

BRIAN SANDOVAL
Governor

STEVE CANAVERO, Ph.D.
Superintendent
of Public Instruction

STATE OF NEVADA



DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
700 E. Fifth Street
Carson City, Nevada 89701-5096
(775) 687 - 9200 • Fax: (775) 687 - 9101
<http://www.doe.nv.gov>

SOUTHERN NEVADA OFFICE
9890 S. Maryland Parkway, Suite 221
Las Vegas, Nevada 89183
(702) 486-6458
Fax: (702) 486-6450
<http://teachers.nv.gov>

**SMALL BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT
PURSUANT TO NRS 233B.0608**

Date: 10/29/2015

Re: LCB File # R142-15 – Proposed Adoption of Regulations Revising NAC 391.077
Extension of time prescribed for renewal of license or for validity of provisional license; time
for filing request for extension; period of validity. ([NRS 391.019](#), [391.023](#), [391.032](#))

I, Steve Canavero, being the duly appointed Superintendent of Public Instruction, do hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge or belief:

1. The proposed repeal of regulation NAC 391.077 are not likely to (a) impose a direct or significant economic burden upon a small business, or (b) directly restrict the formation, operation or expansion of a small business.
2. A concerted effort was made to determine any economic burden. The Department of Education has relied on the expert knowledge of Department staff; All relevant materials were reviewed and the Department considered its history with implementing similar regulations; the proposed changes impact small businesses in the following ways:

The proposed changes do not impact small businesses, as the regulations modify/change the requirements to be a licensed educator in a Nevada school setting.

3. Comment was solicited from small businesses that may be affected by this regulatory amendment in the following manner:

Comment was not solicited from small businesses because no small businesses will be impacted by this proposed change.

4. The analysis of the impact on small businesses was conducted in the following manner:

The non-impact on small businesses was determined by consulting with the experts in the relevant knowledge area within Department staff; Reviewing relevant materials and considering the Department's history with implementing similar regulations.

5. The estimated economic effect of the proposed regulation on the small businesses which it is to regulate, is:

- (1) *The Proposed regulation will have no adverse or beneficial effects; and*
- (2) *The Proposed regulation will have no direct or indirect effects.*

6. The methods that the Department of Education considered to reduce the impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses were:

As the proposed regulation has no impact on Small businesses, the agency is unable to consider methods to reduce small business impact and did not use any methods.

7. The estimated cost to the Department of Education for enforcement of the proposed regulation is zero dollars.
8. The total annual amount the agency expects to collect from any new fees or fee increases and the manner in which the money will be used.

This regulation does not create a new fee or increase an existing fee.

9. An explanation of why such any provisions which duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state or local standards regulating the same activity, are necessary.

This regulation does not provide duplicative or more stringent provisions that existing federal state or local regulations.

10. The Department of Education reached these conclusions regarding the impact of this regulation on small businesses because:

This regulation affects only licensed educators and does not affect small businesses.

I hereby further certify that, to the best of my knowledge or belief, a concerted effort was made to determine the impact of the regulation on small businesses and that the information contained in this statement was prepared properly and is accurate.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Steve Canavero".

STEVE CANAVERO, Ph.D.
Superintendent of Public Instruction