 84

AGRI - Veterinary Medical Services — Budget Page AGRICULTURE-32
(Volume I)
Budget Account 101-4550

The Livestock Inspection is B/A 101-4546 on page 4 of [Exhibit BB](#). Due to ongoing concerns regarding the long-term financial solvency issues identified in this budget, the Subcommittees recommended the issuance of a Letter of Intent directing the Department to report to the IFC every six months on the status of its reserves, and if reserves fall below 60 days of operating expenditures, request the Department provide the IFC with a plan to ensure the account will remain solvent. The Subcommittees also recommended approval of a technical adjustment to eliminate brand rerecording fees of \$701,641 in FY 2023-2024, which were erroneously included in the Governor's recommended budget.

AGRI - Livestock Inspection — Budget Page AGRICULTURE-41 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-4546

The Subcommittees recommended approval of all Other Closing Items within the Department of Agriculture budget as recommended by the Governor with technical adjustments noted by Fiscal staff and authorized Fiscal staff to make technical adjustments as necessary.

The Subcommittees recommend closing the following Department of Agriculture budgets: B/A 101-4540, B/A 101-4552, B/A 101-4541, B/A 101-4600, B/A 101-4551, B/A 101-2691, B/A 101-1362, B/A 233-4470 and B/A 101-4557, as recommended by the Governor with technical adjustments as noted by Fiscal staff.

AGRI - Plant Health & Quarantine Services — Budget Page AGRICULTURE-22
(Volume I)
Budget Account 101-4540

AGRI - Pest, Plant Disease Noxious Weed Control — Budget Page
AGRICULTURE-26 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-4552

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 85

AGRI - Commercial Feed — Budget Page AGRICULTURE-38 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-4541

AGRI - Predatory Animal & Rodent Control — Budget Page AGRICULTURE-47
(Volume I)
Budget Account 101-4600

AGRI - Measurement Standards — Budget Page AGRICULTURE-51 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-4551

AGRI - Nutrition Education Programs — Budget Page AGRICULTURE-58
(Volume I)
Budget Account 101-2691

AGRI - Commodity Foods Distribution Program — Budget Page
AGRICULTURE-64 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-1362

AGRI - Dairy Fund — Budget Page AGRICULTURE-71 (Volume I)
Budget Account 233-4470

AGRI - Livestock Enforcement — Budget Page AGRICULTURE-77 (Volume I)
Budget Account 101-4557

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE ACCEPTANCE OF THE SUBCOMMITTEES FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CLOSURE AS DESCRIBED ON PAGES 1-7 OF [EXHIBIT BB](#) FOR B/A 101-4554, B/A 101-4545, B/A 101-4550, B/A 101-4546, B/A 101-4540, B/A 101-4552, B/A 101-4541, B/A 101-4600, B/A 101-4551, B/A 101-2691, B/A 101-1362, B/A 233-4470 AND B/A 101-4557 INCLUDING BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A21354554 [EXHIBIT BC](#).

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 86

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR GOICOECHEA ABSTAINED FROM THE VOTE.)

BUDGETS CLOSED.

* * * * *

COLBY NICHOLS (Program Analyst):

The Senate Committee on Finance and the Assembly Committee on Ways and Means Joint Subcommittees on Human Services Closing Report is ([Exhibit BD](#)). The Subcommittees have completed their review of the DHHS Division of Welfare and Supportive Services (DWSS) and have made the following budget closing recommendations for the Division's 2023-2025 biennium.

The Subcommittees' closing recommendations resulted in net reductions in General Fund appropriations totaling \$693,188 in FY 2023-2024 and \$546,312 in FY 2024-2025. The following comments describe the more significant recommendations of the Subcommittees.

The Welfare Administration B/A 101-3228 begins on page 1 of [Exhibit BD](#). Due to the erroneous inclusion of decision unit M-201 in the Governor's recommended budget, the Subcommittees recommended approval of Budget Amendment No. A231793228 ([Exhibit BE](#)) to eliminate decision unit M-201, which was recommended by the Governor to fund 34 new positions in this budget based upon projected changes in the Division's caseloads, resulting in budget reductions of \$3 million in FY 2023-2024, including \$1.1 million General Fund appropriations, and \$3.8 million in FY 2024-2025, including \$1.4 million General Fund appropriations. The Division indicates the positions included in this decision unit, as recommended by the Governor, should be considered duplicative of the positions included in various other recommendations in this budget.

HHS-Welfare - Administration — Budget Page DHHS-DWSS-14 (Volume II)
Budget Account 101-3228

M-201 Demographics/Caseload Changes — Page DHHS-DWSS-17

The Subcommittees also recommended approval of total funding of \$257,584 in FY 2023-2024, including \$105,070 General Fund appropriations, and \$117,060 in FY 2024-2025, including \$47,392 General Fund appropriations, for costs associated with one new IT professional position and costs for one contracted IT programmer position to support the Division's IT security compliance activities, as recommended by the Governor.

The Subcommittees further recommended approval of total funding of \$551,566 in FY 2023-2024, including \$224,007 in General Fund monies and \$706,520 in FY 2024-2025, including \$285,839 in General Fund appropriations, for seven new full-time positions and associated costs for the Division's Fiscal Administration Unit to address additional workload associated with an increase in the amount of federal funding, subawards, contracts and financial reporting, as recommended by the Governor.

To improve the Division's capacity for IT strategic planning and oversight, the Subcommittees recommended approval of total funding of \$671,458 in FY 2023-2024, including \$143,738 General Fund appropriations, and \$821,049 in FY 2024-2025, including \$154,480 General Fund appropriations, for seven new IT-related positions and associated costs, as recommended by the Governor.

Additionally, the Subcommittees recommended approval of total funding of \$136,422 in FY 2023-2024, including \$55,393 General Fund appropriations, and \$172,364 in FY 2024-2025, including \$69,251 General Fund appropriations, for one new unclassified deputy division administrator position and associated costs, as recommended by the Governor. The new deputy division administrator is intended to provide ongoing, dedicated support of the Division's various IT, fiscal administration, and HR-related operations.

The Subcommittees recommended approval of the Governor's recommendation of \$3.2 million, including \$1.1 million General Fund appropriations in FY 2023-2024, and \$3.7 million, including \$1.3 million General Fund appropriations in FY 2024-2025, for 18 new IT positions and for the costs of 7 contract IT positions to support and maintain the Child Support Enforcement Program's new information system, known as NVKIDS, contingent upon the passage and approval of the recommended one-time appropriation and

authorization of \$48.3 million, including \$16.4 million General Fund appropriations, to the Child Support and Enforcement Program budget.

To continue work associated with the modernization of the Agency's Nevada Operations of Multi-Automated Data Systems (NOMADS) initially approved during the 2021-2023 biennium, the Subcommittees recommended approval of ARPA System Replacement reserve reductions of \$2.9 million in FY 2023-2024 and \$17.3 million in FY 2024-2025 for hardware and software contract costs, and contract IT programmer costs, as recommended by the Governor for the Agency's mainframe for case management and eligibility in NOMADS.

The Subcommittees recommended approval of ARPA System Modernization reserve reductions of \$4.6 million in FY 2023-2024 and \$3 million in FY 2024-2025 for contract costs associated with the Division's modernization of its client-facing web portal, known as Access Nevada, as recommended by the Governor. This modernization project was initially approved by the IFC during the 2021-2023 biennium.

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) B/A 101-3230 is on page 4 of [Exhibit BD](#). The Subcommittees recommended approval of a series of technical adjustments to align funding in the base budget with FY 2021-2022 actual costs, then further adjustments to align revenues and expenditures with projected caseloads as included in the March 2023 caseload update. The impact of these adjustments is a net increase in federal TANF Block Grant revenues totaling \$2.3 million in FY 2023-2024 and \$2.1 million in FY 2024-2025 when compared to the amounts originally included in the Governor's recommended budget.

HHS-Welfare - TANF — Budget Page DHHS-DWSS-28 (Volume II)
Budget Account 101-3230

In addition, the Subcommittees recommended to not approve the Governor's recommendation to reduce TANF Block Grant revenues and TANF Cash Assistance authority by \$10 million in each year of the 2023-2025 biennium, due to the erroneous construction of a transfer decision unit in the Governor's recommended budget. The Division clarified the intent was not to transfer TANF revenues from this budget to the Child Assistance and Development

budget, but instead to allocate additional TANF revenues directly to the Child Assistance and Development budget. Therefore, approval of this decision unit is not needed to effectuate the recommended increase in TANF grant funding for the Child Assistance and Development budget. Additional details regarding this allocation are discussed in the section of this speech for the Child Assistance and Development budget.

The Welfare Field Services B/A 101-3233 is on pages 4 and 5 of [Exhibit BD](#). As the Agency indicates, it will be able to address any increase in workload associated with caseload increases through existing staff. The Subcommittees recommended approval of Budget Amendment No. A231893233 ([Exhibit BF](#)) to eliminate decision unit M-201, restoring revenues of \$2.5 million in FY 2023-2024 including \$291,218 General Fund appropriations and \$3.2 million in FY 2024-2025 including \$396,817 General Fund appropriations, and 43 positions. This recommendation effectively removes the caseload-related decision unit M-201 from this budget.

HHS-Welfare - Welfare Field Services — Budget Page DHHS-DWSS-35
(Volume II)
Budget Account 101-3233

The Child Assistance and Development B/A 101-3267 begins on page 5 of [Exhibit BD](#). To align authority with updated March 2023 caseload projections for the Child Assistance and Development Program, the Subcommittees recommended approval of a series of adjustments to decrease total Child Care and Development Fund grant revenues by \$1.8 million in FY 2023-2024 and \$631,199 in FY 2024-2025.

HHS-Welfare - Child Assistance and Development — Budget Page
DHHS-DWSS-50 (Volume II)
Budget Account 101-3267

The Subcommittees also recommended approval of federal Child Care and Development Fund Block Grant funds of \$1.1 million in FY 2023-2024 and \$1.2 million in FY 2024-2025 for nine new permanent State positions and associated costs for the Division's Childcare Service Centers, as recommended by the Governor. Additionally, the Subcommittees recommended approval of federal grant funds of \$419,852 in FY 2023-2024 and \$537,463 in

FY 2024-2025 for the personnel and associated operating costs of five new positions to improve the Division's strategic planning and programmatic oversight capabilities.

To continue to fund costs associated with the modernization of the Division's child care case management system, which was initially approved by the 2021 Legislature, the Subcommittees recommended approval of a combination of federal Child Care and Development Fund Block Grant funds, including \$2.3 million in appropriations and federal Child Care Mandatory Matching grant funds of \$755,000, totaling \$3 million in each year of the 2023-2025 biennium. The modernization is estimated to be fully implemented by March 31, 2025.

The Subcommittees recommended approval of the Governor's recommendation to increase TANF Block Grant funding in this budget by \$10 million in each year of the 2023-2025 biennium to increase childcare subsidies for the TANF New Employees of Nevada program and combined total General Fund appropriations of \$1.5 million in FY 2023-2024 and \$1.5 million in FY 2024-2025 in the Administration and Welfare Field Services budgets to address the changes in administrative cost allocations. This direct allocation of additional TANF Block Grant revenues is intended to allow the Division to serve approximately 1,100 additional children per year on the program's New Employees of Nevada and At-Risk caseloads, with priority given to the New Employees of Nevada caseload.

The Subcommittees also recommended approval for closing B/A 101-3232, B/A 101-3238, B/A 101-3239, B/A 101-4862 budgets as recommended in the Executive Budget with either technical or no adjustments.

HHS-Welfare - Assistance To Aged and Blind — Budget Page DHHS-DWSS-32
(Volume II)

Budget Account 101-3232

HHS-Welfare - Child Support Enforcement Program — Budget Page
DHHS-DWSS-43 (Volume II)

Budget Account 101-3238

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 91

HHS-Welfare - Child Support Federal Reimbursement – Budget Page
DHHS-DWSS-48 (Volume II)
Budget Account 101-3239

HHS-Welfare - Energy Assistance Program – Budget Page DHHS-DWSS-57
(Volume II)
Budget Account 101-4862

For all DWSS budgets, the Subcommittees recommended approval of technical adjustments noted by staff and authorized Fiscal staff to make other technical adjustments to the Division's budget as necessary.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE B/A 101-3228 WITH BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A231793228 [EXHIBIT BE](#), B/A 101-3230, B/A 101-3233 WITH BUDGET AMENDMENT NO. A231893233 [EXHIBIT BF](#), B/A 101-3267, B/A 101-3232, B/A 101-3238, B/A 101-3239 AND B/A 101-4862 AS SHOWN ON PAGES 1-9 OF [EXHIBIT BD](#), WITH APPROVAL OF TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS NOTED BY STAFF WITH AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL STAFF TO MAKE OTHER TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS AS NECESSARY.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

BUDGETS CLOSED.

* * * * *

NANCY MORRIS (Program Analyst):

The Senate Committee on Finance and the Assembly Committee on Ways and Means Joint Subcommittee on Human Services Closing Report for the Department of Employment, Training and Rehabilitation is ([Exhibit BG](#)). The Subcommittees have completed their review of the budgets for the Nevada Department of Employment, Training and Rehabilitation (DETR). The closing

recommendations of the Subcommittees resulted in General Fund appropriation increases of \$37,740 in each year of the 2023-2025 biennium.

The Vocational Rehabilitation B/A 101-3265 begins on page 1 of [Exhibit BG](#). The Subcommittees recommended General Fund appropriations of \$65,143 and federal Rehabilitation Act of 1975 Section 110 grant funds of \$240,687 over the 2023-2025 biennium for three new program officer positions as recommended by the Governor.

DETR - Vocational Rehabilitation — Budget Page DETR-16 (Volume II)
Budget Account 101-3265

The Subcommittees recommended approval of the Governor's recommendation for two new workforce services representative positions funded with General Fund appropriations of \$60,832 and federal Section 110 grant funds of \$224,760 over the 2023-2025 biennium.

The Subcommittees recommended federal Social Security administration funds of \$433,124 over the 2023-2025 biennium for a new disability benefits website as recommended by the Governor.

The Employment Security Special Fund B/A 235-4771 begins on page 2 of [Exhibit BG](#). The Subcommittees recommended approval of \$3.6 million to continue funding 60 intermittent positions in the Unemployment Insurance Budget through FY 2023-2024 and to eliminate penalties and interest revenue and instead fund the intermittent positions with reserves.

DETR - Employment Security - Special Fund — Budget Page DETR-69
(Volume II)
Budget Account 235-4771

The Subcommittees recommended approval of \$20.4 million in FY 2023-2024 and \$23.6 million in FY 2024-2025 in federal Coronavirus State Fiscal Recovery Funds in a special use category to continue the Unemployment Insurance System modernization and \$7.8 million in federal Coronavirus State Fiscal Recovery Funds in reserves in FY 2024-2025 for the system's maintenance costs the Department projects to expend in FY 2025-2026.

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 93

The Subcommittees recommended issuing a Letter of Intent instructing the Department to report semiannually to the IFC on the status of the modernization of the Unemployment Insurance System.

The DETR Administration account B/A 101-3272 begins on page 2 of [Exhibit BG](#). The Subcommittees recommended approval of the Governor's recommendation for one new management analyst position funded with cost allocation reimbursement revenue of \$187,394 over the 2023-2025 biennium.

DETR - Administration — Budget Page DETR-92 (Volume II)
Budget Account 101-3272

Information Development and Processing B/A 101-3274 begins on page 2 of [Exhibit BG](#). The Subcommittees recommended approval of a net increase in cost allocation reimbursement revenue of \$532,787 over the 2023-2025 biennium, consisting of eliminating reserve reductions, increasing cost allocation reimbursement revenue by \$3.1 million over the 2023-2025 biennium for computer hardware and software costs, and eliminating reserves and decreasing cost allocation reimbursement revenue by \$2.6 million over the 2023-2025 biennium for Division and Department cost allocation charges.

DETR - Information Development and Processing — Budget Page DETR-96
(Volume II)
Budget Account 101-3274

The Subcommittees recommended approval to increase cost allocation reimbursement revenue in the base budget of B/A 101-3274 by \$945,129 in FY 2023-2024 and to decrease cost allocation reimbursement revenue by \$956,702 in FY 2024-2025 to meet the targeted 30-day reserve level.

The Commission on Postsecondary Education B/A 101-2666 begins on page 3 of [Exhibit BG](#). The Subcommittees recommended approval of the Governor's recommendation to add one new compliance audit investigator position funded with General Fund appropriations of \$55,299 and federal funds of \$59,063 over the 2023-2025 biennium.

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 94

DETR - Commission on Postsecondary Education — Budget Page DETR-113
(Volume II)
Budget Account 101-2666

The Subcommittees recommended approval of all Other Closing Items within the DETR budgets as recommended by the Governor with technical adjustments noted by staff and authorized Fiscal staff to make technical adjustments as necessary.

The Subcommittees recommended approving the closing of DETR B/A 101-3268, B/A 101-3269, B/A 101-3254, B/A 101-3253, B/A 205-4773, B/A 101-1004, B/A 101-3270, B/A 205-4770, B/A 205-4772, B/A 101-2580 and B/A 101-3273, as shown on pages 3 and 4 of [Exhibit BG](#) as recommended in the Executive Budget with or without minor technical adjustments.

DETR - Rehabilitation Administration — Budget Page DETR-7 (Volume II)
Budget Account 101-3268

DETR - Disability Adjudication — Budget Page DETR-12 (Volume II)
Budget Account 101-3269

DETR - Services To Blind Or Visually Impaired — Budget Page DETR-23
(Volume II)
Budget Account 101-3254

DETR - Blind Business Enterprise Program — Budget Page DETR-29 (Volume II)
Budget Account 101-3253

DETR - ESD Administration — Budget Page DETR-42 (Volume II)
Budget Account 205-4773

DETR - Office of Workforce Innovation — Budget Page DETR-47 (Volume II)
Budget Account 101-1004

DETR - Nevada P20 Workforce Reporting — Budget Page DETR-55 (Volume II)
Budget Account 101-3270

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 95

DETR - Workforce Development — Budget Page DETR-60 (Volume II)
Budget Account 205-4770

DETR - Unemployment Insurance — Budget Page DETR-72 (Volume II)
Budget Account 205-4772

DETR - Equal Rights Commission — Budget Page DETR-82 (Volume II)
Budget Account 101-2580

DETR - Research & Analysis — Budget Page DETR-104 (Volume II)
Budget Account 101-3273

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE B/A 101-3265, B/A 235-4771, B/A 101-3272, B/A 101-3274, B/A 101-2666, B/A 101-3268, B/A 101-3269, B/A 101-3254, B/A 101-3253, B/A 205-4773, B/A 101-1004, B/A 101-3270, B/A 205-4770, B/A 205-4772, B/A 101-2580, B/A 101-3273, AS SHOWN ON PAGES 1-5 OF [EXHIBIT BG](#) AND AS RECOMMENDED IN THE EXECUTIVE BUDGET WITH OR WITHOUT MINOR TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS WITH AUTHORIZATION FOR FISCAL STAFF TO MAKE TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS AS NECESSARY.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

BUDGETS CLOSED.

* * * * *

DANIEL MILLER (Program Analyst):

The Senate Committee on Finance and the Assembly Committees on Ways and Means Joint Subcommittees on Public Safety, Natural Resources and Transportation Closing Report for the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training is ([Exhibit BH](#)). The Joint Subcommittees have completed their

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 96

review of the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training B/A 101-3774 for the 2023-2025 biennium as shown on pages 1 through 9 of [Exhibit BH](#). The closing recommendations of the Subcommittees resulted in a General Fund appropriation increase of \$7,566 in each year of the 2023-2025 biennium.

Peace Officer Standards & Training Commission — Budget Page POST-6
(Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3774

The Subcommittees recommended approval of the Governor's recommendations, as adjusted, for \$4.7 million in General Fund appropriations over the 2023-2025 biennium to replace court administrative assessment revenues including \$31,400 in General Fund appropriations over the 2023-2025 biennium to fund a new learning management system to replace NV E-Learn and authorized Fiscal staff to make technical adjustments as necessary.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO ACCEPT THE SUBCOMMITTEES' RECOMMENDATIONS TO APPROVE \$4.7 MILLION IN GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM IN B/A 101-3774 TO REPLACE COURT ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENT REVENUES INCLUDING \$31,400 IN GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM TO FUND A NEW LEARNING MANAGEMENT SYSTEM TO REPLACE NV E-LEARN AS RECOMMENDED BY THE GOVERNOR WITH AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL STAFF TO MAKE TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENTS AS NECESSARY AS SHOWN ON PAGES 1-4 OF [EXHIBIT BG](#).

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

BUDGET CLOSED.

* * * * *

MR. MILLER:

The Subcommittees have completed their review of the Nevada Department of Public Safety budgets for the 2023-2025 biennium ([Exhibit BI](#)). The closing recommendations of the Subcommittees resulted in a General Fund appropriation reduction of \$8 million over the 2023-2025 biennium.

In addition, the closing recommendations of the Subcommittees resulted in Highway Fund appropriation increases of \$16,626 over the 2023-2025 biennium. The Subcommittees deferred consideration of all sworn officer salary increases included in the Executive Budget and submitted them as budget amendments from the GFO to the Statewide decision units closing, where other Statewide grade increases were considered. The following comments describe the more significant recommendations of the Joint Subcommittees.

The Director's Office B/A 201-4706 is on pages 1 and 2 of [Exhibit BI](#). The Subcommittees recommended approval of the Governor's recommendations, as adjusted, to decrease \$1.1 million of Highway Fund appropriations, decrease \$560,995 of Records, Communications, and Compliance Division cost allocation reimbursements, and decrease \$163,123 of fees with a corresponding increase of \$1.8 million in Director's Office cost allocation reimbursements over the 2023-2025 biennium to transfer nine positions to support the creation of a new Research and Planning Technology Team.

DPS - Director's Office — Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-13 (Volume III)
Budget Account 201-4706

In addition, the Subcommittees recommended approval of \$280,848 in cost allocation reimbursements over the 2023-2025 biennium to fund two personnel technician positions to assist the DPS Human Resource Office and \$192,000 in cost allocation reimbursements over the 2023-2025 biennium to fund the Joint Emergency Training Institute annual fee.

The Evidence Vault B/A 101-4701 begins on page 2 of [Exhibit BI](#). The Subcommittees recommended approval of the Governor's recommendation, as adjusted, of \$140,799 in cost allocation reimbursements over the 2023-2025 biennium to fund an administrative assistant position to serve as a digital evidence technician for the headquarters office.

DPS - Evidence Vault — Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-29 (Volume III)
Budget Account 201-4701

The Highway Patrol B/A 201-4713 begins on page 2 of [Exhibit BI](#). The Subcommittees deferred approval of the Governor's recommendation of \$5.5 million in Highway Fund appropriations to fund 22 DPS Officer II positions and 6 new DPS Sergeant positions to increase coverage in 4 different districts throughout Nevada due to increasing population and job growth.

DPS - Nevada Highway Patrol Division — Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-52
(Volume III)
Budget Account 201-4713

As of April 22, 2023, the Agency had a total of 151 sworn staff vacancies, or 31 percent, which would be increased to 179 sworn staff vacancies if the Governor's recommendation is approved.

The Agency testified during the April 26, 2023, Subcommittees meeting it would not be able to fill the current vacancies it has over the 2023-2025 biennium and would not have the recruitment and academy capacity to fill the new positions; therefore, if approved, the new positions would remain vacant over the 2023-2025 biennium.

The Nevada Highway Patrol testified it would like the positions to be approved without funding so the positions could be included in the base budget for next Legislative Session. This would eliminate the need for the Department to request the funding for the positions next Legislative Session. The Subcommittees directed Fiscal staff to report at the full Committees closing if the 28 new positions could be established without appropriating Highway Fund dollars.

The decision for the Committees is on pages 3 and 4 of [Exhibit BI](#). The Committees can either approve the funding recommendation, alter the funding, or not approve the funds. The Legislature cannot obligate the establishment of position control numbers to be funded within the base budget for future Legislative Sessions without funding these positions this Legislative Session. If the funding for the positions is not approved, the Department would need to request funding for the new positions at a future Legislative Session. There are three options for the Committee's consideration.

Option A would approve the \$5.5 million in Highway Fund appropriations over the 2023-2025 biennium to fund the addition of 22 new DPS officer II positions and 6 new DPS sergeant positions to expand law enforcement coverage as recommended by the Governor.

Option B would approve the Governor's funding recommendation but allocate \$5.5 million in Highway Fund appropriations to the IFC contingency account as a restricted allocation, which would require the Division to demonstrate to the IFC that the Agency has filled or is in the process of filling existing vacancies prior to receiving the funding for additional positions. This option does not add positions to the Department's base budget for the next legislative session unless they are approved for allocation from the contingency account to fund any portion of the positions during the Interim between the Eighty-second and Eighty-third Sessions.

Option C would not approve highway fund appropriations of \$5.5 million over the 2023-2025 biennium to fund 22 new DPS officer II positions and 6 new DPS sergeant positions.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE OPTION C TO NOT APPROVE HIGHWAY FUND APPROPRIATIONS OF \$5.5 MILLION OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM IN B/A 201-4713 TO FUND 22 NEW DPS OFFICER II POSITIONS AND 6 NEW DPS SERGEANT POSITIONS; HOWEVER, IF OVER THE 2023-2025 BIENNIUM, THE AGENCY HAS FILLED ITS VACANT POSITIONS AND COULD FILL AND TRAIN MORE POSITIONS, THE DIVISION MAY SEEK AN APPROPRIATION FROM THE UNRESTRICTED HIGHWAY FUND BETWEEN LEGISLATIVE SESSIONS TO ESTABLISH A PORTION OF POSITIONS LIMITED TO AVAILABLE FUNDING WITHIN THAT ACCOUNT.

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLYMAN HAFEN:

I would prefer to go with Option B and have the funds available if they need it. Although they testified they would not be able to train in the academy, in rural Nevada, I see officers in sheriff's departments who want to leave for Highway Patrol but due to the lack of compensation, are hesitant. With the Pay Bill coming forward, I feel confident we will get there and see some who will want to leave one agency and transfer over to DPS.

I will vote no on Option C with the hope we will be able to get compensation up to a level where more people will want to be part of DPS.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO:

With the compensation package this Legislative Body has been talking about, it is my hope more people will make the transfer. However, vacancies need to be filled first. Once those are filled, they could add additional positions to the force, which is why I added the statement to Option C that if they find themselves in a position where they have filled the vacancies and may be able to fill and train more positions, they can seek an appropriation from the unrestricted Highway Fund. The funds would be there if they are able to add additional people after filling those vacant positions.

CHAIR DONDERO LOOP:

We are in an unfortunate situation where we cannot fill these positions, as DPS testified. We would like to have this compromise to make sure DPS has the money if they need to fill those positions, but if not, these funds can be reallocated.

SENATOR GOICOECHEA:

Are you saying, if they do seek more positions, they would come back to IFC and request funds out of the Contingency Account?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO:

They would seek the appropriation from the unrestricted Highway Fund.

CHAIR DONDERO LOOP:

We will take care of them. We are not leaving them behind.

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 101

SENATOR SEEVERS GANSERT:

Part of the reason to do it this way is, the positions are not in base funding moving into the next Legislative Session. I understand they have a high vacancy rate. I am okay with this. I would prefer to have it like this because it is the Highway Fund Contingent Account.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLY MEMBERS HAFEN AND KASAMA VOTED NO.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED. (SENATOR TITUS VOTED NO.)

* * * * *

MR. MILLER:

Continuing on in B/A 201-4713 for Nevada Highway Patrol, shown on page 4 of [Exhibit BI](#), the Subcommittees recommended approval of the Governor's recommendation, as adjusted, for \$443,428 in Highway Fund appropriations and \$38,600 in cost allocation reimbursements to fund two new communications systems specialist positions and one equipment mechanic position to address radio and vehicle maintenance due to upgrades in equipment complexity.

The Subcommittees recommended approval of the Governor's recommendation for \$171,052 in Highway Fund appropriations over the 2023-2025 biennium to fund a new management analyst position to oversee fiscal operations, grant management and program activities.

The Division of Parole and Probation B/A 101-3740 begins on page 4 of [Exhibit BI](#). The Subcommittees recommended 10 position additions over the 2023-2025 biennium related to caseload adjustments, resulting in General Fund appropriations of \$1.7 million and county reimbursements of \$107,205 over the 2023-2025 biennium.

The recommendation reflects the 2023 caseload projections received by Fiscal staff on March 27, 2023, prepared by the JFA Institute, as recommended by the Governor.

The Subcommittees recommended approval of the Governor's recommendation, as adjusted, to establish a new supervision ratio of parole and probation specialists in the Court Services Unit resulting in eight new parole and probation specialist positions and one parole and probation supervisor position funded with General Fund appropriations of \$460,676 and county reimbursements of \$1.1 million over the 2023-2025 biennium. The Subcommittees also recommended approval of the Governor's recommendation for \$1 million in General Fund appropriations over the 2023-2025 biennium to fund the reinstatement and expansion of the State-funded House Arrest Program.

The Investigations Division B/A 101-3743 begins on page 5 of [Exhibit B1](#). The Subcommittees recommended approval of the Governor's recommendation, as adjusted, for \$298,513 of General Fund appropriations and \$56,700 in transfers from the DHHS over the 2023-2025 biennium to continue funding three administrative assistant positions for the SafeVoice Program.

DPS - Investigation Division — Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-92 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3743

The Central Repository for Nevada Records of Criminal History B/A 101-4709 begins on page 5 of [Exhibit B1](#). The Subcommittees recommended approval of the Governor's recommendations, as adjusted, to continue funding the Nevada Criminal Justice Information System modernization project with \$19.4 million in Coronavirus State Fiscal Recovery Funds and \$621,303 in fee reserves over the 2023-2025 biennium.

DPS-Central Rep For NV Records of Criminal History — Budget Page
PUBLIC SAFETY-105 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-4709

The Subcommittees recommended issuing a Letter of Intent directing the Agency to provide semi-annual reports to the IFC on the status and progress of the modernization project and the expenditure of ARPA Coronavirus State Fiscal Recovery Funds over the 2023-2025 biennium. The Subcommittees recommended approval of eliminating court administrative assessment revenue of \$9.4 million and adjusted the Governor's recommendation by reducing the General Fund appropriation by \$9.3 million and replacing it with \$9.3 million in reserves.

The Subcommittees recommended approval of eliminating the reserve balance by the end of FY 2024-2025 with the intent to transition the budget to be funded by a combination of fees and General Fund appropriations and eliminating the ability to carry a reserve balance beyond the 2023-2025 biennium. To implement this intent, the Subcommittees directed Fiscal staff to work with the Legal Division to draft enabling legislation to carry out the budget policy.

The Fire Marshal B/A 101-3816 begins on page 6 of [Exhibit BI](#). The Subcommittees recommended approval of the Governor's recommendation, as adjusted, to reduce budgeted reserves by \$129,570 over the 2023-2025 biennium to fund one administrative assistant position to assist with clerical duties for the Fire Protection Bureau and the Investigation and Enforcement Bureau. The Subcommittees also recommended approval of Budget Amendment No. A230683816 ([Exhibit BJ](#)), as adjusted, to reduce budgeted reserves by \$388,921 over the 2023-2025 biennium to fund two fire and life safety inspectors to reduce inspection backlogs of six weeks or more in southern Nevada.

DPS - Fire Marshal — Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-131 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3816

The Highway Safety Plan and Administration B/A 101-4688 begins on page 7 of [Exhibit BI](#). The Subcommittees recommended approval of the Governor's recommendation for \$186,465 in federal funds over the 2023-2025 biennium to fund a grants and projects analyst position to support additional federal reporting requirements and increased grant funding as a result of the federal Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act of 2021.

DPS - Highway Safety Plan & Admin — Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-158
(Volume III)
Budget Account 101-4688

The Parole Board B/A 101-3800 begins on page 7 of [Exhibit BI](#). The Subcommittees recommended approval of the Governor's recommendation for \$255,133 of General Fund appropriations over the 2023-2025 biennium to fund a new unclassified executive director position to address operational issues

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 104

caused by the current organizational structure of the Parole Board, contingent upon the passage and approval of A.B. 462 or other enabling legislation.

ASSEMBLY BILL 462: Revises provisions governing the State Board of Parole Commissioners. (BDR 16-1073)

DPS - Parole Board — Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-193 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3800

The Subcommittees recommend approval of Department of Public Safety B/A 201-4706, B/A 201-4701, B/A 201-4713, B/A 101-3740, B/A 101-3743, B/A 101-4709, B/A 101-3816 including Budget Amendment No. A23063816 Exhibit BJ, B/A 101-4688, B/A 101-3800, B/A 101-4704, B/A 201-4707, B/A 101-3775, B/A 101-4703, B/A 101-4738, B/A 101-4705, B/A 201-4721, B/A 101-4702, B/A 101-4710, B/A 201-4729, B/A 101-3819, B/A 710-4727, B/A 101-4687, B/A 101-4691, B/A 101-4736, B/A 101-4708, B/A 101-4734 and B/A 101-4737 as shown on pages 1-10 of Exhibit BI and as included in the Executive Budget, with technical or no adjustments, with authority for Fiscal staff to make technical adjustments as needed.

DPS - Nevada Office of Cyber Defense Coordination — Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-9 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-4704

DPS - Office of Professional Responsibility — Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-23 (Volume III)
Budget Account 201-4707

DPS - Training Division — Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-34 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3775

DPS - Forfeitures - Law Enforcement — Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-40 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-4703

DPS - Dignitary Protection — Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-43 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-4738

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 105

DPS - NHP K-9 Program — Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-66 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-4705

DPS - Highway Safety Grants Account — Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-68
(Volume III)
Budget Account 201-4721

DPS - Records Communications and Compliance — Budget Page
PUBLIC SAFETY-115 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-4702

DPS - Child Volunteer Background Checks Trust Acct — Budget Page
PUBLIC SAFETY-120 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-4710

DPS - State Emergency Response Commission — Budget Page
PUBLIC SAFETY-126 (Volume III)
Budget Account 201-4729

DPS - CIG Fire Safe Standards & Firefighter Support — Budget Page PUBLIC
SAFETY-143 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-3819

DPS - Capitol Police — Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-148 (Volume III)
Budget Account 710-4727

DPS - Traffic Safety — Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-166 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-4687

DPS - Motorcycle Safety Program — Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-169
(Volume III)
Budget Account 101-4691

DPS - Justice Grant — Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-178 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-4736

DPS - Justice Assistance Act — Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-184 (Volume III)
Budget Account 101-4708

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 106

DPS - Justice Assist Grant Trust Account – Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-187
(Volume III)
Budget Account 101-4734

DPS - Account For Reentry Programs – Budget Page PUBLIC SAFETY-189
(Volume III)
Budget Account 101-4737

ASSEMBLYWOMAN MONROE-MORENO MOVED TO APPROVE
B/A 101-4706, B/A 201-4701, B/A 201-4713, B/A 101-3740,
B/A 101-3743, B/A 101-4709, B/A 101-3816 INCLUDING BUDGET
AMENDMENT NO. A23063816 [EXHIBIT BJ](#), B/A 101-4688,
B/A 101-3800, B/A 101-4704, B/A 201-4707, B/A 101-3775,
B/A 101-4703, B/A 101-4738, B/A 101-4705, B/A 201-4721,
B/A 101-4702, B/A 101-4710, B/A 201-4729, B/A 101-3819,
B/A 710-4727, B/A 101-4687, B/A 101-4691, B/A 101-4736,
B/A 101-4708, B/A 101-4734 AND B/A 101-4737 AS SHOWN ON
PAGES 7 AND 8 OF [EXHIBIT BI](#).

SENATOR CANNIZZARO SECONDED THE MOTION.

ASSEMBLY: THE MOTION CARRIED. (ASSEMBLYWOMAN PETERS WAS
ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

SENATE: THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

BUDGETS CLOSED.

* * * * *

Senate Committee on Finance
Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
May 1, 2023
Page 107

CHAIR DONDERO LOOP:
Hearing no public comment, this meeting is adjourned at 11:29 a.m.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

Joko Cailles,
Committee Secretary

APPROVED BY:

Senator Marilyn Dondero Loop, Chair

DATE: _____

Assemblywoman Daniele Monroe-Moreno, Chair

DATE: _____

EXHIBIT SUMMARY				
Bill	Exhibit Letter	Introduced on Minute Report Page No.	Witness / Entity	Description
	A	1		Agenda
	B	1		Attendance Roster
	C	2		Closing List #9
	D	25	Stephanie Day / Fiscal Analysis Division	March 7, 2023, Budget Amendment
	E	58	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A231031340
	F	59	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A231801030
	G	59	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A231811031
	H	59	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A231821033
	I	59	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A231831036
	J	59	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A231841037
	K	59	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A231881047
	L	59	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A231851038

Senate Committee on Finance
 Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
 May 1, 2023
 Page 109

	M	63	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232101050
	N	64	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A230912980
	O	64	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A231932987
	P	64	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A231943002
	Q	64	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A231953011
	R	64	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A231993012
	S	66	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232291383
	T	66	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232163148
	U	66	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232433161
	V	66	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232173263
	W	66	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232363645
	X	68	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232014162

Senate Committee on Finance
 Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
 May 1, 2023
 Page 110

	Y	69	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232024463
	Z	70	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A231924207
	AA	71	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232334130
	AB	71	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232243922
	AC	72	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232053710
	AD	72	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232233751
	AE	72	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232073762
	AF	72	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232063716
	AG	72	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232343717
	AH	72	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232183722
	AI	72	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232323723
	AJ	72	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232273725

Senate Committee on Finance
 Assembly Committee on Ways and Means
 May 1, 2023
 Page 111

	AK	72	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232283724
	AL	72	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232153738
	AM	72	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232263739
	AN	72	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232143741
	AO	72	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232253747
	AP	72	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232133748
	AQ	72	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232223752
	AR	73	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232123754
	AS	73	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232113759
	AT	73	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232213761
	AU	77	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232004740
	AV	77	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A231964722

	AW	78	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232464713
	AX	78	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232443740
	AY	78	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232474707
	AZ	78	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232383775
	BA	78	Sarah Coffman / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A232453743
	BB	81	Nicolette Johnston / Fiscal Analysis Division	General Government Closing Report for the Department of Agriculture
	BC	82	Nicolette Johnston / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A231354554
	BD	86	Colby Nichols / Fiscal Analysis Division	Human Services Closing Report
	BE	86	Colby Nichols / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A231793228
	BF	89	Colby Nichols / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A231893233
	BG	91	Nancy Morris / Fiscal Analysis Division	Human Services Closing Report for the Department of Employment, Training and Rehabilitation
	BH	95	Daniel Miller / Fiscal Analysis Division	Peace Officer Standards and Training Commission B/A 101-3774

	BI	97	Daniel Miller / Fiscal Analysis Division	Senate Committee on Finance and Assembly Committee on Ways and Means Joint Subcommittees on Public Safety, Natural Resources and Transportation Closing Report
	BJ	103	Daniel Miller / Fiscal Analysis Division	Budget Amendment No. A230683816