

**ADOPTED REGULATION OF THE COMMISSION ON
PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS IN EDUCATION**

LCB File No. R068-00

Effective June 20, 2000

EXPLANATION – Matter in *italics* is new; matter in brackets ~~omitted material~~ is material to be omitted.

AUTHORITY: §1, NRS 391.019.

Section 1. NAC 391.050 is hereby amended to read as follows:

391.050 1. Transcripts must be evaluated in terms of semester hours. A quarter hour equals two-thirds of a semester hour.

2. Transcripts become a part of the applicant's file when a license is issued and will not be returned.

3. Transcripts must have an original, official seal of the college or university and the signature of the registrar.

4. Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, a transcript from a foreign country must be evaluated for the type of degree received by the teacher and level of course work taken by the teacher. Such evaluation must be based on a translation of the transcript from an agent of the department who is approved by the department to perform such a translation. A transcript from a Canadian college or university must be evaluated pursuant to this subsection only if the transcript is in a language other than English.

LCB File No. R068-00

NOTICE OF ADOPTION

May 18, 2000

Brenda J. Erdoes, Legislative Counsel
Legislative Counsel Bureau
Legislative Building
Capitol Complex
Carson City, Nevada 89710

Re: LCB File No. R068-00

Dear Ms. Erdoes:

Enclosed please find the above referenced regulation and Informational Impact Statement. This regulation was permanently adopted by the Commission on Professional Standards in Education at their meeting on May 12, 2000.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me.

Sincerely,

Sharon Bohling
Management Assistant

/slb
Enclosure

INFORMATIONAL STATEMENT

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

COMMISSION ON PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS IN EDUCATION

REPORT TO LEGISLATIVE COMMISSION ON EFFECT OF ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS

LCB FILE NO. R068-00

1. Title of Regulation:

Amendments to NAC 391.050, evaluation of transcripts.

2. Opportunity for Comments by Affected Parties and the Public:

Petition was noticed April 7, 2000, for public hearings. The proposed amendments were posted at: Nevada Department of Education, Carson and Las Vegas; the 17 Nevada County School District Offices; the Clark County Classroom Teachers Association - Carson and Las Vegas Offices; the 17 Nevada County Libraries; and the Nevada State Library and Archives.

3. The Number of Persons Who:

Attended hearing: 14

Testified at hearing: 0

4. How Comments were Solicited, Summary of Comments by Affected Parties and the Public, How Interested Persons May Obtain a Copy of the Minutes of the Meeting.

Comments were solicited from approximately 200 individuals and entities subscribing to the Commission's Mailing List (includes news and radio media). Public hearing was conducted on May 12, 2000.

At the February 4, 2000, Meeting, Dr. Wenda requested that the Commission hold a workshop to discuss international transcript evaluation. A workshop was held on March 24, 2000, to discuss language that would exempt Canadian colleges from translation of transcripts unless the transcripts were in a language other than English. A Public Hearing was held on May 12, 2000. Commissioner Munoz moved that the Commission adopt revisions to NAC 391.050 evaluation of transcripts as proposed. Commissioner Henick seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

A summary of comments may be obtained through Commission on Professional Standards in Education, 700 East Fifth Street, Carson City, Nevada 89701-5096.

5. Estimated Economic Effect on Affected Parties and Public:

Effectuated parties will save the cost of having transcripts translated into English.

6. Estimated Cost to Agency for Enforcement:

There is no additional cost to the agency for enforcement of this regulation.

7. Regulations of Other State or Government Agencies Overlapped or Duplicated by These Regulations:

There is no other state or government agency regulation that the proposed amendments duplicate.

8. Federal Regulations Overlapped or Duplicated by These Regulations:

There is no duplication or overlap of federal regulations.

9. Summary of Regulation Provisions that are More Stringent than Federal Regulation:

There are none.

10. Annual Anticipated Revenue from New Fee or Increase to Existing Fee and Manner in Which Fee will be Used:

No new fee or increase to existing fee providing revenue to the agency is affected by the above noted regulations.